Drip Fertigation Effects on Quality Characters of Elephant Foot Yam and Water Use Efficiency of Elephant Foot Yam+Green Gram Intercropping System
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted during 2013 and 2014 at the Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Dumuduma, Bhubaneswar, Odisha to study the drip fertigation effects on quality characters of elephant foot yam [Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson] and water use efficiency of elephant foot yam+green gram (Vigna radiata L.) intercropping system. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with four replications. The experiment consisted of six treatments i.e. T1-Soil application of fertilizers N-K2O @ 100-100 kg ha⁻¹, T2-Fertigation of N-K2O @ 60-60 kg ha⁻¹, T3-Fertilization of N-K2O @ 80-80 kg ha⁻¹, T4-Fertilization of N-K2O @ 100-100 kg ha⁻¹, T5-Fertilization of N-K2O @ 120-120 kg ha⁻¹ and T6-Fertilization of N-K2O @ 140-140 kg ha⁻¹. During the final land preparation FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ was applied along with P2O5 @ 80 kg ha⁻¹ as single super phosphate (SSP), borax @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ and zinc sulphate @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ in all the treatments. The result revealed that increasing fertigation level increased nutritional status. Greater amount of protein, sugar, starch and mineral nutrient yields were noticed in the treatment T6 followed by T5 during both the years of study. The system productivity and water use efficiency were also greater in treatment T6. However the difference between T6 and T5 was negligible during both the years of study. Thus, the treatment fertigation of N-K2O @ 120-120 kg ha⁻¹ (T6) was found optimum for elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system.
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Introduction
The elephant foot yam [Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson (Aracea)], is regarded as king of tuber crops due to its high yield potential and profitability (Nedunchezhiyan and Byju, 2005). Elephant foot yam is a rich source of different minerals such as potassium, calcium, phosphorus, iron, zinc and selenium. The corm also supplies several vitamins like vitamin A, C and B6 (Chowdhury and Hussain, 1979; Sakai, 1983; Bradbury and Holloway, 1988; Parkinson, 1984; Mukhopadhyay and Sen, 1999). It provides energy about 330 KJ/100 g. It contains 72-79% moisture, 18-24%
carbohydrate, 1.7-5.0% protein, 0.2-0.4% fats and 0.8% edible fibre. It contains omega-3 fatty acids and diosgenin, a molecular hormone which has potential anticancer effect. Bradbury and Holloway (1988) reported that elephant foot yam used as carminative, expectorant, restorative, stomachic and tonic. It is dried and used in the treatment of piles and dysentery. It reduces cholesterol levels in blood, acts as an anticoagulant. It can be safely consumed by diabetic people, helps to maintain the hormonal balance by increasing the estrogen level in women. It can relieve the women from pre-menstrual syndrome as well; haemorrhoids patients are also prescribed to have elephant yam (Bradbury and Holloway, 1988). Chowdhury and Hussain (1979) reported that the elephant foot yam consumed by people looking for weight reduction as it is low in fat content (0.2-0.4%). Because of its wide medicinal benefits and nutritional profile, elephant yam is considered as a potential nutritious and curative food.

In India, elephant foot yam is cultivated mainly in Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, West Bengal, North-Eastern states, Kerala, Bihar and Uttar Pradesh (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014a). It is planted at wider spacing. It takes three months to fully cover the ground and that allows intercrop to grow in wider spaces. There is a great possibility for utilizing the interspaces of elephant foot yam during early growth stage by growing short duration cucurbitaceous vegetable crops like bitter gourd (Momordica charantia L.), ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula L.), bottle gourd (Lagenaria siceraria L.), etc (Chattopadhyay et al., 2008 and Singh et al., 2013). Intercropping green gram (Vigna radiata L.) was found suitable in elephant foot yam (Nedunchezhiyan and Byju 2005; Jata et al., 2018a and 2018b). Incidence of collar rot was found decreased in elephant foot yam + turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) (1:2) intercropping (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014b). The intercropping systems showed superiority to sole cropping in starch content and reduction in calcium oxalate content in the corms of elephant foot yam (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014b).

