

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.047>

Influence of Different Levels of Fertility and Plant Geometry on Growth and Yield of *Bt* Cotton

Amit Singh*, M. L. Khichar, Ram Niwas, Sagar Kumar, Divesh, Mamta and Rahul

Department of Agricultural Meteorology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125 004, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Yield, Plant height, LAI and Dry matter

Article Info

Accepted:

04 August 2020

Available Online:

10 September 2020

A field experiment was carried out during the *kharif* season of 2015 at the research farm of Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS HAU, Hisar (Lat. 29° 10' N, Log. 75°46' E and 215.2 m msl) to study the effect of fertility and plant spacing on plant height, dry matter accumulation, LAI and yield of the *Bt* cotton. The experiment was laid out in split-split plot design with 3 varieties (RCH 602, RCH 650 and Bunty) in main plot, 3 plant geometries (67.5 × 75 cm, 67.5 × 60 cm and 67.5 × 45 cm) in sub plot and 3 fertilizer levels (Recommended Dose of NPK (RDF= N:P:K: 175:60:60 kg/ha), 125 per cent of Recommended Dose of NPK and 150 per cent of Recommended Dose of NPK) in sub-sub plot with 3 replications. RCH 602 attained maximum plant height, dry matter accumulation, LAI and yield (1248.7 kg ha⁻¹) followed by RCH 650 and Bunty. Maximum plant height and LAI at 120 days after sowing were recorded in plant geometry 67.5 × 45 cm, whereas the plant geometry 67.5 × 75 cm have maximum dry matter accumulation and yield (1120.7 kg ha⁻¹). Maximum plant height, dry matter accumulation and LAI (at 120 days after sowing) were found significantly higher in RDF 150 per cent, however the maximum yield was recorded with RDF 125 per cent (1094.3 kg ha⁻¹).

Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) known as "The king of fibre" and the leading fibre crop of the India. Cotton is an important raw material for the Indian textile industry and an important cash crop of the country. The cotton crop also called 'white gold' enjoys a premier position amongst all commercial crops in India. In India, the cotton productivity is very low as compared to other countries. But after the launching of

"Technology Mission on Cotton" by Government of India in February 2000, the significant achievements have been made in increasing yield and productivity through development of high yielding varieties, better farm management practices, increased area under cultivation of *Bt* cotton hybrids. After introduction of transgenic *Bt* hybrid cotton in India, the productivity of cotton has increased substantially from 303 to 561 kg/ha within a span of seven years (Tayade *et al.*, 2011).

Among the nutrients nitrogen (N) is required most consistently and in larger amounts than the other nutrients for production of cotton (Hou *et al.*, 2007). Nitrogen plays an essential role in canopy area development and photosynthesis (Wullschleger and Oosterhuis, 1990). Nitrogen also increased the cotton yield (Bondada *et al.*, 1996; Boquet *et al.*, 1993). Potassium is important in maintaining the osmotic potential and water uptake during fiber development and a shortage will result in lower yields (Oosterhuis, 2001). Plant density is also an important factor that influences the cotton yield. Maximum yield is achieved at optimum plant density which depends upon environmental condition, cropping system and cultivar (Bridge *et al.*, 1973; El-Shinnawy and Ghaly, 1985; Halemani and Hallikeri, 2002). However, farmers are adopting various plant geometries with wider row spacings as well as closer plant spacings. As *Bt* cotton cultivation has resulted in early setting of bolls, ultimately it requires more nutrients. Therefore, the present study was carried out to know the effect of spacing and fertility levels growth and yield of *Bt* cotton.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* seasons of 2015-16 at the Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS HAU, Hisar (Lat 29° 10' N, Long 75° 46' E and 215.2 m msl). The experiment was conducted in split-split plot design with three replications. The experiment consist of three varieties *viz.* V₁=RCH 602, V₂= RCH 650 and V₃= Buntly were kept in main plots while three spacing *viz.* S₁= 67.5 cm × 45 cm, S₂=67.5 cm × 60 cm and S₃= 67.5 cm × 75 cm with three fertilizer levels *viz.* F₁= RDF, F₂= 125% of RDF and F₃= 150% of RDF application of the recommended dose were kept in subplots. Recommended dose of fertilizer is (RDF) N:P:K=175:60:60 kg ha⁻¹.

