

Original Research Article

Importance of Micronutrients and its Application Methods for Improving Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Yield and Soil Properties Grown in Alfisols

K.V. Anitha^{1*} and G.G. Kadalli²

¹Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, India

²AICRP on LTFE, Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2015 at College of Agriculture VC Farm, Mandya to study the response of maize to soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture. Two grades of micronutrients mixture, one for soil application (SMM) and another for foliar spray (FMM) were tested with 7 treatment combinations and replicated thrice in a randomized completely block design with maize as test crop. The treatment with Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (150:75:40 kg ha⁻¹ N: P₂O₅: K₂O) + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ was considered as control. Soil grade SMM was applied as basal @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ whereas foliar grade FMM was tested in two concentrations *i.e.*, 0.40 % and 0.80 % sprayed at 30 and 45 Days After Sowing. Significantly higher kernel and stover yield of maize (82.00 and 83.67 q ha⁻¹) were recorded with soil application of SMM + foliar application of FMM@ 0.8% along with RDF+FYM over control and found on par with same treatment combination except FMM was sprayed @ 0.4%. The percent increase in kernel and stover yield were 42.28 and 42.61 over control.

Keywords

Micronutrients mixture, Soil and foliar application, Maize productivity

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important cereal crop of the world and has economic value in livestock. It is considered as one of the two important cereal crops in India and plays a fundamental role in human and animal significant feeding. Increasing maize production during the last period became one of the most important goals of the Indian government to satisfy human and animal demands. Application of two or more essential nutrients (Nitrogen, phosphorus with or without Potassium) is not adequate to achieve potential yield of crops. Deficiency of one or more plant essential elements will result in yield and quality reduction in crops

(Nazim Hussain *et al.*, 2005). Among 17 essential elements quantity of micro elements required are much less than macro and secondary elements. Generally, soil supplies are adequate but added benefits from fertilizer additions may be common depending on the area and soil conditions.

Micronutrient deficiencies are difficult to diagnose and consequently the problem may be termed 'hidden hunger' in analogy to the term used in human nutrition. On an average 43.0%, 12.1%, 5.4%, 5.5% and 18.3% of Indian soils are deficient in Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn and B, respectively (Majumdar and Prakash, 2018). Micronutrients play an active role in the plant metabolic process, they directly or

indirectly affect in photosynthesis, vital processes in plant such as respiration, protein synthesis and reproduction phase (Marschner, 1995). Micronutrient requirements of the maize crop are relatively small and ranges between their deficiencies and toxicities in plants and soils are rather narrow. So, supplying adequate quantity of micronutrient through external application to soil or foliar spray is important. Moreover, foliar spraying of micronutrient is very helpful when the roots cannot provide necessary nutrients (Kinaci and Gulmezoglu, 2007).

Many workers have attempted to correct the deficiencies of micronutrients singly. But, intensive cultivation of crops has led to multi micronutrient deficiencies. As such, importance of multi – micronutrient mixtures is very much needed, as multi-micronutrients mixture facilitate the application of the wide range of plant nutrients in the proportion and to suit the specific requirements of a crop at different stages of growth and are more relevant under site specific nutrient management practices (Hegde *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, there is a need to promote balanced fertilization for which use of appropriate multi-micronutrient mixture grades would play a big role to improve nutrients use efficiency and enhance crop productivity for food and nutritional security. But, so far there is limited research carried out on multi-micronutrient mixtures which are crop specific and location specific. Keeping in view all these aspects, present study on effect of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture on growth and yield of maize in *Alfisols* of Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka in India was initiated.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif*-2015 at College of Agriculture, V.C. Farm, Mandya that comes under Agro

climatic Zone-6, Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka. The soil belongs to *Alfisols* which was sandy loam in texture. The soil was neutral in reaction and low in soluble salts. The soil was low in organic carbon and available major nutrients but high in available sulphur. The exchangeable calcium and magnesium content of soil were 3.9 and 2.2 m eq 100 g⁻¹, respectively. The DTPA extractable micronutrients content *viz.*, zinc, iron, copper, manganese and boron were 0.41, 6.4, 0.55, 8.40 and 0.42 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Zinc and B content were below the critical limit. The initial physical and chemical properties of soil at the experimental site are given in table 1.

