

## Original Research Article

# Growth Performance of Vanaraja Birds under Different System of Management

Nishant Patel\*, Bablu Sundi, Sushil Prasad, Ravindra Kumar and Banani Mandal

Department of Livestock Production and Management, Ranchi Veterinary College, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi (Jharkhand), India

*\*Corresponding author*

## ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to evaluate the growth performance of Vanaraja bird in different system of management. All chicks were brooded up to 8 weeks of age in nursery and thereafter transfer to deep litter, semi intensive and backyard system of management. There is significant variation in growth rate, feed intake and FCR in different management system. At 20<sup>th</sup> weeks of age average body was 1782.40±12.07g, 1773.79±11.10 g and 1736.67±7.85 g in deep litter, semi intensive and backyard system of management respectively. Weight gain was highest in deep litter system of management. Feed consumption was 179.65 g during nursery management. Significant role of management system on daily feed consumption was observed.

### Keywords

Vanaraja, FCR, Management system

## Introduction

Poultry production systems in India are characterized by the simultaneous existence of the traditional extensive system of backyard production and the modern intensive system of production. Vanaraja is dual purpose multi-coloured bird for poultry production. Vanaraja closely resembles the jungle (Desi) fowl in colour and plumage pattern of backyard farming in villages and tribal habitations. The Vanaraja variety does not need any special diet supplement once left free in the backyard. It will feed on worms and other food materials available to it unlike the poultry bird when special care is needed to be taken. Vanaraja has been a hit in the rural environments and local population has accepted it for a backyard farming. Keeping in view the above facts,

the present study is aimed at assessing the effects of three different housing systems (deep litter, semi-intensive and free-range) on growth, production performance of Vanaraja birds under agro-climatic condition of Chotanagpur.

## Materials and Methods

A total of one hundred fifty (150) day-old chicks were taken and maintained for eight weeks (brooding period) on similar feeding and management conditions. After two months of brooding period birds were randomly divided into three groups Intensive, semi-intensive and backyard system. In each group fifty (50) birds were kept. The birds which were supplied to the

farmers for their evaluation under backyard system were housed only at night. Under backyard system, birds were provided with some amount of supplementary feed in the form of kitchen waste, broken rice or wheat in the morning and allowed to walk to a distance in search of feed and these birds used to come back at dusk. Chicks were fed standard balanced feed as per NRC (1994) recommendation.

## Results and Discussion

### Body weight

Effect of different management systems on body weight at weekly intervals was studied. Body weight of day old chicks was  $37.63 \pm 0.25$  g and at 8<sup>th</sup> week of age body weight was  $470.40 \pm 2.83$  g under nursery management. The findings observed in this study are in accordance with the observations of Singh *et al.*, (2000) and Singh (2003) who reported comparatively lower body weight of day old chicks. The body weight of birds under deep litter system was found to be almost similar in

comparison to semi intensive system during most of the experimental weeks though not significant from each other. The mean body weight of birds under backyard system of management were significantly lower in comparison to deep litter and semi intensive system of management during most of the experimental periods. The present findings are in close conformity with findings of Chatterjee *et al.*, (2002), Niranjana and Singh (2005) and Khan *et al.*, (2012).

The difference in results might be attributed to difference in breed-strain of birds, feed supplement, management system and other environmental factors.

### Body weight gain

Gain in body weight from 0-20 weeks of age were to be observed at weekly interval. Effect of management system on body weight gain was observed to be non-significant during most of the experimental periods. The similar results have been reported by Skinner *et al.*, (1990) and Marandi (2001)

**Table.1** Average body weight (g) of Vanaraja birds during nursery management (0-8 weeks)

Periods	Body weight (g)
Day-old	$37.63 \pm 0.25(150)$
1 <sup>st</sup> week	$59.30 \pm 0.50(150)$
2 <sup>nd</sup> week	$96.87 \pm 1.01(150)$
3 <sup>rd</sup> week	$147.50 \pm 1.75(150)$
4 <sup>th</sup> week	$205.04 \pm 2.19(149)$
5 <sup>th</sup> week	$269.13 \pm 31.81(148)$
6 <sup>th</sup> week	$335.38 \pm 2.91(148)$
7 <sup>th</sup> week	$402.13 \pm 2.91(148)$
8 <sup>th</sup> week	$470.83 \pm 2.84(148)$

