

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.908.055>

Muscle Total Lipid, Total Protein, Total Antioxidants, Co Q and Mitochondrial Concentration Analysis in CoQ₁₀ Supplemented Broiler Chicken

J. Ramavathi*, P. Selvaraj, P. Visha and V. Thavasiappan

Department of Veterinary Physiology, VCRI, Namakkal, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Two hundred and forty (240) numbers of day old broiler straight run chicks were wing banded, weighed and randomly allotted to 5 groups 6 replicates of eight chicks each based on the body weight. The treatments were, Basal diet without CoQ₁₀ supplementation, Low energy diet without CoQ₁₀ supplementation, Low energy diet with 20mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet, Low energy diet with 40mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet, Low energy diet with 60mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet. Muscle total lipid was estimated gravimetrically by using Folch method of lipid extraction. The antioxidant ability of muscle was determined by the method described by Benzie and Strain. One gram of muscle tissue was taken and CoQ₁₀ was extracted by using solvents methanol:hexane. Protein content of meat was estimated by kjeldhal method according to procedure described in AOAC. Hepatic mitochondria were obtained by differential centrifugation as outlined by Cawthon *et al.*, (1999). Mitochondrial protein concentration was estimated as per the method of Lowry *et al.*, 1971. There was a significant difference in protein content of the breast muscle was observed between treatment groups and control. The protein accretion on the muscle ranged from 7 to 9%. The result of the present study agrees with the average value of 22% in breast muscle of broiler chicken. The effect of CoQ₁₀ on muscle lipid did not exhibit any variation. It is understood from the result that CoQ₁₀ on lipid accretion in muscle is negligible. The results of the study clearly proved that antioxidants level was influenced by the level of CoQ₁₀ in the diet. Further the present study also shown that antioxidants status was lower in birds fed less energy in the diet. Our findings agrees with many earlier observations (Littarru *et al.*, 2007), Mates *et al.*, (1999), Kapoor and Kapoor (2013) and Fathi (2015) on antioxidants status due to supplementation of CoQ₁₀. There was no significant difference existed in the mean breast muscle CoQ₁₀ for T3 and T4 group of birds. The mean breast muscle CoQ₁₀ was significantly (P<0.05) lower in low energy diet without CoQ₁₀ supplemented group (T2). The mean muscle mitochondrial protein concentration was significantly (P<0.05) higher in the CoQ₁₀ supplemented group of birds (T3, T4 and T5) in comparison to T2 and groups. There was no significant difference in the mean mitochondrial protein concentration of T3, T4 and T5 groups of chicken.

Keywords

Total Lipid, Protein, Antioxidants, Co Q and Mitochondrial concentration

Article Info

Accepted:

10 July 2020

Available Online:

10 August 2020

Introduction

Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀) is a naturally occurring compound with a ubiquitous distribution in nature. Based on an isoprenoid moiety, the presence of various CoQ homologs has been confirmed. CoQ₁₀, which has a polyisoprene chain containing 10 isoprene units, was predominant in humans and birds, whereas CoQ₉ was predominant in rats and mice (Ibano *et al.*, 2002). Kamisoyama (2010) found that dietary CoQ₁₀ significantly reduced the levels of cholesterol in the egg yolks of laying hens, but the mechanisms underlying this reduction in egg-yolk cholesterol have not been identified. In chickens, dietary CoQ₁₀ supplementation reduced broiler chickens susceptibility to ascites, perhaps as a result of improved hepatic mitochondrial function, respiratory chain-related enzyme activities, and the mitochondrial antioxidant activity of CoQ₁₀. Nakamura (1996) stated that fed broiler chicks diets supplemented with coenzyme Q₉, an analogue of CoQ₁₀, at 40 mg/kg and showed that dietary coenzyme Q₉ supplementation was beneficial in reducing ascites incidence in broiler chicks.