Two grades of micronutrients mixture, one as soil application (SMM) and another as foliar spray (FMM) were tested with seven treatment combinations and replicated thrice in a randomized completely block design with maize (Variety NAH-1137) as test crop. The treatment details are furnished in table 2. The treatment with Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (150:75:40 kg ha⁻¹ N: P₂O₅: K₂O) + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ was considered as control. One-third of recommended N and entire amount of P and K were applied as basal dose and remaining two-third of N was applied in two equal splits at 30 DAS and 45 DAS as top dressing. The nutrients were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash.

Two grades of micronutrients mixture were prepared by mixing respective sulphate salts of Zn, Mn, Fe and Cu and for boron boric acid was used. Soil grade (SMM) was applied as basal @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ whereas foliar grade (FMM) was tested in two concentrations *i.e.*, 0.40 % and 0.80 % sprayed at 30 and 45 Days after Sowing (DAS) as per treatments. The pH of spray solution was brought to neutral using KOH and citric acid. The nutrient composition of soil and foliar grade

micronutrients mixture prepared are given in table 3.

The observations on growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and yield parameters *viz.*, number of rows per cob, number of kernels per row, test weight, kernel yield and stover yield were recorded at harvest by selecting five plants randomly from each plot. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The level of significance used in “F” was $P = 0.05$. Critical Difference (CD) values were calculated for the $P = 0.05$ whenever “F” test was found significant.

Results and Discussion

Response of micronutrients mixture on growth characters of maize

Soil and foliar application of multi micronutrients mixture and their combinations significantly influenced the growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, number of leaves per plant and leaf area of maize at harvest (Table 4). Significantly higher growth parameters were recorded with soil application of SMM @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ as basal combined with foliar application of FMM @ 0.80% (T₇) over control and found on par with treatments T₆ (SMM @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ + FMM @ 0.40%) and T₄ (FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS). The percent increase in plant height, number of leaves and leaf area over control by treatment T₇ was 15.88, 38.55 and 23.49, respectively and by treatment T₆ was 12.40, 26.6 and 23.39, respectively. Combined application of micronutrients increased all morphological, physiological and productivity characters compared to control (Farhan and Al-Dulaemi, 2011).

Improvement in growth characters as a result of application of micronutrients might be due to the enhanced photosynthetic and other

metabolic activity which leads to an increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and elongation as opined by Hatwar *et al.*, (2003). Mallik and Muthukrishnan (1980) explained that the presence of zinc activates the synthesis of tryptophan, the precursor of IAA and it is responsible to stimulate plant growth. Fe plays an important role in promoting growth characters, being a component of ferredoxin, an electron transport protein and is associated with chloroplast. It helps in photosynthesis in tern responsible for better vegetative growth (Hazra and Mandal, 1988).

Yield components of maize

The data pertaining to yield parameters such as number of rows cob⁻¹, number of seeds row⁻¹ and test weight are depicted in table 5. The yield parameters of maize were significantly influenced due to soil and foliar application of multi micronutrients mixture and their combinations. The highest yield parameters (15.10, 35.98 and 33.77 g, respectively) were recorded with soil application of SMM as basal combined with foliar application of FMM @ 0.8% (T₇) which was significantly higher than that of control. This treatment was found on par with treatments T₆ (SMM @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ + FMM @ 0.40%) and T₄ (FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS).

The percent increase in number of seed rows cob⁻¹, number of seeds row⁻¹ and test weight over control by treatment T₇ were 19.55, 14.95 and 32.27, respectively and by treatment T₆ were 17.5, 10.45 and 26.79, respectively. Similarly, Choudhary *et al.*, (2015) reported that combined soil and foliar application of micronutrients along with RDF provided greater availability of nutrients for the development of reproductive structures and increase in the grain and stover yield of sorghum.

Kernel and stover yield of maize

The highest kernel and stover yield of maize (82.00 and 83.67 q ha⁻¹, respectively) were recorded with soil application of SMM as basal combined with foliar application of FMM@ 0.8% (T₇) which was significantly higher than that of control (Table 5 and Fig. 1). The percent increase in kernel and stover yield by this treatment were 48.20 and 42.61, respectively over control. Treatment T₇ is found on par with T₆ and T₄ treatments.

