

Original Research Article

Response of Vermicompost and Inorganic Nutrient Fertilization on Growth, Yield and Phyllanthin Content in Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

N. Divyashree*, V.P. Singh, Y.C. Vishwanath, Vijaymahanthesh, A.B. Mastiholi, G. Bhuvaneshawari and N. Ashoka

^{1,2,3} Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, 587-104, Karnataka.

^{4,5} Department of Agronomy, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, 587-104, Karnataka, India

⁶ Department of Post harvest technology, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, 587-104, Karnataka, India

⁷ Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, 587-104, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Bhumyamalaki is an annual small herb belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae, mainly known in Indian ayurvedic system for its medicinal properties. During 2018-19 in *kharif*, the field experiment was conducted with an objective of increasing yield in *Phyllanthus amarus* with different combinations of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka at Main Horticultural Research and Extension Centre (MHREC), UHS, Bagalkot. Among different treatment combinations, application of V_1F_3 (2 tonnes vermicompost and fertilizer combination of 150: 60: 60 kg NPK per hectare) has recorded significantly maximum plant height (64.9 cm), number of leaves per plant (218.78), number of branches per plant (50.46), plant spread (57.07 cm²), leaf area (446.33 cm²), leaf area index (2.98), Cumulative growth rate (5.96 g/m²/day), Absolute growth rate (0.12 g/day), total dry matter accumulation (11.97 g/plant), fresh herbage yield (10.75 t/ha) and dry herbage yield (5.25 t/ha), the maximum nutrient uptake (N-121.52, P₂O₅-24.96, K₂O-107.73 kg/ha) by the plants, the highest net returns (132226) and B:C ratio (2.95). Whereas, the maximum phyllanthin content (0.87 %) was recorded with the application of V_1F_1 (2 tonnes of vermicompost and fertilizer combination of 50: 30: 30 kg NPK per hectare) and the maximum phosphorous availability in soil (39.21 kg/ha) was recorded with V_1F_0 (2 tonnes vermicompost and fertilizer combination of 0: 0: 0 kg NPK per hectare).

Keywords

Bhumyamalaki, Vermicompost, Inorganic fertilizers, Growth, yield, Phyllanthin content

Introduction

Phyllanthus amarus Schum and Thonn. belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae known popularly in the Indian Systems of Medicine as Bhumyamalaki. This plant may be

indigenous to the tropical Americas (Cabieses, 1993; Morton, 1981; Tirimana, 1987) and the Philippines or India (Cabieses, 1993, Chevallier, 2000). It is a common pantropical weed that grows well in moist,

shady and sunny places (Cabieses, 1993). The plant has been reported to exhibit a marked antihepatitis B virus antigen activity, antibacterial, anticrustacean, antifungal and antiviral activity. The lignans particularly phyllanthin and hypophyllanthin are present in the plant and the whole plant is used in herbal drug preparation. In a number of countries, the aerial part of *P. amarus* is highly valued in traditional medicine for its healing properties (Foo and Wong, 1992). This species is also used in the most popular Ayurvedic formulations, Chyawanprash, which is consumed at large scale, not only in India but also throughout the world (Bhushan, 2003). Hence, there is ample scope for large-scale cultivation of *P. amarus* as a pure crop as every part of this plant has been investigated as a source of valuable compounds used as such in drug preparation. So, there is need to increase the production of the crop to meet its demand. The present study was carried out to assess the role of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers and their interaction effects on the growth, yield and phyllanthin content of *P. amarus*.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during *Kharif* 2018-19 with mutant variety CIM Jeevan at University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, which is situated in Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka (Zone-3) located at 542.0 m above the mean sea level. The investigation was conducted on sandy loam soil with pH 8.14, available nitrogen (238 kg/ha), phosphorus (34.36 kg/ha) and potassium (821.76 kg/ha).

