

Original Research Article

The Interaction Studies of Microbial Metabolic Activity between Crop Stages, Crop Depth, and Crop System of Main Kharif Crop

Kritika Dongre*, B. Sachidanand and S. S. Porte

Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Agriculture University, Jabalpur (M.P), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Conventional tillage practices may adversely affect long-term soil productivity due to erosion and loss of organic matter in soils. Sustainable soil management can be practiced through conservation tillage (including no-tillage), high crop residue return, and crop rotation. Microorganisms in the soil strongly influence soil processes fulfill key roles in the decomposition of organic matter, Therefore, the constituents of soil microorganisms, such as microbial and microbial community diversity, have often been identified as sensitive indicators of biological indices for maintaining soil health and quality. Conservational agriculture system showed significantly higher total bacterial count in rhizospheric soil of main kharif crop viz. paddy, maize and soybean over conventional agriculture system. Conservational agriculture system proved to be superior over conventional agriculture system. Microbial activity as bacteria, actinomycetes fungi and there metabolic activity microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus were highest at reproductive stage in both conventional and conservational agriculture system in soybean crop.

Keywords

Conventional tillage, Conservational tillage, Zero tillage, Microbial activity

Introduction

Microorganisms in the soil strongly influence soil processes (Garbeva *et al.*, 2004), fulfill key roles in the decomposition of organic matter, the cycling of carbon and nitrogen and the formation and stabilization of soil structure (Loranger-Merciris *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the constituents of soil microorganisms, such as microbial and microbial community diversity, have often been identified as sensitive indicators of biological indices for maintaining soil health and quality (Bending *et al.*, 2004). Unsustainable agricultural practices have resulted in extreme soil erosion (Cai, *et al.*, 2006; Jiang *et al.*, 2007), which can lead to physical and chemical

degradation (Lal *et al.*, 2000). In response to the decline in regional soil quality in the Loess plateau, there has been a gradual shift from conventional tillage towards conservation tillage practices such as zero tillage farming, crop residue retention and crop rotation. These production practices, have resulted in positive effects on crop yield and soil physical and chemical properties (Peixoto *et al.*, 2006), also strongly influence the size, composition, diversity and function of soil microbial communities (Steenwerth *et al.*, 2002; Salles *et al.*, 2006), resulting in significantly altered soil processes. Zero tillage has increased soil organic carbon in the surface

layer (Melero *et al.*, 2009), including increases of up to 100% in microbial biomass C (MB-C) in as little as five years (Franchini *et al.*, 2007), and significantly improved soil microbial activity and diversity as well. Conservation tillage is defined as a tillage system in which at least 30% of crop residues are left in the field and is an important conservation practice to reduce soil erosion. The advantages of conservation tillage practices over conventional tillage include reducing cultivation cost; allowing crop residues to act as an insulator and reducing soil temperature fluctuation; building up soil organic matter; conserving soil moisture. Conventional tillage can lead to soil microbial communities dominated by aerobic microorganisms, while conservation tillage practices increase microbial population and activity as well as microbial biomass.

Materials and Methods

Two field sites were selected for the study were to the research field of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, JNKVV Jabalpur under AICRP on STCR and The Bourlog Institute of South Asia situated at village Khamaria Jabalpur. The initial fertility status of soil before sowing of each crop, composite soil samples from 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm depth was randomly collected from the experimental field. All the possible technical precautions as prescribed for standard soil sampling method have been followed. The soil samples thus obtained from 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm were subjected to various chemical and biological analysis to assess the two field sites for analysis of soil biochemical properties and microbial population counts before sowing of the crop maximum vegetative growth and after harvest of each crop under conventional and conservational

agriculture system. The experimental data were collected subjected to statistical analysis to test the significance of treatments and statistical analysis was done following method using Factorial CRD.

Results and Discussion

The findings obtained during the investigation are discussed with possible reasons for superiority of the system supported with the findings by research workers in India and abroad on various characters under study. Attempts have been made in the following description to evaluate and explain the effect of different treatments on various parameters of paddy, maize and soybean crop recorded in the investigation. The data collected for each parameter was compiled, statistically analyzed and being presented under the following tables. The data presented in table.1. Shows the interaction effect of stage with crop, crop with system and depth on the MBC content. Paddy, maize and soybean had maximum biomass carbon of 138.0, 106.51 and 89.7 respectively and significantly higher at reproductive stage. When compared to crop and system of agriculture the MBC was maximum at initial stage (St_1) having 134.44 and 164.79 in the paddy, maize rhizosphere soil had 100.9 and 152.32 mg/kg while it was 122.73 and 92.69 at harvest stage (St_3) in the soybean rhizosphere soil under conventional and conservational system of agriculture. When compared with crop and depth it was observed that paddy crop at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm had 194.05 and 105.18 respectively followed by maize rhizosphere soil content was 161.97 and 91.26 and lowest of 148.22 and 67.2 MBC content in depth 15-30 cm was recorded under convention and conservational system having 122.73 and 92.69 mg/kg respectively in soybean rhizosphere soil.