The beneficial effect of micronutrients on growth and yield have been reported in different crops *viz.*, okra (Mendhi and Kakati, 1994), tomato (Bose and Tripathi, 1996), wheat (Nazim and Javed, 2005; El-Magid, 2000), maize (El- Nagar, 2002) and soybean (Thiyageshwari and Ramanathan, 2001). The increase in growth and yield of maize due to application of multi micronutrients along with NPK and FYM can be ascribed to the balanced and favourable nutritional environment in root rhizosphere and higher absorption of nutrient by plant leading to the increased photolytic efficiency and production of assimilates (Singh, 2006; Sareen and Sharma, 2010).

Multi micronutrients application through foliar showed better kernel and stover yield compared to soil application. Though foliar spray is not substitute to soil application but it is considered as a supplement to soil application. Among the method of nutrient application foliar application is recognized as important method of fertilization. Since, foliar spray usually penetrates the leaf cuticle or stomata and enters the cells facilitating the easy and rapid utilization of nutrients (Choudhary *et al.*, 2015). Further, the foliar application helps in eliminating losses through leaching and fixation and helps in regulating the uptake of nutrients by plants (Manomani and Srimath, 2009). However, in

the present study combined application of SMM as basal and foliar application of FMM (T₇) produced significantly highest kernel and stover yield of maize over alone application of micronutrients mixture as soil (T₅) or as foliar at lower concentration (T₃) but found on par with high concentration (T₄). This suggests that supplementation of multi micronutrients through foliar along with soil application is essential for better crop growth and yield. Similarly, the beneficial effect of combined soil and foliar application of multi micronutrients mixture on growth and yield have been reported in wheat (Ali *et al.*, 2009) and in sorghum (Choudhary *et al.*, 2015).

Effect of application of micronutrients mixture on soil properties

Effect on soil pH, EC and OC

A non-significant variation in soil pH and EC and a significant variation in organic carbon content of the post harvest soil showed due to application of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture (Table 6). However, the treatments where micronutrients mixture applied to soil showed decrease in pH and increase in EC of the soil numerically. The overall inorganic treatments was also declining the soil pH and increasing EC, It might be due to inorganic salts contribution from the application of high doses of NP along with micronutrients (Singh *et al.*, 2014). Similar result was also reported by Pasricha *et al.*, 1996.

With respect to organic carbon, all treatments receiving FYM recorded significantly higher organic carbon content over absolute control.

Effect on available macronutrients content in soil

Available macronutrients such as N, P, K, exchangeable Ca, Mg and available S content

of soil after the harvest of maize crop increased significantly due to treatments imposed compared to absolute control (Table 7). The increase in the available nutrient content was attributed to release of nutrients through mineralization of organic matter and added nutrients. Malakouti (2008) noted that

soil N content increases with soil or foliar application of micronutrients. The balanced supply of nutrients might have improved the root growth which added root litter to the soil thus, increasing the nutrient status in post-harvest soil.

Table.1 Initial physical and chemical properties of soil at the experimental site

Sl.No	Parameter	Value
Physical Properties		
1	Sand (%)	75.10
2	Silt (%)	18.25
3	Clay (%)	6.65
4	Soil texture	Sandy loam
Chemical properties		
1	pH _{1:2.5}	7.25
2	EC _{1:2.5} (dS m ⁻¹)	0.16
3	CEC (c mol(p+) kg ⁻¹)	7.60
3	Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	4.95
4	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	250.88
5	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	9.56
6	Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	107.2
7	Available Ca (c mol kg ⁻¹)	3.90
8	Available Mg (c mol kg ⁻¹)	2.20
9	Available S (mg kg ⁻¹)	16.38
10	DTPA Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	6.40
11	DTPA Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.41
12	DTPA Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	8.41
13	DTPA Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.55
14	Hot water soluble Boron (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.42

Table.2 Treatment details

T ₁	Absolute control
T ₂	RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ (control)
T ₃	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS
T ₄	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS
T ₅	T ₂ + SMM @ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ as basal
T ₆	T ₂ + SMM @ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS
T ₇	T ₂ + SMM @ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS

Table.3 Nutrient composition (per cent, w/w) of soil and foliar micronutrients mixture grades

Nutrients	Soil Grade SMM	Foliar Grade FMM
Zinc (%)	7.57	5.35
Manganese (%)	4.55	5.36
Iron (%)	5.35	3.54
Copper (%)	3.39	5.98
Boron (%)	1.16	2.00