The experiment was laid out in Factorial randomized block design with three replications and there were 8 treatments consisting of different levels. The two levels of vermicompost *viz.*, V_0 & V_1 (0 & 2 t/ha) and four levels of chemical fertilizers, *viz.*,

F_0 , F_1 , F_2 & F_3 (0: 0: 0, 50: 30: 30, 100: 45: 45 and 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ha respectively). Full dose of vermicompost were applied one week before sowing and mixed well, Phosphorus in the form of single super phosphate (P_2O_5) and potash in the form of muriate of potash (K_2O) and 50 per cent nitrogen in the form of urea (N) as per the treatments were applied just before sowing of seeds and remaining 50 per cent of nitrogen was top dressed at 35 days after sowing (DAS). Seeds were line sown at a depth of 1-2 cm using 1 kilogram seeds per hectare with row to row spacing of 15 cm and intra-row spacing of 10 cm. Immediately after sowing light irrigation was provided. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from three replications at harvest (100 DAS).

The observations like plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant were recorded, the plant spread was measured along the East-West and North-South direction with the help of meter scale, leaf area was determined with digital leaf area meter (LI-3100 Area Meter) and LAI was calculated by applying the formula as suggested by Sestak *et al.*, (1971). The total dry matter production was recorded and calculated Cumulative growth rate (CGR) and Absolute growth rate (AGR) using the formula suggested by Watson (1952) for CGR and for AGR by Reford (1967).

The harvesting was done using sickel by cutting whole herb at crown region and weighed for fresh herbage yield. They were dried in the shade to retain the color and weighed for dry herbage yield.

After drying, the plants were analyzed for N, P, and K content and total uptake of each was calculated. Plant nutrient content were determined; total nitrogen by Kjeldhal method suggested by Piper (1966), total

phosphorus by vanadomolybdate method and the total potassium content in the plant samples was estimated by flame photometer method outlined by Jackson (1973) and expressed as percentage on dry weight basis and calculated for kg/ha (nutrient uptake).

The soil samples were collected before and after cropping at a depth of 0-30 cm from each plot and a composite soil sample was drawn and analyzed for N, P, and K content. Where, available nitrogen by using alkaline potassium permanganate method given by Subbiah and Asija, (1956), available phosphorus by chlorostannous reduced molybdo-phosphoric blue colour method and available potassium by flame photometer method as suggested by Jackson (1973) were determined.

Phyllanthin content in the whole herb was estimated by RP-UFLC method (Kshringhar *et al.*, 2016). For estimating the economics of *Phyllanthus amarus* cultivation, the prices of all the inputs and prevailing labour costs were taken into account.

The gross income was worked out based on the prevailing market rate for the shade dried produce (Rs 50 kg⁻¹). The benefit cost ratio was also worked out. The data recorded during the crop period were statistically analyzed using the Fischer's method of analysis of variance technique as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) and the results have been discussed at 5% probability level.

Results and Discussions

The results of the experiment on response of vermicompost and inorganic nutrients fertilization had showed significant effect on growth, yield and phyllanthin content in *Bhumyamalaki*. Among different nutrients combinations, V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ha) showed significantly

maximum plant height (64.9 cm), number of leaves per plant (218.77), number of branches per plant (50.45) which was followed by V₁F₂ and V₁F₁ and plant spread (57.06 cm²) was recorded maximum with application of V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ha) which was on par with V₀F₃ (0 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ha) and V₁F₂ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 100: 45: 45 kg NPK/ha) represented in Table 1.

The leaf area and leaf area index were not significantly differed (Table 2). Where, the increase in leaf area (446.33 cm²) and LAI (2.98) were recorded with V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ha) followed by V₀F₃ (0 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha). This increase in growth parameters might be due to higher nutrient released from both vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers would have resulted in the increased nutrient availability of both macro and micro nutrients, along with improvement in soil health where it enhanced the translocation of photosynthates from source to sink and improved vegetative growth parameters. The results are in conformity with Singh and Ramesh (2002) in sweet basil, Gupta *et al.*, (2013) in *Glorylilly*, Raina *et al.*, (2013) in *Ocimum sanctum*, Priyadarshini *et al.*, (2016) in *Phyllanthus niruri*, Gamar *et al.*, (2017) in fennel and Gupta *et al.*, (2011) in black henbane, Sadhashiv (2010) in ashwagandha and Nadukeri (2006) in coleus.