Nutrients are pre-requisites for enhancing quantity and quality of crop yields (Achakzai et al., 2012). Fertigation is a method of application of fertilizers through irrigation, which enables adequate supply of water and nutrients with precise timing and uniform distribution to meet the crop requirement to get maximum yield (Patel and Rajput, 2000; Chawla and Narda, 2002; Nedunchezhiyan, 2017). Drip fertigation is considered to be the most efficient in saving of water (Behera et al., 2013). In elephant foot yam, drip fertigation saved water 4,341,000 L/ha (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2017). Elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system is getting popular in India (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2008). Though few studies on fertility management for elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system is available, research work on effect of drip fertigation on quality of elephant foot yam and water use efficiency is not available. Keeping the above in view, an investigation was carried-out to find the effects of fertigation on quality of elephant foot yam and water use efficiency of elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted during 2013 and 2014 at the Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (20°14’ N and 85°47’ E at 33 m above mean sea level), Dumuduma, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam in texture. The soil was low in organic carbon (0.42%), available nitrogen (93.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and available potassium (89.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and medium in available phosphorus.
(12.6 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) with normal soil reaction (pH 6.8). The climate condition of the area is warm and moist with hot and humid summer and mild winter. The average annual rainfall of the experimental site is 1693.5 mm out of which nearly 80% is received during June to September. The experiment elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping was laid out in randomized block design with four replications. The experiment consisted of six treatments i.e. T\(_1\)-Soil application of fertilizers N-K\(_2\)O @ 100-100 kg ha\(^{-1}\), T\(_2\)-Fertigation of N-K\(_2\)O @ 60-60 kg ha\(^{-1}\), T\(_3\)-Fertigation of N-K\(_2\)O @ 80-80 kg ha\(^{-1}\), T\(_4\)-Fertigation of N-K\(_2\)O @ 100-100 kg ha\(^{-1}\), T\(_5\)-Fertigation of N-K\(_2\)O @ 120-120 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and T\(_6\)-Fertigation of N-K\(_2\)O @ 140-140 kg ha\(^{-1}\). During the final land preparation FYM @ 10 t ha\(^{-1}\) was applied along with P\(_2\)O\(_5\) @ 80 kg ha\(^{-1}\) as single super phosphate (SSP), borax @ 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and zinc sulphate @ 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in all the treatments. The 1\(^{st}\) season elephant foot yam crop was planted on 18\(^{th}\) April 2013 and the 2\(^{nd}\) season crop was planted on 16\(^{th}\) April 2014. The elephant foot yam (var. Gajendra) seed weighing 400-500 g was planted at the spacing of 90 × 90 cm on the ridges bellow 5 to 10 cm depth of the soil with the help of spade. The green gram (var. Dauli) seeds were sown (5 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) continuously on single row on the top of the ridges immediately after planting of elephant foot yam. After 15 days of sowing green gram plants were thinned 15 cm apart. In soil application treatment the nutrient N as urea and K\(_2\)O as muriate of potash (MOP) were applied in three equal splits at 45, 75 and 105 days after planting (DAP) by band placement around elephant foot yam just after weeding followed by earthing up. In fertigation treatments the nutrient N as urea and K\(_2\)O as water soluble sulphate of potash (SOP) were applied in five equal splits at 15, 45, 75, 105 and 135 DAP along with irrigation water through drip. The required quantity of urea and sulphate of potash as per the treatments were dissolved separately in a plastic bucket and dilute it at 1:5 (w/v) proportions of fertilizer and water. The scheduled quantity of fertilizers solution was given through ventury system for each treatment separately. After complete of fertigation normal water was passing through the ventury for 5 minutes to avoid treatment contamination. A valve was provided at the beginning of each lateral of each plot for controlled fertigation. The drippers were fixed on the laterals in such a way that each elephant foot yam plant was covered by two drippers with the spacing of 15 cm. The drip irrigation at 80% cumulative pan evaporation was applied at every three days interval during dry spells. A total of 202.8 and 213.9 mm water was applied during dry spells of 2013 and 2014, respectively.