(SMM- Soil Micronutrients Mixture; FMM-Foliar Micronutrients Mixture)

Table.4 Effect of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture on plant height, number of leaves and leaf area of maize

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Leaf area (cm ² plant ⁻¹)
T ₁ Absolute control	147.20 ^d	10.20 ^e	2855.33 ^c
T ₂ RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ (control)	175.87 ^c	12.63 ^d	4618.33 ^b
T ₃ T ₂ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS	185.20 ^{bc}	13.23 ^{cd}	5238.33 ^a
T ₄ T ₂ + FMM @ 0.80%at 30 & 45 DAS	188.00 ^{abc}	13.53 ^c	5447.67 ^a
T ₅ T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹	179.00 ^c	13.08 ^{cd}	4802.33 ^b
T ₆ T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.40%	197.67 ^{ab}	16.00 ^b	5698.33 ^a
T ₇ T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.80%	203.80 ^a	17.50 ^a	5703.33 ^a
S.Em±	5.66	0.26	183.94
CD @ 5%	17.43	0.81	566.77

Table.5 Effect of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture on yield and yield parameters of maize

Treatments	No. of seed rows cob ⁻¹	No. of seeds row ⁻¹	Test Weight (g)	Kernel yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹)	B:C
T ₁	11.24	25.40	23.33	43.83 (87.08)	47.33 (76.78)	2.52
T ₂	12.63	31.30	25.53	55.33 (48.20)	58.67 (42.61)	2.29
T ₃	13.64	33.24	31.81	73.67 (11.30)	80.17 (4.36)	2.42
T ₄	14.07	33.83	32.03	76.33 (7.42)	81.33 (2.87)	2.49
T ₅	13.37	32.70	31.97	72.83 (12.59)	77.22 (8.35)	2.33
T ₆	14.85	34.57	32.37	79.33 (3.36)	82.60 (1.29)	2.44
T ₇	15.10	35.98	33.77	82.00	83.67	2.51
S.Em±	0.44	2.07	0.71	2.35	1.93	
CD @ 5%	1.36	6.39	2.18	7.27	5.95	

Figures in parenthesis indicate per cent increase over control.

Table.6 Effect of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture on soil chemical properties after harvest of maize crop

Treatments		pH	EC (d Sm ⁻¹)	OC (g kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	Absolute control	7.29	0.13	5.30
T ₂	RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ (control)	7.26	0.14	6.70
T ₃	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS	7.25	0.14	6.70
T ₄	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS	7.25	0.14	6.60
T ₅	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹	7.26	0.14	6.6
T ₆	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.40%	7.25	0.15	6.20
T ₇	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.80%	7.24	0.15	6.40
	S.Em±	0.01	0.00	0.20
	CD @ 5%	NS	NS	0.40

Table.7 Effect of soil and foliar application of micronutrients mixture on available N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S after the harvest of maize crop

Treatments		Avail. N (kg ha ⁻¹)	Avail. P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	Avail. K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	Exch. Ca (cmol kg ⁻¹)	Exch. Mg (cmol kg ⁻¹)	Avail. S (mg kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	Absolute control	235.55	5.87	91.03	4.83	0.90	15.75
T ₂	RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ (control)	323.11	19.44	114.68	5.29	1.32	18.29
T ₃	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS	318.47	18.25	108.94	5.20	1.27	18.91
T ₄	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS	317.28	18.22	105.33	5.17	1.26	20.80
T ₅	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹	319.44	18.48	109.39	5.20	1.30	22.65
T ₆	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.40%	310.03	18.00	103.04	5.00	1.17	24.72
T ₇	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.80%	308.41	16.00	102.09	4.97	1.13	25.98
	S.Em±	9.42	0.58	4.17	0.11	0.07	0.59
	CD @ 5%	27.61	1.75	11.37	0.29	0.23	1.82

Table.8 DTPA extractable micronutrient content (mg kg⁻¹) of soil after the harvest of maize crop