The maximum CGR and AGR (5.96 g/m²/day and 0.12 g/day, respectively) were observed with V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha) followed by V₀F₃ (0 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha). This increased CGR and AGR might be due to fact that the vermicompost supply macronutrients, micronutrients and organic acids like humic acids, which enhanced the growth rate and also additional supply of

major nutrients by fertilizers resulted in maximum growth rate. Similar trend was found in ashwagandha by Sadhashiv (2010) reported that significantly highest CGR (0.561 g/m²/day) was reported with the application of 0.5 tonne vermicompost + 20: 30: 20 kg NPK per hectare.

The combined application of vermicompost and fertilizers had significant effect on total dry matter production, fresh herbage yield and dry herbage yield (Table 3 & Fig.1). Significantly, maximum dry matter production (11.97 g/pant), fresh herbage yield (10.75 t/ha) and dry herbage yield (5.25 t/ha) was observed with V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha) followed by V₀F₃ (0 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha). This might be due to fact that the combined application of vermicompost and fertilizers help in keeping up biomass yield through correction of minimal lacks of micronutrients, improving effectiveness of connected supplements and providing favorable soil physical conditions and produce completely balanced out natural soil amendments with low C:N proportion and enhance soil fertility and raise crop productivity. Similarly other findings were reported by Singh (2011) in geranium, Rajamanickam *et al.*, (2011) in *Mentha arvensis*, Suresh and Senthinathan (2017) in *Solanum trilobatum*. Raina *et al.*, (2013) in *Ocimum sanctum*. Zaman *et al.*, (2018) in stevia.

The phyllanthin content (Table 3) though significantly not influenced, but found maximum (0.87 %) with V₁F₁ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 50: 30: 30 kg NPK/ ha) followed by V₁F₀ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 0: 0: 0 kg NPK/ ha). This increase in phyllanthin might be due to increased protein synthesis, less starch accumulation and enhancement of enzymatic antioxidant activity by vermicompost localized in

mesophyll cells of plant. The present result was similar with other findings of Kumar *et al.*, (2013) in case of stevia and Sadashiv (2010) in ashwagandha.

The nutrients of N, P and K in the whole plant after harvest is presented in Table 4. The maximum uptake of nitrogen (N- kg/ha), phosphorous (P₂O₅- kg/ha) and potassium (K₂O- kg/ha) was observed with V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha) which was followed by V₁F₂ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 100: 45: 45 kg NPK/ha). This increase in the nutrient uptake by the plants might be due to fact that both the vermicompost and fertilizers are high in nutrient content and application of vermicompost enhanced soil fertility by excreting beneficial soil organisms and secreting polysaccharides, proteins and others which helps in immobilizing the unavailable nutrients to available form in the soil. Hence, increases the uptake and utilization of nutrients by the plants. The results were in line with findings of Singh *et al.*, (2011) in geranium and Rajmanickam *et al.*, (2011) in *Mentha arvensis*.

Soil available nitrogen and potassium content after harvest did not show any significant difference with the application of different levels of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. Whereas, it recorded significant impact on soil available P content (Table 5). Highest available P (39.21 kg/ha) was recorded with the application of V₁F₀ (2 tonnes vermicompost along with 0 kg NPK per hectare). The higher P content in V₁F₀ might be due to high fixation and poor uptake by the plants. As a result, more amount of phosphorous was fixed in the soil. Similar results were observed in stevia by Kumar *et al.*, (2013) and Chand *et al.*, (2001) in mint.