Geng *et al.*, (2010) reported that the mortality of broilers due to ascites was reduced by L-carnitine and CoQ₁₀ supplementation alone and in combination the reason may be partially associated with the antioxidative effects of these substances. In broiler chicken higher body weight gain and better feed efficiency with less feed cost per kilogram weight gain was observed in high energy group supplemented with 20 mg of CoQ₁₀/kg diet and the dressing percentages, weight of giblet, liver, spleen, abdominal fat, intestinal length were not significantly altered by CoQ₁₀ supplementation but the heart weight, gizzard weight and ascites heart weight (AHI) were significantly decreased due to CoQ₁₀ supplementation (gopi *et al.*, 2014).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are mainly mitochondrial derived and directly affects the vascular remodeling and also causes pulmonary hypertension and growth rate (Bautista-Ortega *et al.*, 2010). Broilers fed to an energy dense diet were more susceptible to oxidative stress (Cardoso *et al.*, 2010), whereas restricted feeding decreases oxidative damage (Ozkan *et al.*, 2010). However, an early feed restriction has severely affected the growth performance and lipid metabolism in broilers (Zhan *et al.*, 2007; Saber *et al.*, 2011).

Materials and Methods

Two hundred and forty (240) numbers of day old broiler straight run chicks were wing banded, weighed and randomly allotted to 5 groups 6 replicates of eight chicks each based on the body weight.

The treatments were (T₁ –T₅), Basal diet without CoQ₁₀ supplementation, Low energy diet without CoQ₁₀ supplementation, Low energy diet with 20mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet, Low energy diet with 40mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet, Low energy diet with 60mg of CoQ₁₀ /kg diet.

Muscle total lipid

Muscle total lipid was estimated gravimetrically by using Folch method of lipid extraction. One gram muscle tissue was homogenized with 10 ml of Folch solution (CHCl₃:CH₃OH). The chloroform layer containing lipid was taken in preweighed petridishes. The contents were dried in a hot air oven until the consequent weights were uniform. The results were expressed in %.

Estimation of muscle protein

Protein content of meat was estimated by kjeldhal method according to procedure described in AOAC (1995).

Estimation of muscle mitochondrial protein

Approximately 2g of muscle tissue was taken and mitochondrial protein concentration was estimated.

Preparation of mitochondria

Hepatic mitochondria were obtained by differential centrifugation as outlined by Cawthon *et al.*, (1999). Approximately 2 g of muscle tissue was suspended in 5ml of isolation media (PH 7.4) containing 220mM d-mannitol, 70mM sucrose, 2mM HEPES, 0.5 mg/ml BSA and 1mM Ethylene glycol-bis beta amino ethyl ether NNN'N' tetra acetic acid (EGTA). The tissue was homogenized with a hand driven glass-teflon homogenizer. Aliquots were transferred into centrifuge tubes & centrifuged twice for 10min at 600g. The pellets containing nuclei and cell debris were discarded and the supernatant was centrifuged at 7750g for 15 min.

The mitochondrial pellets were resuspended in an isolation buffer (PH 7.0) containing 220mM d-mannitol, 70mM sucrose, 2mM HEPES and 0.5mg/ml BSA and were washed twice. Mitochondria were resuspended in incubation media (210mM d-mannitol, 70mM sucrose, 2mM HEPES and 10mM succinate) and placed on ice.

Estimation of mitochondrial protein

Mitochondrial protein concentration was estimated as per the method of Lowry *et al.*, (1951). Briefly, standard curve was prepared by using BSA as standard at different concentration. 200µL of sample was added with 2 ml of alkaline copper sulphate solution and then 0.2ml of Folin Ciocaltaeau was added in a test tube. The reagents were incubated for 30 min. The absorbance was recorded by using spectrophotometer at 660nm.

Estimation of muscle total antioxidants

The antioxidant ability of muscle was determined by the method described by Benzie and Strain (1996).

FRAP reagent:

0.3M Sodium acetate buffer (PH 3.6)-25ml

0.01N 2,4,6-tripyridyl-S-triazine (TPTZ) in 0.04M HCl-2.5ml

0.02M ferric chloride (FeCl₃.6H₂O) -2.5ml

0.5g of muscle tissue was homogenized with 5ml of phosphate buffer saline and centrifuged at 3000rpm for 15 min. The final muscle extract was used for total antioxidant assay. Briefly, 3ml of FRAP reagent was prewarmed to 50°C and mixed with 100µL of muscle extract. The absorbance of the blue Fe-II-complex at 593nm was recorded using spectrophotometer after 5min incubation at 37 °C. Total antioxidant in muscle extract was expressed as µmol/g of muscle tissue.