Treatments		<i>Fe</i>	<i>Mn</i>	<i>Zn</i>	<i>Cu</i>	<i>B</i>
T ₁	Absolute control	4.28	4.13	0.42	0.38	0.27
T ₂	RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ (control)	5.73	5.59	3.10	1.24	0.35
T ₃	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.40% at 30 & 45 DAS	6.44	7.15	3.36	1.62	0.42
T ₄	T ₂ + FMM @ 0.80% at 30 & 45 DAS	6.44	7.54	3.36	1.62	0.43
T ₅	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹	6.58	7.64	3.85	1.82	0.48
T ₆	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.40%	6.75	7.95	3.86	1.93	0.52
T ₇	T ₂ + SMM@ 30 kg ha ⁻¹ + FMM@ 0.80%	6.82	7.96	3.92	1.95	0.55
	S.Em±	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.09	0.01
	CD @ 5%	0.48	0.72	0.54	0.31	0.03

Among the treatments imposed, the available macronutrients except sulphur recorded least in treatment with combined application of SMM as basal and foliar application of FMM @ 0.80% (T₇) followed by T₆ with combined application of SMM as basal and foliar application of FMM @ 0.40% and highest in T₁ with absolute control followed by control. The available NPK and exchangeable Ca and Mg content in post harvest soil showed decreasing trend with correspond increase in yield. This is due to correspond increase in biomass intern with uptake of nutrients with respect to available sulphur content in post harvest soil recorded maximum in T₇ with combined application of SMM as basal and foliar application of FMM and minimum in absolute control followed by control. The plots where multi micronutrients mixture applied to soil recorded significantly higher available sulphur content compare to foliar application. This is due to indirect supply of sulphur though micronutrients mixture as sulphate salts.

DTPA extractable micronutrient content in soil

Available micronutrients such as Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and B content of soil after the harvest of maize crop increased significantly due to treatments imposed compared to

absolute control (Table 8). The increase in the available micronutrient content was attributed to release of nutrients through mineralization of organic matter and added nutrients through micronutrients mixture. Soil application of enriched FYM (EFYM) with micronutrients mixture @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ increased the micronutrient status of the post-harvest soil (Kannan *et al.*, 2014). Similar results were also noticed by several workers (Prakash *et al.* 2003 and Selvi *et al.*, 2003).

Among the treatments imposed, the available micronutrients recorded significantly highest in treatment with SMM@ 30 kg ha⁻¹+ FMM@ 0.80% followed by T₆ with SMM@ 30 kg ha⁻¹+ FMM@ 0.40% over absolute control and control. Addition of FYM increased the availability of Zn and Fe in soil (Kabeerathumma *et al.*, 1993).

Significantly lower available N, P, K, Ca, Mg content in soil was noticed in the treatment with multi micronutrient application of MM as basal combined @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ with foliar application of MM @ 0.80% (T₇) along with RDF + FYM whereas higher content was observed in S, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and B in soil after the harvest of crop.

In conclusions, Maize crop being exhaustive crop and due to intensive cultivation resulted in the occurrence of wide spread of micronutrient deficiencies in maize growing areas. Application of multi micronutrients is inevitable. Combined application of soil micronutrient mixture grade SMM @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ as basal along with foliar application of FMM @ 0.8% at 30 & 45 DAS gave significantly higher kernel and stover yield over control and found to be more profitable compared to other treatments. Significantly lower available N, P, K, Ca, Mg content in soil was noticed in the treatment with multi micronutrient application of SMM as basal combined with foliar application of FMM (T₇) along with RDF + FYM whereas higher content was observed in S, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and B in soil after the harvest of crop.