Table.1 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on growth and developmental parameters of *Bhumyamalaiki* (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatments	Growth parameters			
	Plant height	No of leaves	No of branches	Plant spread
Factor A: Vermicompost (V)				
V ₀ : Vermicompost 0t/ha	43.39	121.19	34.96	41.66
V ₁ : Vermicompost 2t/ha	48.80	148.50	39.60	47.03
S.Em±	0.55	2.08	0.41	0.66
C.D at 5%	1.57	5.92	1.16	1.89
Factor B: Inorganic fertilizers(F)				
F ₀ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	31.65	77.05	26.53	31.40
F ₁ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	39.70	106.60	33.03	39.19
F ₂ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	52.29	151.95	41.58	50.34
F ₃ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	60.75	203.79	47.98	56.45
S.Em±	0.78	2.94	0.57	0.94
C.D at 5%	2.22	8.37	1.64	2.67
Interactions (VxF)				
T ₁ : V ₀ F ₀ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	31.15	71.34	25.38	27.94
T ₂ : V ₀ F ₁ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	37.69	96.31	30.78	37.17
T ₃ : V ₀ F ₂ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	48.11	128.32	38.20	45.70
T ₄ : V ₀ F ₃ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	56.60	188.80	45.50	55.83
T ₅ : V ₁ F ₀ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	32.14	82.76	27.68	34.86
T ₆ : V ₁ F ₁ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	41.71	116.90	35.28	41.21
T ₇ : V ₁ F ₂ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	56.46	175.58	44.97	54.98
T ₈ : V ₁ F ₃ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	64.90	218.78	50.46	57.07
S.Em±	1.10	4.16	0.81	1.33
C.D at 5%	3.15	11.84	NS	3.78

Table.2 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on growth attributing physiological parameters of *Bhumyamalaki* (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatments	Physiological parameters			
	Leaf area (cm ²)	LAI	CGR (g/m ² /day)	AGR (g/day)
Factor A: Vermicompost (V)				
V ₀ : Vermicompost 0t/ha	333.22	2.22	4.15	0.08
V ₁ : Vermicompost 2t/ha	372.81	2.49	5.18	0.10
S.Em±	3.40	0.02	0.08	0.00
C.D at 5%	9.67	0.06	0.24	0.00
Factor B: Inorganic fertilizers(F)				
F ₀ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	270.00	1.80	2.86	0.06
F ₁ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	327.28	2.18	4.22	0.08
F ₂ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	386.67	2.58	5.87	0.12
F ₃ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	428.11	2.85	5.71	0.11
S.Em±	4.81	0.03	0.12	0.00
C.D at 5%	13.68	0.09	0.33	0.01
Interactions (VxF)				
T ₁ : V ₀ F ₀ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	248.78	1.66	2.61	0.05
T ₂ : V ₀ F ₁ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	308.00	2.05	3.69	0.07
T ₃ : V ₀ F ₂ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	366.22	2.44	4.85	0.10
T ₄ : V ₀ F ₃ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	409.89	2.73	5.45	0.11
T ₅ : V ₁ F ₀ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	291.22	1.94	3.11	0.06
T ₆ : V ₁ F ₁ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	346.56	2.31	4.75	0.09
T ₇ : V ₁ F ₂ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	407.11	2.71	6.89	0.14
T ₈ : V ₁ F ₃ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	446.33	2.98	5.96	0.12
S.Em±	6.80	0.05	0.00	0.00
C.D at 5%	NS	NS	0.01	0.01

