

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.253>

Effect of Postharvest Treatments on Storage and Quality of Carrot cv. New Kuroda at Ambient Temperature

J. Cheena^{1*}, Prashanth², Natarajan Seenivasan³, Hanuman Naik⁴ and Saidaiah⁵

¹Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Research Station, ²Floriculture Research Station, ³Office of Controller of Examination, ⁴Vegetable Research Station, Rajendranagar, ⁵College of Horticulture, Mojerla, Wanaparthi, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Mulugu (V & M), Siddipet dist., Telangana, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out at Floriculture Research Station, Rajendranagar, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Mulugu (V & M), Siddipet Dist., Telangana State during the period of 2017-18 and 2018-19 to determine the effect of postharvest treatments on storage and quality of carrot at ambient temperature. The study was conducted with Hot water at 50⁰C dipping for one minute, H₂O₂ 1 per cent for one minute dipping, NaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute, CaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute, CaCl₂ 3 per cent dipping for five minutes. The experiment was laid out in CRD with three replications. All the parameters in terms of vitamin C, TSS, Carotene, reducing sugars, total sugars were significantly differed among storage and quality of carrot. The minimum per cent of physiological loss in weight (10.37 %), firmness (9.48 kg/cm²) and maximum shelf life (8.70) was recorded in T₅ (CaCl₂). minimum per cent of decay (27.43 %) and maximum β-carotene content (2.62 mg/100g), ascorbic acid content (2.65 mg/100g), TSS content (12.69 °Brix), reducing sugars (1.25 mg/100g), total sugars (4.58 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment).

Keywords

Carrot, Storage, Ascorbic acid, Reducing sugars

Article Info

Accepted:
17 August 2020
Available Online:
10 September 2020

Introduction

Carrot is an important vegetable throughout the world as human food and now under

cultivation in many countries. Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) is one of the vegetable crops which belongs to the family Umbelliferae. Carrot is indigenous to Asia and is a popular salad

vegetable. It is a rich source of carotene, a precursor of vitamin A. It consists of nutrients such as proteins, vitamins, carbohydrates, fiber, potassium, sodium, thiamin and riboflavin and also high in sugar. Its use increases resistance against the blood and eye diseases.

The quality of carrot is influenced by both biotic and abiotic parameters, and after harvest, the critical operations are handling, storage and processing (Seljasen *et al.*, 2013). Carrots are vulnerable to water loss and proper packaging will prevent desiccation, hence prolong the shelf life. Low temperatures and absence of ethylene and sufficient oxygen in the packaging headspace atmosphere are important to avoid quality deterioration (Seljasen *et al.*, 2013).

According to Holden *et al.*, (1999) raw carrot roots contain, on average, 12% of dry matter, 4.5% of sugars, 2.0% of dietary fibre, 5.7mg/100g of vitamin C. The high biological value of this vegetable relates mainly to carotenoid compounds and dietary fibre, which are components of carrot roots tissue (Alasalvar *et al.*, 2001). Carotenoids are red, orange or yellow fat soluble plant pigments. In human organism, some carotenoids are converted into vitamin A (Handelman, 2001). The main carotenoid compounds found in carrot roots are α -carotene and β -carotene (Simon and Wolff 1987, Mayer-Miebach and Spiess 2003). Other data show that carotenoid content is highly differentiated among carrot cultivars and ranges from 4 to 25mg/100g or even more (Rubatzky *et al.*, 1999). Skrede *et al.*, (1997) found that high carotenoid content in carrot results in a more reddish and darker color of the roots. Carotenoid content increases with the age and size of storage root (Lee 1986, Rosenfeld 1998).

Soluble sugars are the dominant storage compounds in carrot roots. They account for

34-70% of dry weight of the root and are stored in vacuoles of the parenchyma (Daie 1984, Nilsson 1987). Most of the produced carrots are stored for several months before consumption. Carrot roots can be stored successfully for 6-8 months in cold store conditions, depending upon the cultivar and quality of the roots. Recommended storage conditions are: temperature of 0-1°C and 95-98% RH (Stoll and Weichmann 1987). Storage conditions are among the main factors influencing changes of carrot roots quality during postharvest period (Seljasen *et al.*, 2001). However it is believed that carotenoid content in the roots is little affected by postharvest storage (Koca and Karadeniz, 2008). Sometimes an increase of carotenoid content is observed (Le Dily *et al.*, 1993, Kopas-Lane and Warthesen 1995, Kidmose *et al.*, 2004).

