

Original Research Article

Yield Gap Analysis in Mustard Crop through Front Line Demonstration in Balaghat District of Madhya Pradesh

Sunil Kumar Jatav*, B.K. Prajapati, S.L. Waskel, D.R. Agashe and R.L. Raut

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Badgaon, Balaghat, Jawahrlal Nehru Krishi Vishwvidyalaya, Jawalpur, (M.P.), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Front Line Demonstration is one of the most powerful tools for assignment of technology. The present study was undertaken to find out the yield gap through FLDs on mustard crop. Krishi Vigyan Kendra was conducted 24 demonstrations on mustard since 2017-18 to 2018-19 in two adopted villages of Balaghat district. Prevailing farmers' practices were treated as control for comparison with recommended practices. The average two year data observed that an average yield of demonstrated plot was obtained 16.88 q/ha over control (12.13 q/ha) with an additional yield of 4.75 q/ha and the increase average mustard productivity by 39.41 per cent. The average technology gap and index were found to be 4.13 and 19.64 per cent. The extension gap ranged between 3.50q to 4.75 q/ha indicating the need to educate the farmers through various extension approaches for the adoption of improved technologies. The lower value of technology index indicated the feasibility of the demonstrated Mustard crop technology.

Keywords

Demonstration,
Yield, Mustard,
Technology gap

Introduction

The oilseeds contributes second largest agricultural commodity in India after cereals sharing 14 per cent of gross cropped area which accounts for nearly 3 per cent of the gross national product and 10 per cent of value of all agricultural products. These crops are grown under a wide range of agro-climatic conditions. Among the edible oilseeds crops, Rapeseed & mustard occupies an important position in Indian oilseeds scenario. Indian mustard is the most important member of the group, accounting for more than 70% of the area under rapeseed-mustard, followed by *toria*, yellow

sarson and brown *sarson*. Rapeseed and mustard are the third most important edible oilseed crops of the world after soybean and oil palm. Due to the gap between domestic availability and actual consumption of edible oils, India has to resort to import of edible oils. Rapeseed-mustard is the major source of income especially even to the marginal and small farmers in rain-fed areas. Since these crops are cultivated mainly in the rain-fed and resource scarce regions of the country, their contribution to livelihood security of the small and marginal farmers in these regions is also very important. Due to its low water requirement (80-240 mm), rapeseed-mustard crops fit well in the rain-fed cropping system.

Cultivated in 26 states in the northern and eastern plains of the country, about 6.8 m ha is occupied under these crops. Nearly 30.7% area under rapeseed mustard is under rain-fed farming. Despite the high quality of oil and meal and also its wide adaptability for varied agro-climatic conditions, the area, production and yield of rapeseed-mustard in India have been fluctuating due to various biotic and abiotic stresses coupled with India's domestic price support programme. Nevertheless, the crop has potential to ensure the nutritional security and contribute to livelihood security. Mustard is an important food crop of the district and has been considered as productively potential region of mustard crop due to assured irrigation facilities and favorable soil and climate conditions. Technology gap is a major problem in increasing mustard production in the region of the State. So far, not much systematic effort was made to study the technological gap existing in various components of mustard cultivation. With the available improved latest technologies, it is possible to bridge the yield gap and increase the existing production level up to certain extent. Keeping this in view, front line demonstrations were organized in participatory mode with the objective to analyze the yield gaps in mustard cultivation on the newly recommended package of practice.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Badgaon, Balaghat, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh during *Rabi* seasons from 2017-18 to 2018-19 (Two consecutive years) in the farmers field of two adopted villages (Butte Hajari and Anolajiri) of Balaghat district. During this two year of study, in area of 10.0 ha was covered with plot size 0.4 ha under front-line demonstration with active involvement of 24

farmers. Before conducting FLDs, a list of farmers was prepared from group meeting and specific skill training was given to the selected farmers regarding package of practices of mustard. The difference between demonstration package and existing farmers practices are given in Table 1.

The improved technology included modern high yielding varieties, seed treatment, timely sowing, line sowing, maintenance of optimum plant population; recommended fertilizer management, plant protection measures etc. The sowing was done in the month of October last weeks. The spacing was 45x15-20 cm apart and the seed rate of mustard was 4-6 kg/ha. The fertilizers were given as per soil testing value; however, the average recommended dose of fertilizer applied in the demo plots was 60 kg N, 40 kg P₂O₅, 20 kg K₂O and 15kg S per hectare. The Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potash and Sulfur fertilizers were applied through urea, DAP, MOP and elemental S respectively. Half dose of N and full dose of P₂O₅, K₂O and elemental S were applied at the time of sowing and the remaining N was applied at first irrigation. Thinning and first hand weeding within lines was done at 15-25 DAS and second hand weeding was done at 45-50 DAS, if necessary. The crops were harvested at perfect maturity stage with suitable method. In general the soils under study were silty loam in texture with a pH range of 6.5 to 7.5. In demonstration plots, critical inputs in the form of quality seeds of improved varieties, timely weeding, need based of pesticides as well as balanced fertilization, irrigation at critical stages were emphasized by the KVK and comparison has been made with the existing practices (Table 1). The necessary steps for the selection of site and farmers, lay out of demonstration were followed as suggested by Chaudhary (1999). The traditional practices were maintained in case of local check. The data output were

collected from both FLD plots as well as control plot and finally the extension gap, technology gap, technology index along with the benefit-cost ratio were calculated as suggested by Samui *et al.*, (2000).