Figure in parenthesis indicate number of birds

**Table.2** Average body weight (g) of Vanaraja birds at various ages reared under different management systems

Periods	Treatment groups			F value
	T <sub>1</sub> (Deep Litter)	T <sub>2</sub> (Semi-Intensive)	T <sub>3</sub> (Backyard)	
8 <sup>th</sup> week	467.66±4.78(47)	469.50±4.74(48)	476.60±5.35(49)	0.79 <sup>NS</sup>
9 <sup>th</sup> week	551.11±4.29(47)	560.88±4.50(48)	556.78±4.23(49)	0.47 <sup>NS</sup>
10 <sup>th</sup> week	658.00±4.31 <sup>a</sup> (46)	665.29±4.56 <sup>a</sup> (48)	647.63±4.42 <sup>b</sup> (49)	4.05*
11 <sup>th</sup> week	786.76±4.82 <sup>a</sup> (45)	775.17±4.82 <sup>a</sup> (48)	752.86±4.34 <sup>b</sup> (49)	6.31**
12 <sup>th</sup> week	884.00±5.55 <sup>a</sup> (45)	888.77±5.53 <sup>a</sup> (46)	858.24±3.73 <sup>b</sup> (49)	10.96**
13 <sup>th</sup> week	1004.31±6.44 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1002.72±6.29 <sup>a</sup> (47)	968.38±4.01 <sup>b</sup> (48)	12.74**
14 <sup>th</sup> week	1116.04±6.40 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1115.19±6.40 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1075.58±4.41 <sup>b</sup> (48)	14.77**
15 <sup>th</sup> week	1222.80±6.23 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1224.13±7.46 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1184.63±4.83 <sup>b</sup> (48)	13.08**
16 <sup>th</sup> week	1332.49±7.37 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1334.34±8.82 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1290.13±5.30 <sup>b</sup> (48)	11.97**
17 <sup>th</sup> week	1442.00±8.59 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1444.98±8.59 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1400.58±6.07 <sup>b</sup> (48)	9.09**
18 <sup>th</sup> week	1552.18±9.74 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1554.13±10.91 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1505.88±6.58 <sup>b</sup> (48)	8.89**
19 <sup>th</sup> week	1665.96±11.11 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1661.02±11.64 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1621.50±7.10 <sup>b</sup> (48)	5.86**
20 <sup>th</sup> week	1782.40±12.07 <sup>a</sup> (45)	1773.79±11.10 <sup>a</sup> (47)	1736.67±7.85 <sup>b</sup> (48)	5.42**

\*\* P < 0.01, \* P < 0.05, NS= Non-Significant

Figure in parenthesis indicate number of birds

Mean under the same superscript in a row did not differ significantly

**Table.3** Average body weight gain (g) of Vanaraja birds at various ages during nursery management (0-8 weeks)

Periods	Body weight gain (g)
0-1 <sup>st</sup> week	21.77±0.81
1-2 <sup>nd</sup> week	37.48±1.52
2-3 <sup>rd</sup> week	50.62±1.14
3-4 <sup>th</sup> week	57.54±1.22
4-5 <sup>th</sup> week	63.97±1.20
5-6 <sup>th</sup> week	66.38±1.22
6-7 <sup>th</sup> week	66.75±1.45
7-8 <sup>th</sup> week	68.69±1.53

**Table.4** Average weight gain (g) of Vanaraja birds during various periods of growth at under different management systems

Periods	Treatment groups			F value
	T <sub>1</sub> (Deep Litter)	T <sub>2</sub> (Semi-Intensive)	T <sub>3</sub> (Backyard)	
8-9 <sup>th</sup> week	83.45±4.04 <sup>a</sup> (47)	91.38±3.13 <sup>a</sup> (48)	80.18±3.75 <sup>a</sup> (49)	2.26 <sup>NS</sup>
9-10 <sup>th</sup> week	106.89±4.55(46)	104.41±3.78(48)	90.85±2.89(49)	2.25 <sup>NS</sup>
10-11 <sup>th</sup> week	128.76±5.25 <sup>a</sup> (45)	109.88±3.51 <sup>b</sup> (48)	105.23±3.16 <sup>b</sup> (49)	3.60*
11-12 <sup>th</sup> week	97.24±5.55 <sup>a</sup> (45)	113.60±4.48 <sup>ab</sup> (48)	105.38±3.54 <sup>b</sup> (49)	5.15**
12-13 <sup>th</sup> week	120.31±5.78 <sup>a</sup> (45)	113.95±4.39 <sup>b</sup> (47)	110.14±3.68 <sup>b</sup> (48)	4.10*
13-14 <sup>th</sup> week	111.73±5.10(45)	112.47±4.24(47)	107.20±3.52(48)	2.59 <sup>NS</sup>
14-15 <sup>th</sup> week	106.76±5.15(45)	108.94±4.29(47)	109.05±3.62(48)	1.10 <sup>NS</sup>
15-16 <sup>th</sup> week	109.69±5.51(45)	110.21±4.44(47)	105.50±3.48(48)	1.31 <sup>NS</sup>
16-17 <sup>th</sup> week	109.51±5.16(45)	110.64±4.25(47)	110.45±3.59(48)	2.81 <sup>NS</sup>
17-18 <sup>th</sup> week	110.18±5.19(45)	109.15±4.44(47)	105.30±4.24(48)	2.15 <sup>NS</sup>
18-19 <sup>th</sup> week	113.78±5.99 <sup>a</sup> (45)	106.89 <sup>b</sup> ±4.49(47)	115.62 <sup>a</sup> ±4.87(48)	3.87*
19-20 <sup>th</sup> week	116.44±5.33 (45)	112.77±4.85(47)	115.62±4.87(48)	2.49 <sup>NS</sup>