Estimation of muscle Co Q level

One gram of muscle tissue was taken and CoQ₁₀ was extracted by using solvents methanol:hexane.. 100µL of muscle extract, 100µL of Tween 20, 1.5ml of methanol and 1.5 ml of hexane were added to a glass tube. The samples were subjected to mechanical shaking for one min and centrifuged at 1752.8g for 10 min at 10°C. The residues were evaporated without heating under a flow of nitrogen for 20 min. Then the residues were resuspended in 3ml of methanol:hexane (2:1). The samples were again homogenized under vortex mechanical shaking for 15 sec and rotary shaking for 15 min. The final solution was used for CoQ₁₀ assay. The absorbance was taken at 340nm in UV spectrophotometer.

Results and Discussion

Muscle lipid and protein

The mean breast muscle protein (%) was 15.96, 16.88, 16.63 and 17.31 for T2, T3, T4 and T5 respectively compared to 15.77 (%) in the control group (Table 1). Also, the highest mean muscle protein noticed in the group T5 but no significant difference was observed between T3 and T4 group of birds. The reason for this may be due to higher CoQ₁₀ in the muscle but the higher protein content did not reflect upon the body weight. This needs further investigation. The Present study also revealed that lower mean muscle protein in control and low energy diet without CoQ₁₀ supplemented group of birds (T2)

Overall, the protein content was lower in the breast muscle when compared to normal muscle protein of 20-22%. The higher THI recorded throughout the study might be responsible for the reduction in the protein content of the muscle. (Table 1)

The mean breast muscle lipid (%) was 1.11, 1.09, 1.10 and 1.08 for T2, T3 and T5 respectively as compared with 1.13 (%) in the control group of broiler chicken. The effect of CoQ₁₀ on muscle lipid did not exhibit any variation. It is understood from the result that CoQ₁₀ on lipid accretion in muscle is negligible. The observations of our study is in accordance with the earlier workers (Chartrin *et al.*, 2004) and (Mane *et al.*, 2014). The above authors recorded 0.75-1.5% of fat on an average in breast muscle of broiler chicken.

However, there was a significant difference in protein content of the breast muscle was observed between treatment groups and control. The protein accretion on the muscle ranged from 7 to 9%. The result of the present study agrees with the average value of 22% in breast muscle of broiler chicken.

Antioxidants

Table 1 shows, the mean breast muscle antioxidants ($\mu\text{mol/g}$) were 3.25, 5.53, 5.54 and 6.25 for T2, T3, T4 and T5 respectively as compared with 4.08 ($\mu\text{mol/g}$) in the control group of broiler chicken.

The results of the study clearly proved that antioxidants level was influenced by the level of CoQ₁₀ in the diet. Further the present study also shown that antioxidants status was lower in birds fed less energy in the diet. Our findings agrees with many earlier observations (Littarru *et al.*, 2007), Mates *et al.*, (1999), Kapoor and Kapoor, (2013) and Fathi (2015) on antioxidants status due to supplementation of CoQ₁₀. Many earlier researchers found that CoQ₁₀ supplementation improved antioxidants capacity of broiler chicken and in other animals.

Coenzyme Q

The mean muscle CoQ₁₀ (mg/kg) were 7.57, 8.61, 8.91 and 9.17 in the treatment groups T2 to T5 as compared with 8.78 (mg/kg) in the control group of broiler chickens (Table 1).

The mean breast muscle CoQ₁₀ content was significantly ($P<0.05$) high in the T5 than T3 and T4. There was no significant difference existed in the mean breast muscle CoQ₁₀ for T3 and T4 group of birds. The mean breast muscle CoQ₁₀ was significantly ($P<0.05$) lower in low energy diet without CoQ₁₀ supplemented group (T2).