Table.3 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on yield attributing parameters of Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatments	Yield parameters			
	Total dry matter production (g/plant)	Fresh herbage yield (t/ha)	Dry herbage yield (t/ha)	Phyllanthin Content (%)
Factor A: Vermicompost (V)				
V ₀ : Vermicompost 0t/ha	7.23	7.14	3.24	0.77
V ₁ : Vermicompost 2t/ha	8.77	8.58	4.04	0.83
S.Em±	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.01
C.D at 5%	0.19	0.19	0.10	0.03
Factor B: Inorganic fertilizers(F)				
F ₀ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	4.71	5.75	2.35	0.82
F ₁ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	6.74	6.97	3.24	0.83
F ₂ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	9.45	8.70	4.18	0.80
F ₃ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	11.11	10.03	4.82	0.76
S.Em±	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.01
C.D at 5%	0.27	0.28	0.14	0.04
Interactions (VxF)				
T ₁ : V ₀ F ₀ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	4.38	5.46	2.15	0.78
T ₂ : V ₀ F ₁ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	6.10	6.30	2.85	0.79
T ₃ : V ₀ F ₂ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	8.21	7.51	3.59	0.77
T ₄ : V ₀ F ₃ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	10.25	9.30	4.38	0.74
T ₅ : V ₁ F ₀ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	5.04	6.03	2.54	0.85
T ₆ : V ₁ F ₁ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	7.38	7.65	3.62	0.87
T ₇ : V ₁ F ₂ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	10.69	9.90	4.76	0.83
T ₈ : V ₁ F ₃ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	11.97	10.75	5.25	0.77
S.Em±	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.02
C.D at 5%	0.39	0.39	0.20	NS

Table.4 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on nutrient uptake by the plants in Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatments	Nutrient uptake by plants		
	Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Phosphorous (kg/ha)	Potassium (kg/ha)
Factor A: Vermicompost (V)			
V ₀ : Vermicompost 0t/ha	55.09	13.93	55.21
V ₁ : Vermicompost 2t/ha	81.04	18.03	76.35
S.Em±	1.51	0.18	1.17
C.D at 5%	4.30	0.52	3.33
Factor B: Inorganic fertilizers(F)			
F ₀ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	29.13	9.13	33.19
F ₁ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	51.63	13.27	51.90
F ₂ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	84.60	18.82	80.23
F ₃ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	106.90	22.69	97.80
S.Em±	2.13	0.26	1.65
C.D at 5%	7.44	0.74	4.71
Interactions (VxF)			
T ₁ : V ₀ F ₀ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	23.78	7.96	28.64
T ₂ : V ₀ F ₁ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	41.01	11.61	43.58
T ₃ : V ₀ F ₂ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	63.29	15.73	60.73
T ₄ : V ₀ F ₃ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	92.28	20.41	87.87
T ₅ : V ₁ F ₀ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	34.48	10.30	37.74
T ₆ : V ₁ F ₁ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	62.24	14.94	60.21
T ₇ : V ₁ F ₂ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	105.92	21.92	99.73
T ₈ : V ₁ F ₃ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	121.52	24.96	107.73
S.Em±	3.02	0.37	2.34
C.D at 5%	8.59	1.05	6.66


Table.5 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on nutrient availability in soil after harvest in *Bhumyamalaki* (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatments	Nutrient availability in soil		
	Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Phosphorous (kg/ha)	Potassium (kg/ha)
Factor A: Vermicompost (V)			
V ₀ : Vermicompost 0t/ha	202.75	30.41	630.24
V ₁ : Vermicompost 2t/ha	172.90	27.23	603.46
S.Em±	4.58	0.62	8.78
C.D at 5%	13.05	1.76	24.98
Factor B: Inorganic fertilizers(F)			
F ₀ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	247.76	38.05	735.43
F ₁ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	206.11	31.41	657.76
F ₂ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	165.78	24.45	564.58
F ₃ : Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	131.67	21.38	509.64
S.Em±	6.48	0.88	12.41
C.D at 5%	18.45	2.49	35.33
Interactions (VxF)			
T ₁ : V ₀ F ₀ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	250.46	36.89	729.96
T ₂ : V ₀ F ₁ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	221.00	34.56	671.58
T ₃ : V ₀ F ₂ - Vermicompost 0t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	191.78	28.00	607.39
T ₄ : V ₀ F ₃ - Vermicompost 0 t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	147.78	22.21	512.06
T ₅ : V ₁ F ₀ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 0:0:0 kg NPK/ha	245.06	39.21	740.91
T ₆ : V ₁ F ₁ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 50:30:30 kg NPK/ha	191.22	28.26	643.93
T ₇ : V ₁ F ₂ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 100:45:45 kg NPK/ha	139.78	20.90	521.78
T ₈ : V ₁ F ₃ - Vermicompost 2t/ha + Inorganic fertilizers @ 150:60:60 kg NPK/ha	115.56	20.56	507.22
S.Em±	9.17	1.24	17.55
C.D at 5%	NS	3.53	NS