Technology gap = Potential yield - Demonstration yield

Extension gap = Demonstration yield - Farmers yield

Technology index (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Technology gap}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$$

Results and Discussion

The data showed in Table 2 that the yield of mustard fluctuated successively over the years in demonstration plot. The maximum yield was reported (17.50 q/ha) during the year 2017-18 and minimum yield was reported in the year 2018-19 (16.25 q/ha) and the average yield of two year was reported 16.88 q/ha over farmer's practice (12.13 q/ha). During two years of study, the per cent increase over farmer's practice was between 48.31 and 30.52. On an average, there was 39.41 per cent increase in yield under FLD plots over farmers' practices followed for cultivation of Mustard. The similar results were also reported earlier by Verma *et al.*, (2012); Tomer *et al.*, (2003), Tiwari and Saxena (2001) and Tiwari *et al.*, (2003). The data indicated that the positive effect of front line demonstration over the existing practices towards increasing the yield of mustard in Balaghat district of Madhya Pradesh. During the period of study emphasis the need to educate the farmers through various techniques for adoption of improved agricultural production reverse the trend of wide extension gap. An extension gap

between demonstrated technology and farmers practices ranges from 5.70 to 3.80 q/ha during two years and on average basis the extension gap was 4.75 q/ha (Table 2). This gap might be attributed to adoption of improved technology in demonstrations which resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmers' practices. More and more use of latest production technologies with high yielding variety will subsequently change this alarming trend of galloping extension gap. The new technologies will eventually lead to the farmers to discontinue the old technology and to adopt new technology (Table 2). The similar results were also reported earlier by Goswami *et al.*, (1996) and Hiremath and Nagaraju (2010). Wide technology gap were observed yield 3.50 and 4.75 q per hectore during years 2017-18 and 2018-19, respectively. The average technology gap found was 4.13 q/ha. The difference in technology gap during two years could be due to more feasibility of recommended technologies during the years. Technology gap imply researchable issues for realization of potential yield, while the extension gap imply what can be achieved by the transfer of existing technologies. Similarly, the technology index for all the demonstrations during different years were in accordance with technology gap. Higher technology index reflected the inadequate proven technology for transferring to farmers and insufficient extension services for transfer of technology. The technology index shows the feasibility of the evolved technology at the farmer's fields and the lower the value of technology index more is the feasibility of the technology. The similar results were also reported earlier by Jeengar *et al.*, (2006); and Mitra and Samajdar (2010). The probable reason that could be attributed to the high feasibility of mustard production technology was that the participant farmers were given opportunity to interact with the scientist and they were made

to adopt recommended practices and skills during the process of demonstration.

Different variables like seed, fertilizers, labourers and pesticides were considered as critical inputs for the demonstrations as well as farmers practice. The inputs and outputs prices of commodities prevailed during the study of demonstrations were taken for

calculating gross return, cost of cultivation, net return and benefit: cost ratio (Table 3). Economic returns as a function of grain yield and MSP sale price varied during the years. Maximum returns (Rs. 35,500/- ha) during the year 2017-18 was obtained due to higher grain yield and MSP sale rates as declared by Government of India.

Table.1 Comparison between demonstration packing and existing practices under mustard crop

S. No.	Particulars	Mustard Crop	
		Recommended Practice	Farmers Practice
1.	Farming situation	Irrigated	Irrigated
2.	Variety	Pusa Jay Kisan	local
3.	Time of sowing	Last weeks of October	Late of November
4.	Method of sowing	Line sowing	Broadcasting
5.	Seed treatment	Thiram @2.5 g/kg of seed	Without seed treatment
6.	Seed rate	4-6 kg/ha	6-8 kg/ha
7.	Thinning	15-20 DAS	No thinning practice
8.	Fertilizer dose	NPKS (60:40:20:15)	NPK (100:30:00:00)
9.	Irrigation	Needs 2 irrigations, first at branching stage (30 DAS) and the second at pod formation stage (60-65 DAS)	Irrigation applied not taken in explanation of critical stages
10.	Weed management	2 hand weedings at 25 and 40 DAS or application of pre-em herbicide pendimethalin @ 1.00 kg/ha. If the weeds emerge after planting, isoproturon @ 0.75 kg/ha may be sprayed 30 days after sowing.	No weeding
11.	Plant protection	Methods of Integrated pest and disease management for the management of pest and diseases.	Injudicious use of pesticides and fungicides
12.	Harvesting and threshing	Harvested as soon as the pods turn yellowish and moisture content of the seed is about 40%. Moisture content of the seed necessity is less than 8% at the storage time.	Harvested of over-matured crops causes shattering of grains. Not considered of seed moisture content at harvesting and storage.