The supplementation of CoQ₁₀ did not improve CoQ₁₀ content of the breast muscle except in T5. This finding of our study concurs with the observation of low CoQ₁₀ level in breast muscle compared to leg muscle (Krizman *et al.*, 2012). The present study lacks the information on leg muscle CoQ₁₀ level to compare breast muscle.

Table.1 Mean (\pm S.E) muscle lipid, protein, COQ, Antioxidants, mitochondrial protein concentration of breast muscle fed CoQ₁₀ at graded levels

Treatment	Lipid (%)	Protein (%)	CoQ ₁₀ (mg/kg)	Antioxidants (μ mol/g)	Mitochondrial protein (μ g/g)
Control	1.13 \pm 0.24	15.77 ^a \pm 0.44	8.78 ^c \pm 0.44	4.08 ^b \pm 0.18	5.72 ^b \pm 0.30
T2	1.11 \pm 0.30	15.96 ^a \pm 0.31	7.57 ^a \pm 0.62	3.25 ^a \pm 0.13	5.27 ^b \pm 0.27
T3	1.09 \pm 0.21	16.88 ^b \pm 0.38	8.61 ^b \pm 0.27	5.53 ^c \pm 0.28	8.90 ^a \pm 0.28
T4	1.10 \pm 0.29	16.63 ^b \pm 0.32	8.91 ^c \pm 0.48	5.54 ^c \pm 0.34	8.27 ^a \pm 0.43
T5	1.08 \pm 0.24	17.31 ^c \pm 0.43	9.17 ^d \pm 0.36	6.25 ^d \pm 0.29	8.54 ^a \pm 0.27

Means within the same column bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table.2 Temperature and humidity of poultry house

PERIODS	TEMPERATURE(° C)			RH (%)			THI (° C)		
	MOR (6 -8A.M)	A.N (1-2P.M)	EVE (5-7P.M)	MOR (6 -8A.M)	A.N (1-2P.M)	EVE (5-7P.M)	MOR (6 -8A.M)	A.N (1-2P.M)	EVE (5-7P.M)
PRESTARTER 2WKS	27.07 \pm 0.54	34.57 \pm 0.27	31.36 \pm 0.50	60.86 \pm 0.48	34.36 \pm 0.36	43.29 \pm 0.39	26.40 \pm 0.44	32.80 \pm 0.23	30.00 \pm 0.43
STARTER 2-4WKS	25.79 \pm 0.48	35.36 \pm 0.28	30.71 \pm 0.49	65.50 \pm 0.56	31.43 \pm 0.81	45.00 \pm 0.58	25.83 \pm 0.52	33.14 \pm 0.59	29.72 \pm 0.43
FINISHER 4-6WKS	27.53 \pm 0.50	35.80 \pm 0.56	28.93 \pm 0.25	59.73 \pm 0.52	29.40 \pm 0.56	55.00 \pm 0.51	26.11 \pm 0.42	33.35 \pm 0.67	29.14 \pm 0.46

Further investigation in large samples are required to explain the variations between muscles.

Mitochondrial protein

The mean muscle mitochondrial protein concentration (mg/g) was 5.27, 8.90, 8.27 and 8.54 in the treatment groups T2 to T5 as compared with 5.72 in the control group of broiler chickens.

The mean muscle mitochondrial protein concentration was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher in the CoQ₁₀ supplemented group of birds (T3, T4 and T5) in comparison to T2 and groups. There was no significant difference in the mean mitochondrial protein concentration of T3, T4 and T5 groups of chicken. On the other hand, the mean mitochondrial protein concentration was significantly ($P<0.05$) lower in the T2 and control group of birds.

The result of the present study agrees with the average value of 22% in breast muscle of broiler chicken. The literature on muscle lipid and protein level due to supplementation of CoQ₁₀ in broiler chickens was scarce. Hence, a detailed discussion was not attempted.