Table.1 Interaction between crop (C), stages (St), depth (D), crop and system(S) and crop on microbial biomass carbon (mg/kg)

Crop x Stage				Crop x Depth		Crop x System	
Crop	Initial (St ₁)	Reproductive (St ₂)	Harvest (St ₃)	0-15cm (D ₁)	15-30cm (D ₂)	Conv.	Cons.
Paddy(C ₁)	83.88	138.06	77.30	194.05	105.18	134.44	164.79
Maize(C ₂)	83.10	106.57	63.55	161.97	91.26	100.91	152.32
Soybean(C ₃)	64.13	89.70	61.59	148.22	67.2	122.73	92.69
Mean	77.04	111.44	67.48	168.08	87.88	119.36	136.60
SEm±			0.25		0.21		0.21
CD(p=0.05)			0.75		0.63		0.63

Table.2 Effect of interaction between crop (C), stages (St), depth (D), crop and system(S) and crop on microbial biomass nitrogen in (mg/kg)

Crop x Stage				Crop x Depth		Crop x System	
Crop	Initial (St ₁)	Reproductive (St ₂)	Harvest (St ₃)	0-15cm (D ₁)	15-30cm (D ₂)	Conv.	Cons.
Paddy(C ₁)	15.33	19.18	14.41	32.57	16.34	24.92	23.99
Maize(C ₂)	13.31	17.66	15.81	28.36	18.41	26.74	20.03
Soybean(C ₃)	13.92	27.39	17.86	36.89	22.27	31.24	27.92
Mean	14.19	21.41	16.02	32.61	19.01	27.64	23.98
SEm±			0.36		0.29		0.29
CD(p=0.05)			1.08		0.87		0.87

Table.3 Effect of interaction between crop (C), stages (St), depth (D), crop and system(S) and crop on microbial biomass phosphorus in (mg/kg)

Crop x Stage				Crop x Depth		Crop x System	
Crop	Initial (St ₁)	Reproductive (St ₂)	Harvest (St ₃)	0-15cm (D ₁)	15-30cm (D ₂)	Conv.	Cons.
Paddy(C ₁)	5.07	3.71	2.74	7.71	3.81	6.62	4.89
Maize(C ₂)	2.39	3.67	2.26	4.8	3.51	4.17	4.14
Soybean(C ₃)	5.00	4.39	3.32	8.24	4.46	5.49	7.21
Mean	4.15	3.92	2.77	6.92	3.93	5.43	5.42
SEm±			0.036		0.029		0.029
CD(p=0.05)			0.108		0.087		0.087

The interaction between crop, stage, depth and systems have been worked out and presented in table.2. indicating that all the three crops had maximum MBN at reproductive stage having 19.18, 17.66 and

27.39 mg/kg of microbial biomass nitrogen recorded in the soil of paddy, maize and soybean rhizosphere respectively, having 31.24 and 27.92 mg/kg in conventional and conservational system under two depth 0-15

and 15-30 cm having 36.89 and 22.27 mg/kg of soybean growing soil have been recorded respectively.

The data presented in table.3.shows the interaction between crop and stages of growth varied as paddy and soybean has maximum biomass phosphorus in the initial stage while maize gave highest biomass phosphorus in reproductive stage of growth having 5.07, 3.67 and 5.0 mg/kg under paddy maize and soybean crops.

Crop and depth of soil sample had also affected the biomass having recorded to be high in depth 0-15 and 15-30 cm having 7.71 and 3.81 but observed to be increased to 8.24 and 4.46 in 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth with paddy and soybean crop respectively.

The crops raised under the conventional system and conservational system the MBP was recorded to be 6.62 at initial stage and 7.21 mg/kg in paddy and soybean having maximum MBP at harvest stage under conventional and conservational system respectively. The best interaction obtained was with crop soybean having 8.24 mg/kg at depth 0-15 cm having maximum of 8.24 mg/kg and minimum of 4.46 mg/kg under 15-30 cm while it was 5.49, 7.21 mg/kg in conventional and conservational system of cultivation. The maximum MBP was obtained in case of paddy up to 0-15 cm depth in conventional system, while it was found to be maximum in 15-30 cm depth for soybean crop having 7.21 mg/kg. The MBP in maize crop was almost same in each cases 4.17 and 4.14 mg/kg of soil in conventional and conservational agriculture system.

References

Alvey. S, Yang.CH, Buerkert. A, Crowley. DE, 2003. Cereal/legume rotation effects on rhizosphere bacterial community structure in West African

soils. *Journal of Biology and Fertility of Soils*; 37(2):73-82.

Brenna. S, Acutis. M, Tabaglio. V, Grandi. M. 2014. Conservation Agriculture as a driver for Carbon Credit market. *Journal of European Conservation Agriculture Federation*.