Table.2 Grain yield and gap analysis of front line demonstrations on mustard at farmer’s field

Year	Area (ha)	No. of farmers	Seed yield (q/ha)		Increase (%)	Technology gap (q/ha)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
			Demon	Control				
2017-18	2	12	17.50	11.80	48.31	3.50	5.70	16.67
2018-19	2	12	16.25	12.45	30.52	4.75	3.80	22.62
Total/Average	4	24	16.88	12.13	39.41	4.13	4.75	19.64

Table.3 Gross return (Rs./ha), Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha), net return (Rs./ha) and B:C ratio as affected by improved and local Technologies

Year	Gross return		Cost of cultivation		Net Return		B: C ratio	
	RP*	FP**	RP	FP	RP	FP	RP	FP
2017-18	34500	26124	70000	47200	35500	21076	2.03	1.81
2018-19	37345	27345	65000	49800	27655	22455	1.74	1.82
Average	35923	26735	67500	48500	31578	21766	1.88	1.81

* Recommendations practices and ** Farmer’s practices

The higher additional returns and effective gain obtained under demonstrations could be due to improved technology, nonmonetary factors, timely operations of crop cultivation and scientific monitoring. The highest benefit: cost ratio (BCR) was 2.03 during the year 2017-18 might be due to higher MSP sale rate declared by Government of India. It depends on grain yield and MSP. Overall average BCR was found to be 1.88 among the demonstrated plots. The results confirm the findings of frontline demonstrations on oilseed and pulse crops by Verma *et al.*, (2012); Yadav *et al.*, (2004) and Lathwal (2010).

It was thus, concluded that the use of scientific method of Mustard cultivation can reduce the technological gap to a considerable extent thus leading to increase productivity of mustard in Balaghat districts of Madhya Pradesh. On the basis of the result obtained in present study it can be

concluded that use of improved method of mustard cultivation can reduced the technology gap to a considerable extent thus leading to increase productivity of mustard in the district. The extension gap showed an increasing trend. Extension gap ranging between 3.80-5.70q/ha during the study period emphasizes the need to educate the farmers through various means for adoption of improved agricultural production technologies to reverse the trend.

Technology index which shows the feasibility of the technology demonstrated has depicted good performance of the intervention. The farmers where improved technology was demonstrated also acted as primary source of information for other farmers on the improved practices of mustard cultivation and also acted as source of good quality pure seeds in their locality for the next crop. The concept of front line demonstration may be applied to all farmer

categories including progressive farmers for speedy and wider dissemination of the recommended practices to other members of the farming community.

It is concluded that, the cultivation of mustard with improved technologies has been found more productive and yield might be average increased up to 39.41 per cent. Technology and extension gap extended which can be bridges by popularity package of practices with emphasis of improved variety. Replacement of variety with newly released variety will increase the production and net income. Recommend technology was found to be suitable since it fits well to the existing farming situation and also it had been appreciated by the farmers.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the ATARI, Director, Zone-IX, Jabalpur (ICAR) for providing financial assistance towards conducting this front line demonstration.

References

Chaudhary BN. (1999) Krishi Vigyan Kendra- A guide for KVK managers. Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR, 73-78.

Goswami SN, Choudhary AN, Khan AK. (1996) Yield gap analysis of major oilseed of Nagaland. *Journal of Hill Research*. 9(1):85-88.

Hiremath SM, Nagaraju MV. (2010) Evaluation of on-farm front line demonstrations on the yield of chilli. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*. 23(2):341-342.

Jeengar KL, Panwar P, Pareek OP. (2006) Front line demonstration on maize in Bhilwara District of Rajasthan. *Current Agriculture*. 30(1/2):115-116.

Lathwal OP. (2010) Evaluation of front line demonstrations on blackgram in irrigated agro ecosystem. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 31(1 and 2): 24-27.

Mitra Biplab and Samajdar Tanmay. (2010) Yield gap analysis of rapeseed-mustard through front line demonstration. *Agricultural Extension Review*. 12(6):16-17.

Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mandal AK, Saha D. (2000). Evaluation of front line demonstration on groundnut. *Journal of Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research*. 18(2):180-183.

Tiwari KB, Saxena A. (2001). Economic analysis of FLD of oilseed in Chhindwara. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. 16(3&4): 185-189.

Tiwari RB, Singh V, Parihar P.(2003) Role of FLD in transfer of gram production technology. *Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education*. 2003; 22(1):19.

Tomer LS, Sharma BP, Joshi K. Impact of front line demonstration of soyabean in transfer of improved technology. *Journal of Extension Education*. 22(1): 139.

Verma S., Verma D.K., Giri S.P. and Vats A.S. (2012) Yield gap analysis in mustard crop through front line demonstrations in Faizabad District of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 1: (3) 79-83.

Yadav DB, Kamboj BK, Garg RB. (2004) Increasing the productivity and profitability of sunflower through front line demonstrations in irrigated agroecosystem of eastern Haryana. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy*. 20(1 and 2): 33-35.