The fully matured green gram pods were plucked at 60\(^{th}\) and 75\(^{th}\) days after sowing (DAS). The haulms of the green gram were left in the field and trampled them to act as mulch. The elephant foot yam crop was harvested at 8\(^{th}\) months after planting (MAP) i.e., 17\(^{th}\) December 2013 and 15\(^{th}\) December 2014 of 1\(^{st}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) season crops, respectively. Growth observations of green gram were recorded at 75\(^{th}\) DAS and yield attributes and yield at harvest. The elephant foot yam growth observations were recorded at 5\(^{th}\) MAP, dry matter production and partitioning was carried out at 3\(^{rd}\), 5\(^{th}\) and 8\(^{th}\) MAP and yield attributes and yield at 8\(^{th}\) MAP. Sugar, starch and oxalate content of elephant foot yam corm were determined on fresh weight basis by following the standard procedure described by Moorthy and Padmaja (2002). The mineral elements N, P and K were analysed by following standard procedures and expressed on dry weight basis. The Ca, Mg and Zn contents of elephant foot yam corm were determined by using atomic absorption spectro photometer from the digested samples used for analysis of P and K and expressed on dry weight basis.
protein content was obtained by multiplying the total nitrogen content of corm with a factor 6.25 (Ainara et al., 2013) and expressed on dry weight basis. The nutritional yields were computed by nutrient content of corms multiplied with dry matter/corm yield per ha. System productivity (SP) and water use efficiency (WUE) were computed as follows:

\[
SP (\text{t ha}^{-1}) = \text{Corm yield of elephant foot yam (t ha}^{-1}) + \\frac{\text{Seed yield of green gram (t ha}^{-1}) \times \text{Price of green gram (Rs t}^{-1})}{\text{Price of elephant foot yam (Rs t}^{-1})}
\]

\[
WUE (\text{kg ha-cm}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{System productivity (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Amount of water applied (cm)}}
\]

The data were statistically analyzed and significance between mean differences among treatments for various parameters was analyzed using critical differences (CD) at 0.05 probability level.

**Results and Discussion**

**Nutritional value of elephant foot yam corms**

The drip fertigation effects on nutritional parameters like protein, sugar, starch, oxalate and mineral elements such as Ca, Mg and Zn contents in elephant foot yam corms were presented in the Table 1. The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the protein percentage of the corm during both the years. However, the year 2014 recorded higher mean value compared to the year 2013. The highest protein percentage was recorded with treatment T6 which was significantly lower than T1, T3 and T4 in the year 2013 and significantly lower than other levels of fertigation in the year 2014. The levels of fertigation had no significant influence on the sugar percentage of the corm during both the years. However, the year 2013 recorded higher mean value compared to the year 2014. The treatment T1 recorded lower sugar percentage than all other levels of fertigation. The levels of fertigation had no significant influence on the starch percentage of the corm during both the years. However, the year 2014 recorded higher mean value compared to the year 2013. However, the highest starch percentage was recorded with treatment T5 (15.7%) in the year 2013 and with treatment T3 (16.2%) in the year 2014. Patel and Mehta (1987) reported an application of N increased the starch contents of the corms. Ashokan et al., (1984) observed the starch content of tuber increased with increasing levels of N and K2O in sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.). Mukhopadhyay and Sen (1986) reported that quality of corms improved with increasing levels of both N and K. The levels of fertigation showed significant effect on the oxalate content of the corm during both the years. The year 2013 recorded low oxalate mean value compared to the year 2014. All the levels of fertigation recorded significantly lower oxalate content values than soil application of NK fertilizers. The less oxalate content values were observed with the increase in the levels of fertigation. The relatively lower oxalate content value was recorded with the treatment T5 and with treatment T6 for the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. Ambarwati and Murti (2001) reported that corm yield (diameter and weight) of Amorphophallus variabilis negatively correlated with corm oxalate content. Nedunchezhiyan et al., (2018) reported dilution effect of oxalate content in elephant foot yam corm. However, the increasing of corm size was not always
followed by the decreasing of corn oxalate content and vice versa. This fact was appropriate with the report of Indriyani et al., (2010) that mentioned there was difference of oxalate content based on corn size, but its correlation was not linear. Soil factors seemingly affect more oxalate content than climate factors. Palaniswamy et al., (2002 and 2004) explained that oxalic acid was influenced by nitrogen (soil mineral). Some researchers reported that oxalate content different for any kind of plant species depend on age, physiology, environment, and genetic (Libert and Franceschi, 1987).