Plant height (cm)

The plant height in centimeters was recorded by measuring the height from ground level to the tip of the plant at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing.

Leaf area index (LAI)

Three plants were uprooted from each plot and their leaves were used for measuring leaf area per plant (cm²) with the help of leaf area meter (LI-3000 Leaf Area Meter, LICOR Ltd., Nebraska, USA) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing:

$$LAI = \frac{\text{Total green leaf area of plant (cm}^2\text{)}}{\text{Total ground area (cm}^2\text{)}}$$

Dry matter (g plant⁻¹)

Three plants per plot were harvested from the ground level. To know the change in dry matter accumulation at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing. The samples were first air dried and then oven dried at a temperature of 70 °C till constant weight was obtained. Dry weight was recorded and was expressed on per plant basis.

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Net area from each plot was harvested. The seed cotton yield from net plot was recorded and computed as seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Plant height (cm)

Data presented in the table 1 indicated that the different treatments had a major effect on the plant height. The plant height recorded at various intervals revealed that plant height increase with the progression of crop growth till maturity. The cultivar RCH 602 attain

maximum plant height at all the recorded intervals *i.e.* 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing 38.2 cm, 96.4 cm, 150.0 cm, 152.6 cm and 154.6 cm, respectively tailed by the RCH 650 and Bunty.

Different plant spacing affect the plant height significantly except at 30 and 60 days after sowing where the plant height was significantly at par with each of the plant spacing. At 30 and 60 days after sowing the plant height among the spacing remain at par, after 90 days onward the narrow plant spacing (67.5 × 45 cm) attained higher plant height *viz.* 139.2 cm, 147.1 cm, 149.1 cm at 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing, respectively in comparison to the wider plant spacings. At 120 days after sowing, plant spacing 67.5 × 60 cm and 67.5 × 75 cm attain plant height 147.3 cm, 141.9 cm, respectively. This indicated that plant height decrease with the

increase in the plant spacings. Prasad *et al.*, (2000); Nehra and Chandra (2001); Nehra and Kumawat (2003) and Kaur and Brar (2005) reported that plant height decrease in wider plant spacing as compared to the narrow plant spacings.

Fertilizer levels had a positive effect on the plant height, data indicated that plant height increased with the increase in fertilizer levels from RDF to 150 per cent of RDF. And maximum plant height at all the intervals was appeared with the fertilizer level 150 per cent of RDF and at 150 days after sowing the plant height was 148.4 cm, which was higher than the 125 per cent RDF (145.7 cm) and RDF (144.2 cm). Sagarka *et al.*, (2002); Thokale *et al.*, (2004) and Ram and Giri (2006) also reported alike results that plant height increase with an increase in fertilizer levels.

Table.1 Effect of plant spacing and fertilizer levels on plant height (cm) of cotton crop recorded at different intervals

TREATMENTS	Plant height (cm)				
	30 DAS	60DAS	90DAS	120 DAS	150 DAS
Cultivars					
RCH 602	38.2	96.4	150.0	152.6	154.6
RCH 650	37.2	88.8	134.9	149.1	150.8
Bunty	34.7	83.8	119.9	130.7	133.0
CD at 5%	0.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.7
Plant spacing					
67.5 x 45 cm	36.8	90.8	139.2	147.1	149.1
67.5 × 60 cm	36.8	89.2	137.5	145.4	147.3
67.5 × 75 cm	36.5	89.1	128.1	139.8	141.9
CD at 5%	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1
Fertilizer levels					
RDF	35.7	88.5	133.4	142.1	144.2
125% of RDF	36.2	89.6	134.5	144.2	145.7
150%of RDF	38.2	90.9	136.9	146.1	148.4
CD at 5%	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