Sugar compounds are the substrates used during storage for the respiration process in the plant tissue. Increasing hexoses and decreasing sucrose content was observed during storage of carrot roots (Suojala, 2000).

The objective of the study was to determine the influence of long-term storage of carrot, at ambient temperature conditions, on quality traits, related to their physical and chemical parameters.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out entitled “Effect of postharvest treatments on storage and quality of carrot cv. New kuroda at ambient temperature” was carried out during *Rabi* and Summer (2017-18 and 2018-19), the experiment was carried out at Floriculture Research Station, Rajendranagar, SKLTSU, Hyderabad.

The experiment was conducted to study the effect of chemicals on postharvest treatments

such as with Hot water at 50⁰C dipping for one minute, H₂O₂ 1 per cent for one minute dipping, NaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute, CaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute, CaCl₂ 3 per cent dipping for five minutes. The experiment was laid out in CRD with three replications.

Mature carrot roots were harvested and transported on the same day to the Laboratory. The fruits were grouped according to replication and treatment. They were then trimmed and washed with clean tap water to remove any dirt. Each treatment included one kg of roots with three replications and stored at ambient conditions.

Per cent of Physiological loss in weight (PLW)

Physiological loss in weight was calculated by subtracting the final weight from the initial weight. The results were then expressed in percentage using following formula.

$$\% \text{ PLW} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

Firmness (kg/cm²)

Regression Model: A typical linear regression model is agreement between the FIR values measured by shown in equation 1:

$$Y = k_0 + k_1 X_1$$

Where:

Y = Dependent variable, for example FIR of carrot
 X = Independent variable, for example TSS of carrot

k , k = Regression coefficients

In order to predict carrot FIR based on TSS of carrot the linear regression model FIR = k + k TSS was 0 1 suggested.

Percentage of decay (%)

The number of decayed roots was expressed as a percentage of the total number of roots in the treatment at start of storage.

TSS (⁰Brix)

Total soluble solids content was determined by using ERMA Hand Refractometer. Five observations from each treatment were recorded and average was calculated. The total soluble solids content was expressed in ⁰Brix.

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)

$$\text{Dye factor} = \frac{0.5}{\text{Titer}}$$

Ascorbic acid was determined by using the formula given by Ranganna (1986):

Calculation

Ascorbic acid =

$$\frac{\text{Titre value} \times \text{Dye factor} \times \text{Volume made up} \times 100}{\text{Aliquot of extraction taken for estimation} \times \text{volume of sample taken for estimation}}$$

β-carotene (mg/100g)

β-carotene was determined by using the formula given by Srivastava and Sanjeev Kumar (2002).

$$\beta\text{- Carotene (mg / 100g)} = \frac{\text{O.D. of sample} \times 13.9 \times 10^4 \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 560 \times 1000}$$

Reducing sugar

Reducing sugars content in root was determined at root maturity by using Lane and Eyon method (AOAC, 1965).

Results and Discussion

Physiological loss in weight (%)

The different postharvest treatments were found to be significant with respect to per cent of physiological loss in weight during storage at ambient temperature (Table-1). Significantly minimum per cent of physiological loss in weight (10.37 %) was recorded in T₅ (CaCl₂) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) (10.57 %), and maximum physiological loss in weight (17.28 %) was recorded in T₆ (control).

Firmness (kg/cm²)

The significant difference was found with respect to firmness during storage at ambient temperature (Table-1). Significantly maximum firmness (9.48 kg/cm²) was recorded in T₅ (CaCl₂) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) (9.41 kg/cm²), and minimum per cent of firmness (5.48 kg/cm²) was recorded in T₆ (Control).

Decay percentage

The different postharvest treatments were found to be non significant with respect to decay during storage at ambient temperature (Table-1). Significantly minimum per cent of decay (27.43 %) was recorded in T₂ (H₂O₂) followed by T₅ (CaCl₂) (31.90 %), and maximum decay (54.20 %) was recorded in T₆ (control).

β-carotene (mg/100g)

The significant difference was found with respect to β-carotene content during storage at

ambient temperature (Table-1). Significantly maximum β-carotene content (2.62 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment) followed by T₅ (CaCl₂) (2.50 mg/100g). Minimum β-carotene content (2.38 mg/100g) was recorded in T₆ (control).

Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)

The different postharvest treatments were found to be significant with respect to ascorbic acid content during storage at ambient temperature (Table-2). Significantly maximum ascorbic acid content (2.65 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) and T₅ (CaCl₂) (2.58 mg/100g). Minimum ascorbic acid content (2.50 mg/100g) was recorded in T₆ (control).

TSS (°Brix)

The different postharvest treatments were found to be significant with respect to TSS content during storage at ambient temperature (Table-2). Significantly maximum TSS content (12.69 °Brix) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment) followed by T₂ (H₂O₂) (12.04 °Brix). Minimum TSS content (11.85 °Brix) was recorded in T₆ (control) which was on par with T₄ (CaOCl₂) (11.91 °Brix) and T₄ (CaOCl₂) (11.91 °Brix).

Reducing sugars (mg/100g)

The significant difference was found with respect to reducing sugars during storage at ambient temperature (Table-2).

Significantly maximum reducing sugars (1.25 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) (1.23 mg/100g) and T₅ (CaCl₂) (1.19 mg/100g). Minimum reducing sugars (1.17 mg/100g) was recorded in control which was on par with T₃ (NaOCl₂) (1.19 mg/100g).

Table.1 Effect of postharvest treatments on physiological loss in weight, content, decay percentage and β -carotene of carrot stored at ambient temperature

Treatments	Physiological loss in weight (%)	Firmness (kg/cm ²)	Decay percentage	β -carotene (mg/100g)
T ₁	12.80	7.73	43.27	2.62
T ₂	10.57	9.41	27.43	2.45
T ₃	10.61	7.83	43.93	2.42
T ₄	14.17	8.33	51.20	2.38
T ₅	10.37	9.48	31.90	2.50
T ₆	17.28	5.48	54.20	2.40
SE(m)±	0.18	0.09	0.54	0.02
C.D. at 5%	0.55	0.27	1.68	0.08

T₁-Hot water at 50⁰C dipping for one minute; T₂- H₂O₂ 1 per cent for one minute dipping; T₃- NaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute; T₄- CaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute; T₅- CaCl₂ 3 per cent dipping for five minutes; T₆- Control (No treatment)

Table.2 Effect of postharvest treatments on ascorbic acid, TSS, reducing sugars, total sugars and shelf life of carrot stored at ambient temperature

Treatments	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)	TSS (⁰ Brix)	Reducing sugars (mg/100g)	Total sugars (mg/100g)	Shelf life (days)
T ₁	2.65	12.69	1.25	4.58	7.00
T ₂	2.59	12.04	1.23	4.56	8.00
T ₃	2.58	11.91	1.19	4.53	7.50
T ₄	2.56	11.91	1.21	4.51	7.80
T ₅	2.59	11.97	1.19	4.53	8.70
T ₆	2.50	11.85	1.17	4.51	6.00
SE(m)±	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.44
C.D. at 5%	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	1.37

T₁-Hot water at 50⁰C dipping for one minute; T₂- H₂O₂ 1 per cent for one minute dipping; T₃- NaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute; T₄- CaOCl₂ 150 ppm dipping for one minute; T₅- CaCl₂ 3 per cent dipping for five minutes; T₆- Control (No treatment)

Total sugars (mg/100g)

The different postharvest treatments were found to be significant with respect to total sugars during storage at ambient temperature (Table-2). Significantly maximum total sugars (4.58 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) (4.56 mg/100g). Minimum total sugars were recorded in both T₆ (control) (4.51 mg/100g) and T₄ (CaOCl₂) (4.51 mg/100g).

Shelf life

The maximum shelf life (8.70) was recorded in T₅ (CaCl₂) which was on par with T₂ (H₂O₂) (8.00), T₄ (CaOCl₂) (7.80) and T₃ (NaOCl₂) (7.50). Minimum shelf life (6.00) was recorded in control which was on par with T₁ (hot water treatment) (7.00).

From this investigation, it can be concluded that the minimum per cent of physiological

loss in weight (10.37 %), firmness (9.48 kg/cm²) and maximum shelf life (8.70) was recorded in T₅ (CaCl₂). minimum per cent of decay (27.43 %) and maximum β-carotene content (2.62 mg/100g), ascorbic acid content (2.65 mg/100g), TSS content (12.69 °Brix), reducing sugars (1.25 mg/100g), total sugars (4.58 mg/100g) was recorded in T₁ (hot water treatment).