References

- Ali, M.A., Tariq, M.H., Ahmed, N., Abid. M. and Rahim, A. (2009) Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to foliar applied boron and zinc fertilizers under irrigated conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Agricultural Engineering and Veterinary Sciences* 29, 114-125.
- Bose, U.S. and Tripathi, S.K. (1996) Effect of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato cv. Pusa Ruby in M.P. *Crop Research* 12, 61-64.
- Choudhary, S.K., Mathur, A.K., Purohit, H.S. and Jain, H.K. (2015) Effect of micronutrients on yield attributes and yield of sorghum, *Annals Plant and Soil Research* 1, 180-182.
- Ei-Magid, A.A., Knany, R.E. and Ei-Fotoh, H.G. (2000). Effect of foliar application of some micronutrients on wheat yield and quality. *Annals Agricultural sciences cariol (special)*, 301-313.
- Ei-Nagar, G.R. (2002) Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and foliar application with micronutrients on white and yellow maize. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 33, 85-102.
- Farhan, H.N. and Al-Dulaemi, M.B. (2011) Theeffect of foliar application of some microelements on growth and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 7, 105-118.
- Hatwar, G.P., Gondane, S.M., Urkade, S.M. and Gahukar, O.V. (2003) Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of chilli. *Journal Soils and Crops*, 13, 123- 125.
- Hazra, G.V. and Mandal, B., 1988, Distribution of Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn in some acid alluvial soils of West Bengal and the effect and submergence on their contents. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Sciences*, 36, 169-172.
- Hegde, D.M, SudhakaraBabu and S.N, Murthy, 2007, Role of customized fertilizers in the improvement of productivity of different crops and cropping systems. In proceedings of national seminar on “Standards and Technology of Value Added/Fortified / Customized Fertilizers as a Source of Plant Nutrients”. (ICAR- IISS, Bhopal, India).
- Kabeerathumma, S., Mohankumar, C. R., Nair, G. M. and Nair, P. G., 1993, Effect of continuous cropping of Cassava (tapioca) with organics and inorganics on the secondary and micronutrient elements status of an Ultisol. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.*, 41: 710-713.
- Kannan, P., Arunachalam, P., Prabukumar, G., and Prabhakaran, J.,2014, Response of Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) to multi micronutrients mixtures under Rainfed Alfisol. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.*, 62(2): 154-160.

- Kinaci, E and Gulmezoglu, N (2007) Grain yield and yield components of triticale upon application of different foliar fertilizers. *Interciencia* 32, 624-628.
- Majumdar, S. and Prakash. N.B., 2018, Prospects of customized fertilizers in Indian agriculture. *Current science* 115, 245-248.
- Malakouti, M. J., 2008, The Effect of micronutrients in ensuring efficient use of macronutrients. *Truk. J. Agric., For.*, 32:215-220.
- Mallick, M.F.R. and Muthukrishnan, C.R., 1980, Effect of micronutrients on the quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Vegetable Science* 7, 6-13.
- Manomani, V. and Sirmathi, P. (2009) Influence of mother crop nutrition on seed and quality of blackgram. *Madras Agricultural Journal* 96, 125-128.
- Marschner, H. (1995) Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. *Academic Press Inc.* London LTD.
- Mendhi, G. and Katakai, R.V. (1994) Effect of micronutrients in increasing the growth and yield of Bhendi. *Horticultural Journal* 7, 155-158.
- Nazim Hussain, Muhammad Alsam Khan and Muhammad Amjad Javed, (2005) Effect of foliar application of plant micro nutrient mixtures on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 8, 1096-1099.
- Nazim. H., Khan, M.A. and Javed, M.A. (2005) Effect of foliar application of plant micronutrient mixture on growth and yield of wheat. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 8, 1096-1099.
- Pasricha, N. S., Singh, Y., Singh, B. and Khind, C. S., 1996. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable crop production. *J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ.* 33: 101-107.
- Prakash, Y. S., Bhadoria, P. B. S. and Amitava Rakshit, 2003, Comparative efficacy of organic manures on the changes in soil properties and nutrient availability in an Alfisol. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 50: 219-221.
- Sareen, H. and Sharma, G. L. (2010) Effect of plant densities and fertilizer level on growth and NPZn uptake by extra early sorghum (sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). *Annals Agricultural sciences* 31, 32-37.
- Selvi, D. Santhy, P., and Dhakshinamoorthy, 2003, Efficacy of long term integrated plant nutrient management on important properties of an Inceptisol. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 90: 656-660.
- Singh, N.J., Herojit, S., Nandini Devi., Nandini Chongatham., Brajendra Singh, P.T., Sharma and Patil, K.P., 2014, Effect of maize- wheat cropping sequence on soil and properties and fertility status. *Bioscan.*, 9(2): 1587-1591.
- Singh, V. (2006) Direct and residual effect of iron application on rice wheat cropping system in recently reclaimed sodic soil. *Annals Plant and Soil Research* 8, 172-173.
- Thiyageshwari, S and Ramanathan, 2001, Uptake of nutrients as influenced by application of micronutrients and cytozyme to soybean in inceptisol. *Journal of Soil Crops* 11, 1-6.