The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the phosphorus percentage of the corn during both the years. However, both the years recorded same mean values. The highest phosphorus percentage was recorded with treatment T6 which was significantly higher over all other levels of fertigation during both the years of experimentation. The lower phosphorus percentage was observed with the treatment T2 which was relatively less than the T3 and T4 in the year 2013 and significantly lower than other levels of fertigation in the year 2014. The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the potassium percentage of the corn during both the years. However, both the years recorded same mean values. The highest potassium percentage was recorded with treatment T6 which was significantly higher over all other levels of fertigation during both the years of experimentation. Patel and Mehta (1987) reported an application of N increased the P and K contents of the corms. Mukhopadhyay and Sen (1986) reported that quality of corms improved with increasing levels of both N and K. The lower potassium percentage was observed with the treatment T2 which was relatively less than T1, T3 and T4 in the year 2013 and significantly lower than other levels of fertigation in the year 2014. The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the calcium content of the corn during year 2014 only. The higher calcium content was recorded with treatment T1 during both the years of experimentation. The treatment T5 recorded relatively lower calcium content for both the years which was at par with treatment T6 in the year 2014. The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the magnesium content of the corn during year 2014 only. The higher magnesium content was recorded with treatment T3 and T1 for the year 2013 and 2014 respectively. The treatments T2, T3 and T4 were at par with the treatment T1 for the year 2014. The treatment T5 recorded lower magnesium content for both the years. The levels of fertigation significantly influenced the zinc content of the corn during year 2014 only. The year 2014 recorded higher mean value than the year 2013. However, no particular trend was observed. The higher zinc content was recorded with treatment T3 for both the years. The relatively lower zinc content was recorded with the treatment T4 for the year 2013 and with the treatment T2 for the year 2014.

**Nutritional yield of elephant foot yam**

Nutritional yield per ha is more important than content in elephant foot yam. The drip fertigation effects on nutritional yields per ha of elephant foot yam were presented in the Table 2. The levels of fertigation influenced the protein yield during both the years. During the years 2013 and 2014, it was ranged 95.3-140.6 and 93.7-149.1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). The highest protein yield was with T6 and the lowest was with T1. Corm yield influenced the protein yield apart from protein content in the corms. The levels of fertigation influenced sugar yield during both the years. During the years 2013 and 2014, it was ranged 259-385 and 257-356 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). The lowest was being with T1. This could be due to lower
elephant foot yam corm yield. The starch yield was influenced by fertigation levels and it was ranged 4087-5652 and 4455-5702 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively (Table 2). The lower starch yield was recorded in T$_1$ due to lower corm yield. Thus soil application of nutrients (NK) resulted in lower corm yield correspondingly lower protein, sugar and starch yields were registered. The oxalate yield was ranged 23.5-26.9 and 25.2-26.9 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively (Table 2). The lower oxalate yield was noticed in the treatment T$_1$ due to lower corm yield. Singh et al., (1989) reported the highest yields of high quality corms of elephant foot yam were obtained with 50% trickle applied N + K grown on polyethylene mulched beds.