Brookes PC, Landman Andrea, Pruden G, Jenkinson DS. 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: A rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. *Journal of soil biology and biochemistry* 17(6): 837–842.

Carter T.M.R. and Rennie. DA.1982. Changes in soil quality under zero tillage farming systems: distribution of microbial biomass and mineralizable c and n potentials. *Can. J. Soil sci*,62:5g./_597.

Chauhan, PK, Singh. V, Dhatwalia Vinod Kumar, B. Abhishek.2011. Physico-chemical and Microbial activity of soil under Conventional and Organic Agricultural Systems. *Journal of Chem. Pharm*.3(3):799-804.

Franchini JC, Crispino CC, Souza RA, Torres E, Hungria M.2007. Microbiological parameters as indicators of soil quality under various soil management and crop rotation systems in southern Brazil. *Journal of Soil and Tillage Research* 92(1–2):18–29.

Ghosh PK, Das. Anup, Saha. Ritesh, Kharkrang. Enboklang, Tripathi. AK, Munda. GC and Ngachan. SV, 2010. Conservation agriculture towards achieving food security in North East India. *Journal of Current science*, 99(7):915-921.

Jaya Kumar Arjun, Kumarapillai Harikrishnan.2011. Metagenomic analysis of bacterial diversity in the rice rhizosphere soil microbiome. *Research Article, Biotechnol. Bioinf. Bioeng*, 1(3):361-367

Kassam Amir, Friedrich. Theodor, Shaxson Francis, Pretty. Jules. 2009. The spread

- of Conservation Agriculture: justification, sustainability and uptake. *International journal of agricultural sustainability* 7(4): 292–320.
- Lal R, 2000. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change, Review article of *Geoderma* 123,(1–2):1–22.
- Law. Audrey.2009. Evaluating the effects of organic and conventional inputs on soil chemical and biological properties in a four-year vegetable rotation and the investigation of soil microbial properties on plant gene expression. University of Kentucky Doctoral Dissertations. P(704).
- Liua Shuang, Zhanga Xing-Yi, Yangb Jingyi. and Drury Craig F.2013. Effect of conservation and conventional tillage on soil water storage, water use efficiency and productivity of corn and soybean in Northeast China. *Journal of Soil & Plant Science*. 63(5): 383-394.
- Mathew. Reji P, Feng. Yucheng, Githinji. Leonard, Ankumah. Ramble, Balkcom. Kipling S.2012. Impact of No-Tillage and Conventional Tillage Systems on Soil Microbial Communities. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*. Article ID 548620, 10 pages.
- Melero Sebastiana, López-Garrido Rosa, Manuel Murillo José, Moreno Félix.2009. Conservation tillage: Short- and long-term effects on soil carbon fractions and enzymatic activities under Mediterranean conditions. *Journal of Soil and Tillage Research*. 104 (2):292–298.
- Palma Cheryl, Blanco Humberto, DeClerck Fabrice, Gaterea Lydia, Graceda Peter.2014, Conservation agriculture and ecosystem services: An overview. *Journal of Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*,187: 87–105.
- Patra AK, Purakayastha TJ, Kaushik SC, Sharma AR, Behera UK.2008. Conservation tillage, residues management and cropping systems effects on carbon sequestration and soil biodiversity in a semi-arid environment of India. *Journal of Indian Agricultural Research Institute*.
- Peixoto RS, Coutinho HLC, Madari B, Machado PLOA, Rumjanek NG, Elsas JD, Seldin L., Rosado AS.2006 Soil aggregation and bacterial community structure as affected by tillage and cover cropping in the Brazilian Cerrados. *Journal of Soil & Tillage Research* 90:16–28.
- Roldán A, Caravaca F, Hernández MT, Sánchez-Brito C, Velásquez M, Tiscareño M.2003. No-tillage, crop residue additions, and legume cover cropping effects on soil quality characteristics under maize in Patzcuaro watershed (Mexico). *Journal of Soil and Tillage Research* 72(1): 65–73.
- Schmidt. H, and Eickhorst. T. 2014. Detection and quantification of native microbial populations on soil-grown rice roots by catalyzed reporter deposition-fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *Journal of Microbiology Ecology*; 87(2):390-402.
- Scow Kate M.2002. Soil microbial community composition and land use history in cultivated and grassland ecosystems of coastal California. *Journal of Soil Biology and Biochemistry*,34 (11):1599–1611.
- Steenwerth Kerri L, Jackson Louise E, Calderón Francisco J, Stromberg Mark R, Sudhakaran.M, Ramamoorthy. D, Rajesh kumar. S.2013. Impacts of conventional, sustainable and organic farming system on soil microbial population and soil biochemical properties, Puducherry, India. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 4(1):28-40.
- Thornton H G and Gray P H H.1930. The Numbers of Bacterial Cells in Field Soils, as Estimated by the Ratio Method. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* 115(795):522-543.