RDF = Recommended Dose of Fertilizer

DAS= Days After Sowing

Table.2 Effect of different plant spacing and fertilizer levels on dry matter accumulation (g plant⁻¹)

Dry matter (g plant ⁻¹)					
Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	150 DAS
Cultivars					
RCH 602	9.5	62.5	260.9	372.3	452.5
RCH 650	9.4	57.2	225.4	278.6	323.8
Bunty	8.9	56.7	203.5	226.2	320.3
CD at 5%	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.27
Plant spacing					
67.5 × 45 cm	8.2	55.2	201.6	267.0	340.4
67.5 × 60 cm	9.1	58.3	224.8	285.5	371.6
67.5 × 75 cm	10.6	62.9	263.3	324.6	384.5
CD at 5%	0.01	0.24	0.24	0.03	0.17
Fertilizer levels					
RDF	8.7	55.1	197.2	273.4	357.6
125% of RDF	8.8	59.9	236.5	300.0	362.6
150% of RDF	10.2	61.4	255.9	303.6	376.3
CD at 5%	0.01	0.23	0.23	0.02	0.21

RDF = Recommended Dose of Fertilizer

DAS= Days After Sowing

Table.3 Leaf area index recorded at different stages of crop growth, as affected by plant spacing and fertilizer levels

Leaf area index (LAI)					
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	150 DAS
Cultivars					
RCH 602	0.3	1.3	3.5	6.2	3.2
RCH 650	0.2	1.3	3.3	4.9	2.0
Bunty	0.2	1.3	3.2	4.4	1.8
CD at 5%	0.020	0.011	0.004	0.083	0.003
Plant spacing					
67.5 × 45 cm	0.3	1.4	3.6	5.6	2.7
67.5 × 60 cm	0.3	1.3	3.3	5.5	2.7
67.5 × 75 cm	0.3	1.3	3.2	5.3	2.4
CD at 5%	0.016	0.013	0.002	0.066	0.001
Fertilizer levels					
RDF	0.2	1.3	3.1	5.0	1.9
125% of RDF	0.3	1.3	3.4	5.1	2.3
150% of RDF	0.3	1.3	3.5	5.3	2.9
CD at 5%	0.015	0.019	0.001	0.061	0.001

RDF = Recommended Dose of Fertilizer

DAS= Days After Sowing

Table.4 Effect of plant spacing and fertilizer levels on cotton yield

TREATMENTS	Seed cotton Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)
Cultivars	
RCH 602	1248.7
RCH 650	1080.1
Bunty	868.7
CD at 5%	81.1
Plantspacing	
67.5 × 45 cm	998.8
67.5 × 60 cm	1078.0
67.5 × 75 cm	1120.7
CD at 5%	81.1
Fertilizerlevels	
RDF	1017.1
125% of RDF	1094.3
150% of RDF	1086.2
CD at 5%	NS

RDF = Recommended Dose of Fertilizer
 DAS= Days after Sowing

Dry matter accumulation (g plant⁻¹)

Data showed in table 2 revealed that the dry matter accumulation per plant had really influenced by the different levels of plant spacing and fertilizer levels at various intervals of crop growth. Among the varieties the cv. RCH 602 accumulated the maximum dry matter per plant at the various intervals viz. 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing 62.5 g, 260.9 g, 372.3 g and 452.5 g, respectively except at 30 days after sowing where RCH 602 (9.5 g) was statistically at par with RCH 650 (9.4 g). Plant spacing significantly affect the dry matter accumulation. Wider plant spacing 67.5 × 75 cm accumulated more dry matter as compared to other two narrow plant spacings at all the recorded growth intervals at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing 10.6 g, 62.9 g, 263.3 g, 324.6 g and 384.5 g, respectively followed by 67.5 × 60 cm and 67.5 × 45 cm. The present results similar with those of

Bhalerao *et al.*, (2010) and Kumar *et al.*, (2011) that the dry matter accumulated in wider plant spacing was more than that of the narrow plant spacing. Data showed that there was an increase in dry matter accumulation with the increase in the fertility levels. 150 per cent of RDF accumulated maximum dry matter than the other two fertility levels. Dry matter accumulated by the 150 per cent of RDF, 125 per cent of RDF and RDF at 150 days after sowing were 376.3 g, 362.6 g and 357.6 g, respectively. Bhalerao *et al.*, (2010) and Paslawar *et al.*, (2014) also reported that there was an increase in the dry matter accumulation with increased fertilizer levels as compared to the lower levels of fertilizers.