The P and K mineral yields were influenced by fertigation levels (Table 2). The P mineral yield ranged 3.0-9.1 and 3.1-9.3 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. The K mineral yield ranged 34.0-85.1 and 32.5-100.3 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. Both P and K mineral yields were higher with T$_6$ and lower with T$_1$. This was owing to corm yields. The Ca, Mg and Zn mineral yields were also influenced by fertigation levels (Table 2). The Ca mineral yield ranged 5.2-6.3 and 5.4-6.2 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. The Mg mineral yield ranged 2.5-3.1 and 2.7-3.3 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. The Zn mineral yield ranged 0.05-0.06 and 0.06-0.08 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively. The Ca, Mg and Zn mineral yields were lower with T$_1$, owing to corm yields. The higher level was not followed any trend due to variation of nutrient content in corms and corm yields. Ukom et al., (2009) reported the uptake of varied levels of minerals phosphorous, calcium, magnesium and zinc on application of varied levels of nitrogen containing fertilizer.

System productivity and WUE

The system productivity was significantly influenced by fertigation levels (Table 3). The system productivity was higher in the treatment T$_6$ in both the years and it was statistically on par with T$_5$ and T$_4$ during the year 2013 and T$_5$, T$_4$ and T$_3$ during the year 2014. Nedunchezhiyan et al., (2008) also reported that increasing fertility level increased the system productivity of elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system. The system productivity of the treatments T$_6$ and T$_5$ was same during 2014 and had negligible difference during 2013. Hence, we could consider the treatment T$_5$ was the best fertigation treatment for elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system. The system productivity of the treatment T$_5$ was 22.1 and 24.7% higher during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively than T$_1$. Elephant foot yam is a long duration crop, which can uptake nutrients up to 6 MAP. In the present experiment in T$_1$, the last dose of nutrients was applied at 105 DAP. The nutrient applied in the soil was subjected to various losses before plant uptake. Hence plant could not utilize the applied entire nutrients resulted in lower elephant foot yam corm yield. In treatment T$_5$, the last dose nutrients was applied at 135 DAP through drip irrigation. All the nutrients were reached root zone and the crop effectively utilized the same resulted in greater corm yield. Nedunchezhiyan et al., (2016 and 2017) also reported similar findings in elephant foot yam.

The WUE of elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system was significantly influenced by fertigation levels (Table 3). The WUE was higher in the treatment T$_6$ in both the years and it was statistically on par with T$_5$ and T$_4$ during the year 2013 and T$_5$, T$_4$ and T$_3$ during the year 2014.
Table 1: Effect of fertigation on nutrient content of elephant foot yam in elephant foot yam + greengram intercropping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Sugar (%)</th>
<th>Starch (%)</th>
<th>Oxalate (mg 100 g⁻¹)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>Calcium (mg 100 g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Magnesium (mg 100 g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Zinc (mg 100 g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>79.90</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>76.60</td>
<td>79.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>75.10</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>73.80</td>
<td>74.80</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>73.60</td>
<td>72.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>74.20</td>
<td>71.90</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (0.05)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Effect of fertigation on nutrient yield of elephant foot yam in elephant foot yam + greengram intercropping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>Starch</th>
<th>Oxalate</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>4087</td>
<td>4455</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4910</td>
<td>4938</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5115</td>
<td>5702</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>5330</td>
<td>5632</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>5652</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>5394</td>
<td>5578</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (0.05)</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3: Fertigation effects on elephant foot yam+greengram system productivity and water use efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>System productivity (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>WUE (kg ha-cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (0.05)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference in WUE of the treatments T₆ and T₅ was negligible during both the years. Hence, we could consider the treatment T₅ was the best fertigation treatment for elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system. The WUE of the treatment T₅ was 22.2% and 24.4% higher during the year 2013 and 2014, respectively than T₁.

In conclusion, the treatment fertigation of N-K₂O @ 120-120 kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was found optimum for elephant foot yam+green gram intercropping system for getting nutritionally rich elephant foot yam corms, higher nutrient yields, system productivity and water use efficiency.
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