Table.6 Influence of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on economics of Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)

Treatment	Cost of Cultivation (Rs/ha)	Cost of fertilizers (Rs/ha)	Cost of organics (Rs/ha)		Total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Dry herbage yield (t/ha)	Gross returns (Rs/t)	Net returns (Rs/ha)	Benefit Cost ratio
			FYM	Vermicompost					
V₀F₀	55452	-	-	-	55452	1.60	79835	24383	1.44
V₀F₁	55452	947.6	-	-	56399.6	1.93	96296	39897	1.71
V₀F₂	55452	1566.4	-	-	57018.4	2.37	118313	61294	2.07
V₀F₃	55452	2185.2	-	-	57637.2	3.20	159808	102171	2.77
V₁F₀	55452	-	-	10000	65452	1.99	99314	33862	1.52
V₁F₁	55452	947.6	-	10000	66399.6	2.38	118793	52393	1.79
V₁F₂	55452	1566.4	-	10000	67018.4	3.09	154595	87577	2.31
V₁F₃	55452	2185.2	-	10000	67637.2	4.00	199863	132226	2.95


Fig.1 Graphical representation of growth parameters as influenced by interaction of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers in Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)



Vermicompost (V)
 $V_0 = 0 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$
 $V_1 = 2 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$

Fertilizer (F)
 $F_0 = 0:0:0 \text{ NPK (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$
 $F_1 = 50:30:30 \text{ NPK (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$
 $F_2 = 100:45:45 \text{ NPK (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$
 $F_3 = 150:60:60 \text{ NPK (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$

Fig.2 Graphical representation of yield parameters and B:C ratio as influenced by interaction of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers in Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn.)



The maximum net returns (Rs. 132226 /ha) and B:C ratio (2.95) was observed (Table 6 and Fig.2) in V₁F₃ (2 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha) followed by V₀F₃ (0 t vermicompost/ha + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK/ ha). This might be attributed to the enhanced herb yield due to optimum level of nutrients supplied with vermicompost and fertilizers to meet the required nutrient demand of the crop.

From this investigation, it can be concluded that among all the treatment combinations application of 2 tonnes vermicompost + 150: 60: 60 kg NPK per hectare (V₁F₃) recorded the increase in growth parameters, yield parameters, phyllanthin content, maximum uptake of nutrients and higher benefit cost ratio and improvement in soil properties under northern dry zone of Karnataka.

References

Cabienes F.1993. Apuntes de medicina tradicional. La racionalizacion de lo irracional. "Notes of traditional medicine." Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia CONCYTEC Lima-Peru p: 414.

Chand, S., Anwar, M. and Patra, D. D., 2001, Influence of combined application of farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizers on herb, essential oil yield and nutrient accumulation in menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis*). *J. Med. Arom. Plant Sci.*, 23 (1): 29-33.

Chevallier A. 2000. Encyclopedia of Herbal Medicine: Natural Health. Dorling.

Foo, L.Y. and Wong, H., 1992, Phyllanthusin D, an unusual hydrolysable tannin from *Phyllanthus amarus*. *Phytochem.*, 31: 711-713.

Gamar, P. B., Mevada, K. D., Ombase, K. C. and Dodiya, C. J., 2017, Response of drilled *rabi* fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) to integrated nutrient management practices. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 10 (2): 4995- 4998.

Gupta, A. K., Pandey, C. S., Kumar, V. and Kumar, J., 2011, Effect of integrated nutrient management on herbage yield of black henbane (*Hyoscyamus niger* L.). *Open Access J. Med. Arom. Plant.*, 2(1): 10-14.