References

- Alasalvar, C., Grigor, J.M., Zhang, D., Quantick, P.C. and Shahidi, F. 2001. Comparison of volatiles phenolics, sugar, antioxidant, vitamins and sensory quality of different colored carrot varieties. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 49:1410-1416.
- Daie J. 1984. Characterization of sugar transport in storage tissue of carrot. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 109: 718-722.
- Handelman G.J. 2001. The evolving role of carotenoids in human biochemistry. *Nutrition* 17: 818-822.
- Holden J.M., Eldridge A.L., Beecher G.R., Buzzard M., Bhagwat S., Davis C.S., Douglass L.W., Gebhardt S., Haytowitz D., Schakel S. 1999. Carotenoid content of U.S. foods: an update of the base. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* 12: 169-196.
- Kidmose U., Hansen S.L., Christensen L.P., Edelenbos M., Larsen E., Norbek R. 2004. Effects of genotype, root size, storage and processing on bio-active compounds in organically grown carrots (*Daucus carota* L.). *J. Food Sci.* 69(9): 388-394. [DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2621. 2004. tb 09955.x]
- Koca N., Karadeniz F. 2008. Changes of bioactive compounds and anti-oxidant activity during cold storage of carrots. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019-2025. [DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2621. 2008. 01811.x].
- Kopas-Lane L.M., Warthesen J.J. 1995. Carotenoid Photostability in Raw Spinach and Carrots During Cold Storage. *J. Food Sci.* 60(4): 773-776. [DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2621. 1995.tb 06226.x].
- Lane and Eyon method (AOAC, 1965). AOAC. 1965. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Analytical chemists. 2nd edition.
- Le Dily F., Villeneuve F., Boucaud J. 1993. Qualite et maturite de la racine de carotte: influence de la conservation au champ et au froid humide sur la composition biochimique. *Acta Hortic.* 354: 187-199.
- Lee C. 1986. Changes in carotenoid content of carrots during growth and post-harvest storage. *Food Chem.* 20(4): 285-293.
- Mayer-Miebach E., Spiess W.E.L. 2003. Influence of cold storage and blanching on carotenoid content in Kintoki carrots. *J. Food Engin.* 56: 211-213. [DOI: 10.1016/S0260-8774(02)00253-4]
- Nilsson T. 1987. Growth and chemical composition of carrots as influenced by the time of harvest. *J. Agric. Sci.* 108: 459-468.
- Ranganna, S. 1986. Hand book of Analysis and quality control for fruits and vegetable products. Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi.
- Rosenfeld, H.J. 1998. The influence of climate on sensory quality and chemical composition of carrots for fresh consumer and industrial use. *Acta Hortic.* 476, 69- 76.
- Rubatzky, V.E., Quiros, C.F. and Simon, P.W., 1999. Carrots and related vegetable Umbelliferae. *CABI Publishing*, New York.
- Seljasen R., Bengtsson G., Hoftun H., Vogt G. 2001. Sensory and chemical changes in five varieties of carrot in response to mechanical stress and postharvest. *J.*

- Sci. Food Agric. 81:436-447.
- Seljasen, R., Kristensen, H.L., Lauridsen, C., Wyss, G.S., Kretzschmar, U., Birlouez-Aragone, I., Kahl, J. (2013). Quality of carrots as affected by pre- and postharvest factors and processing. *J Sci Food Agric* 93: 2611-2626.
- Simon P.W., Wolff Y. 1987. Carotenes in typical and dark orange carrots. *J. Agric Food Chem.* 35: 1017-1022.
- Skrede G., Nilsson A., Baardseth P., *et al.*, (1997). Evaluation of carrot varieties for production of deep fried carrot chips. III. Carotenoids. *Food Research International* 30: 73-81.
- Srivastava, P., Srivastava, B.K. and Singh, M.P. 2002. Effect of date of planting and growing environment on the plant survival, growth and yield of early cauliflower in rainy season. *Vegetable Science.* 29(2): 157-160.
- Stoll, K., Weichmann, J. 1987. Root vegetables. Post harvest physiology of vegetables. In: Weichmann, J. (eds.). M. Dekker, New York, Pp. 541-553.
- Suojala, T. 2000. Variation in sugar content and composition of carrot storage roots at harvest and during storage. *Sci.Hort.*, 85: 1-19.

How to cite this article:

Cheena, J., Prashanth, Natarajan Seenivasan, Hanuman Naik and Saidaiah. 2020. Effect of Postharvest Treatments on Storage and Quality of Carrot cv. New Kuroda at Ambient Temperature. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(09): 2034-2040.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.253>