Figure in parenthesis indicate number of birds

Mean under the same superscript in a row did not differ significantly

**Table.5** Weekly feed consumption (g/ bird) of Vanaraja birds at various ages during nursery management (0-8 weeks)

Periods	Amount (g)
0-1 <sup>st</sup> week	102.53(149)
1-2 <sup>nd</sup> week	122.48(146)
2-3 <sup>rd</sup> week	153.27(145)
3-4 <sup>th</sup> week	176.12(144)
4-5 <sup>th</sup> week	198.83(144)
5-6 <sup>th</sup> week	207.19(144)
6-7 <sup>th</sup> week	222.48(144)
7-8 <sup>th</sup> week	254.30(144)
Average (0-8 weeks)	179.65(144)

Figure in parenthesis indicate number of birds

**Table.6** Average feed consumption (g/week/bird) of Vanaraja birds at various ages during various periods reared under different system of management

Period (weeks)	Treatment groups		t-test
	T1 (deep litter)	T2 (semi intensive)	
9-12 week	1554.79(49)	803.66(47)	15.27**
9-16 week	3667.39(49)	1913.85(46)	17.28**
9-20 week	6325.30(49)	3322.82(45)	22.11**

Figure in parenthesis indicate number of birds, \*\*=P< 0.01

**Table.7** Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) of Vanaraja bird during different periods of growth under different management systems

Periods	Treatment groups	
	Nursery management	
0-8 weeks	3.32	
	T1(deep litter)	T2(semi intensive)
9-12 weeks	4.83	2.53
9-16 weeks	4.86	2.54
9-20 weeks	5.38	2.85

**Feed consumption**

Significantly higher feed consumption was observed under deep litter system of management than that of semi-intensive system of management. The feed consumption in birds of semi-intensive system was lower due to less feed supplied

because they also took their food by grazing and scavenging.

The present findings did not agree with the findings of McCartney (1977) and Wo (1985) who reported non- significant effect of type of management system on feed consumption in broiler chicks.

### Feed conversion efficiency

Average feed conversion ratio during 9 to 12, 9 to 16 and 9 to 20 weeks of age was 4.83, 4.86 and 5.38 respectively under deep litter system of management. However, the values were 2.53, 2.54 and 2.85 respectively under semi intensive system of management. The present findings are in close agreement with the findings of Ahuja *et al.*, (1992) and Dou *et al.*, (2009). The difference in results might be attributed to difference in type of birds, amount of feed taken, management system and environment conditions.

### References

- Ahuja, S.D., Bandopadhyay, U.K., Kundu, A. and Gopal Ram. 1992. Influence of stocking density and system of housing on growth characters in Japanese quail. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.* 27(4): 193-197.
- Chatterjee, R.N.; Ahlawat, S.P.S.; Yadav, S.P.; Senani, S.; Kundu, A.; Jeyakumar, S.; Saha, S.K.; Suner, J. and Bharati, D. 2002. Comparative growth performance of Nicobari fowl and their cost effectiveness under backyard and intensive system; *Indian J. Poult., Sci.*, 37: 63 – 66.
- Dou, T.C.; Shi, S.R.; Sun, H.J. and Wang, K.H. 2009. Growth rate, carcass traits and meat quality of slow-growing chicken grown according to three raising systems. *Animal Science Papers and Reports*, vol. 27, no. 4, 361-369.
- Khan. S.H., Khawaja. T., Mukhtar. N., Parveen. A., 2012. Comparative study of growth performance, meat quality and haematological crossbred chicken. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 11: e 39, 2012.
- Marandi, S. 2001. Effect of different feed additives as growth promoter under different managemental systems on broiler production. M.V.Sc. thesis. Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi - 6.
- McCartney, M.G. 1977. Effect of type of housing on production of broilers. *Poultry Science*, 56: 1052 – 1053.
- Niranjan, M. and Singh, N.P. 2005. Performance of Gramapriya under intensive and free range conditions of Tripura. In: National symposium (IPSACON 2005) on Indian poultry production in changed global scenario: Challenges and Opportunities, pp: 197.
- Singh, C.B. 2003. Backyard poultry farming and farm women in central Himalayas. *Indian Poult. Sci. Assoc., OAS*, 8: 48.
- Singh, D.P.; Kamble, K.D.; Katarari, M.C. and Singh, B.P. 2000. Studies on Juvenile growth pattern of Assel breed of Indian native chicken. *Indian Poult. Sci. Assoc. PGB.*, 8:27.
- Skinner, James; T. Izzat A.L. and Waldroup, P.W. 1990. Effect of trace minerals and vitamins. *Indian Poult. Rev.*, XXII: 55-56.
- Wo, E.L. 1985. Comparison of two rearing system wire floor and deep litter for broiler fed a laxative diet. *Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science*, 19:61-67. (Cited from *Animal Breeding Abstract*, 54:71.