Leaf Area Index

Data in table 3 showed that there was a significant effect of all the treatments on LAI. Among all the treatments LAI increase upto

120 days after sowing after that a decrease in LAI was noted. LAI reached its peak value at 120 days after sowing and then decline after that due to leaf senescence. Variety RCH 602 attained maximum LAI (6.2) at 120 days after sowing followed by RCH 650 and Bunty were 4.9 and 4.4, respectively.

Plant spacing greatly influenced LAI, among the plant spacing the narrow plant spacing 67.5 x 45 cm have the maximum LAI (5.6) at 120 days after sowing followed by 67.5 x 60 cm and 67.5 x 75 cm were 5.5 and 5.3 at 120 days after sowing, respectively.

Darawsheh *et al.*, (2009) also reported that the narrow plant spacing have higher LAI in comparison to wider plant spacing. LAI increase with the increase in fertilizer levels and attain maximum at 120 days after sowing. Higher LAI (5.3) noticed with the 150 per cent of RDF followed by other two fertilizer levels *viz.* 125 per cent of RDF and RDF were 5.1 and 5.0, respectively. Felix *et al.*, (2003) reported that LAI increase with increase in fertilizer levels.

Seed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The observed data clearly shows that the seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹ was significantly influenced by different treatments. Among the varieties cv. RCH 602 have the maximum seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹(1248.7kg ha⁻¹) followed by RCH 650 and Bunty were 1080.1 kg ha⁻¹ and 868.7kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

The highest seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹ (1120.76 kg ha⁻¹) was observed under wider plant spacing (67.5 cm x 75 cm) than the other plant spacing, However seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹ (998.83 kg ha⁻¹) was found lowest with narrow plant spacing of 67.5 cm x 45 cm.

Fertilizer levels had positive effect on seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹ and increased with

increase in fertilizer levels upto 125per cent of RDF. The maximum seed cotton yield kg ha⁻¹ (1094.31) was recorded with 125 per cent of RDF. Bhalerao and Gaikwad (2010) reported higher seed cotton yield with the application of 125 per cent of RDF.

In conclusion the seed cotton yield increased with increase in fertilizer level upto 125 per cent of RDF further increase in fertility had no significant effect on the seed cotton yield. Plant spacing had a positive effect on the seed cotton yield. Plant height and LAI negatively associated with plant spacing, plant height and LAI decrease with increase in plant spacings.

However, dry matter accumulation per plant increase with increase in plant spacing. Application of higher amount of fertilizer had positive effects on plant height, LAI and dry matter accumulation per plant, they increase with increase fertility.

References

- Bhalerao, P.D. and Gaikwad, G.S. 2010. Productivity and profitability of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) under various plant geometry and fertilizer levels. *Indian J. Agron.*, 55(1): 60-63.
- Bondada, B.R., Oosterhuis, D.M., Norman, R.J., Baker, W.H., 1996. Canopy photosynthesis, growth, yield, and boll 15N accumulation under nitrogen stress in cotton. *Crop Sci.* 36, 127–133.
- Boquet, D.J., Moser, E.B., Breitenbeck, G.A., 1993. Nitrogen effects on boll production of field-grown cotton. *Agron. J.* 85, 34–39.
- Bridge, R.R., Meredith, W.R., Chism, J.F., 1973. Influence of planting method and plant population on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Agron. J.* 65, 104–110.
- Darawsheh, M.K., Khah, E.M., Aivalakis, G., Chachalis, D. and Sallaku, F., 2009. Cotton row spacing and plant density cropping systems I. Effects on accumulation and partitioning of dry mass and LAI. *J. Food*