Gupta, A.K., Pandey, C.S., Kumar, V. and Kumar, J., 2011, Effect of integrated nutrient management on herbage yield of black henbane (*Hyoscyamus niger* L.). *Open Access J. Med. Arom. Plant.*, 2(1): 10-14.

Jackson, M. L., 1973, *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, 2: 485.

Kshrisagar, P.R., Gaikwad, N.B., Panda, S., Hedge, H.V. and Pai, S.R., 2016, Reverse phase ultra flow liquid chromatography diode array detector quantification of anticancerous and antidiabetic drug mangiferin from 11 species of swertia from india. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* 12(45): 32.

Kumar, R., Sharma, S. and Prasad, R., 2013, Yield, nutrient uptake, and quality of stevia as affected by organic sources of nutrient.. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 44: 3137–3149.

Morton JF. 1981. Atlas of Medicinal Plants of Middle America. Library of Congress cataloging in Publication Data. Thomas books. p: 1420.

Nadukeri, S.V., 2006, Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of coleus (*Coleus forskohlii* Briq.). *M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis*, Univ. Agril. Sci., Dharwad.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V., 1967, *Stastical Methods for Agricultural*

- Workers, Indian council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Piper, C. S., 1966, *Soil and Plant Analysis*. Inter Science Publishers, New York.
- Priyadarshini, C., Amikapathy, V. and Paneerselvum, A., 2016, Studies on the effect of different organic biofertilizer on *Phyllanthus niruri*. *Int. J. Cur. Res.*, 8(3): 28110-28112.
- Raina, N. S., Rafiq, M., Kishor, S. K. and Sehgal, S., 2013, Growth and yield of *Ocimum sanctum* in response to integrated nutrient management and plant spacing. *Ind. J. Agronomy.*, 58 (1):129-132.
- Rajamanickam, V., Venkatesan, S. and Arumugam, S., 2011, Effect of organic manures, consortium of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake and profitability of mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.). *Asian J. Hort.*, 6 (1): 191-194.
- Ratna Bhushan. 2003, Chyawanprash market in for healthier glow. Available from: <<http://www.blonnet.com>>.
- Reford, P . J., 1967, Growth analysis formula their uses and abuse. *Crop sci.*, 7: 171-175.
- Sadashiv, R.P., 2010, Integrated nutrient management in ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* Dunal.), *M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis*. Univ. Agril. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- Sestak, A., Caotsky, J. and Jarvis, P. G., 1971, Plant Photosynthetic Production Manual Methods, Dr. W. Jank, N.V. Publishers, The Hague, pp. 343 -381.
- Singh, M. and Ramesh, S., 2002, Response of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) to organic and inorganic fertilizer in semi -arid tropical conditions. *J. Med. Arom. Plant Sci.*, 24: 947- 950.
- Singh, M., 2011, Effect of integrated nutrient management through vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and oil quality of geranium (*Pelargonium graveolens* L' Her. ex Ait.) grown on alfisol. *J. Spices Arom. Crops.*, 20 (2) : 55–59.
- Subbaiah, B. B. and Asija, G. L., 1956, A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, 25 (8): 259-260.
- Suresh, V. and Senthinathan, R., 2017, Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on yield and quality of Thuduvalai (*Solanum trilobatum* L.). *J. Med. Plants Stud.*, 5(4): 123-125.
- Tirimana ASL. 1987. Medicinal plants of Suriname. Uses and Chemical Constituents. Chemical Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries. Suriname. P: 92.
- Watson, D. J., 1952, The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Adv. Agron.*, 4: 101-145.
- Zaman, M. M., Rahman, A., Chowdhury, T. and Chowdhury, M. A. H., 2018, Effects of combined application of chemical fertilizer and vermicompost on soil fertility, leaf yield and stevioside content of stevia. *J. Bangladesh Agri. Univ.*, 16(1): 73–81.