- Agric. Environ, 7(3-4), pp. 258-261.
- El-Shinnawy, A., Ghaly, F.M., 1985. Effect of plant density on growth and yield in two Upland cotton cultivars (*G. hirsutum* L.). Agric. Res. Rev. Egypt 63, 109–119.
- Felix B.F., B.A. Roberts, R.L. Travis, D.W. Rains and R.B. Hutmacher. 2003. Response of irrigated acala and pima cotton to nitrogen fertilization growth, dry matter partitioning, and yield. Agron. J., 95:133-146.
- Fritschi, F.B., Roberts, B.A., Travis, R.L., Rains, D.W. and Hutmacher, R.B., 2003. Response of irrigated Acala and Pima cotton to nitrogen fertilization: Growth, dry matter partitioning, and yield. Agronomy Journal, 95(1), pp.133-146.
- Halemani, H.L., Hallikeri, S.S., 2002. Response of compact and early maturing cotton genotypes to plant population levels under rainfed conditions. J. Cotton Res. Dev. 16, 143–146.
- Hou, Z., Li, P., Li, B., Gong, Z., Wang, Y., 2007. Effects of fertigation scheme on N uptake and N use efficiency in cotton. Plant Soil 290, 115–126.
- Kaur, M. and Brar, A.S. 2005. Influence of different spacing on yield and yield attributes of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). J. Cotton Res. Dev., 19(2): 197-199.
- Kumar, M., Pannu, R.K., Nehra, D.S. and Dhaka, A.K. 2011. Effect of spacing and fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of different cotton genotypes. J. cotton Res. Dev., 25(2): 236-239.
- Nehra, P.L. and Chandra, M. 2001. Performance of hirsutum cotton under different sowing dates and spacing. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 15(2): 147-150.
- Nehra, P.L. and Kumawat, P.D. 2003. Response of hirsutum cotton varieties to spacing and nitrogen levels. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 17(1): 41-42.
- Oosterhuis, D., 2001. Physiology and nutrition of high yielding cotton in the USA. Informac, õesAgronômicas Piracicaba 95, 18–24.
- Paslawar, A.N., Deotalu, A.S., Deshmukh, S.B. and Bhongle, S.A. 2014. Productivity of arboreum cotton hybrids and quality as influenced by plant spacing and fertilizer management. Periodic Res., 3(1): 14-16.
- Prasad, H., Nehra, P.L., Gothwal, D.K. and Singh, H. 2000. Effect of date of sowing and spacing on seed cotton yield. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 14(2): 232-234.
- Ram, M. and Giri, A.N. 2006. Response of newly released cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) varieties to plant densities and fertilizer levels. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20(1): 85-86.
- Sagarka, B.S., Malavia, D.D., Solan, R.M., Kachot, N.A. and Dabhi, B.M. 2002. Effect of irrigation method and nitrogen on yield and quality of winter cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Indian J. Agron., 47(4): 544-549.
- Tayade, A.S., Raju, A.R. & Dhoble, M.V. 2011. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis in *Bt* and non *Bt* cotton hybrids (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). J. Cotton Res. Dev., 25(2): 147-151.
- Thokale, J.G., Raut, R.S. and Mehetre, S.S. 2004. Effect of fertilizers and spacing on yield parameters of interspecific hybrid Phule-492 under summer irrigated conditions. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 19(1): 167-168.
- Wullschleger, S.D., Oosterhuis, D.M., 1990. Canopy development and photosynthesis of cotton as influenced by nitrogen nutrition. J. Plant Nutr. 13, 1141–1154.

How to cite this article:

Amit Singh, M. L. Khichar, Ram Niwas, Sagar Kumar, Divesh, Mamta and Rahul. 2020. Influence of Different Levels of Fertility and Plant Geometry on Growth and Yield of *Bt* Cotton. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(09): 377-383.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.047>