The results of the study clearly proved that antioxidants level was influenced by the level of CoQ₁₀ in the diet. Further the present study also shown that antioxidants status was lower in birds fed less energy in the diet. Our findings agrees with many earlier observations (Littarru *et al.*, 2007), Mates *et al.*, (1999), Kapoor and Kapoor (2013) and Fathi (2015) on antioxidants status due to supplementation of CoQ₁₀. Many earlier researchers found that CoQ₁₀ supplementation improved antioxidants capacity of broiler chicken and in other animals.

The supplementation of CoQ₁₀ did not improve CoQ₁₀ content of the breast muscle

except in T5. This finding of our study concurs with the observation of low CoQ₁₀ level in breast muscle compared to leg muscle (Krizman *et al.*, 2012). The present study lacks the information on leg muscle CoQ₁₀ level to compare breast muscle. Further investigation in large samples are required to explain the variations between muscles.

The result of the present study is in agreement with the findings of Kwong *et al.*, (2002) in rats, Geng *et al.*, (2006) in broilers and Huang *et al.*, (2011) in broilers

References

- Bautista-Ortega, J., Ruiz-Feria, C.A. and Ellis, A. (2010) Cytochemical and immunocytochemical localization of oxidative and nitrosative stress in pulmonary hypertension in lungs of broiler chickens, *Gallus domesticus*. *Microscopy Microanalysis*, 16(S2): 964-965.
- Benzie, I.F.F. and Strain, J.J. (1996).The Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) as a Measure of "Antioxidant Power. The FRAP Assay. *Anal.Biochem.*, 239 : 70-76.
- Cardoso, A.R., Cabral-Costa, J.V. and Kowaltowski, A.J. (2010). Effects of a high fat diet on liver mitochondria: increased ATP-sensitive K channel activity and reactive oxygen species generation. *J. Bioenergetics Biomembranes*, 42: 245–253.
- Cawthon, D., McNew, R., Beers, K. W. and Bottje, W. G. (1999). Evidence of mitochondrial dysfunction in broilers with pulmonary hypertension syndrome (ascites): Effect of t-butyl hydroperoxide on hepatic mitochondrial function, glutathione, and related thiols. *Poult. Sci.*, 78:114-124.
- Chartrin, P., Berri, P., Duval, E.L., Quentin, M. and Baeza, E. (2005). Lipid and fatty acid composition of fresh and cured-cooked breast meat of standard, certified and label chickens. *Arch.Geflugelk.*,69(5): 219-225.
- Fathi, M., 2015.Effects of coenzyme Q10 supplementation on growth performance, some hematological parameters, plasma enzymes activities in broilers with pulmonary hypertension syndrome

- (PHS).*Iran. J. Applied Anim. Sci.*,5: 147-153.
- Geng, A.L. and Guo, Y.M.(2006). Effects of dietary coenzyme Q10 supplementation on hepatic mitochondrial function and the activities of respiratory chain-related enzymes in ascitic broiler chickens.*Br. Poult. Sci.*,46: 626-634.
- Geng, A.L., Guo, Y. and Yuan, J. (2010). Effects of dietary l-carnitine and coenzyme Q10 supplementation on performance and ascites mortality of broilers. *Arch Anim Nutr.*, 58: 473-482.
- Gopi, M., Purushothaman, M and Chandrasekaran, D. (2014). Effect of dietary coenzyme Q10 supplementation on the growth rate, carcass characters and cost effectiveness of broiler fed with three energy levels. *Springer Plus*,3: 518.
- Huang, B., Yuming, G., Xiaofei, H. and Song, Y. (2011). Effects of coenzyme Q10 on growth performance and heart mitochondrial function of broilers under high altitude induced hypoxia. *J. Poult. Sci.*,48: 40-46.
- Kamisoyama, H., Honda, K., Kitaguchi, K. and Hasegawa, S. (2010). Transfer of dietary coenzyme Q10 into the egg yolk of laying hens. *J. Poult Sci.*, 47: 28-33.
- Kapoor, P. and Kapoor, A.K. (2013). Coenzyme Q10-a novel molecule. *J. Indian Acad.Clin.Med.*14: 37-45.
- Krizman, P.J., Prosek, M., Smidovnik, A., Wondra, A.G., Glaser, R., Zelenko, B. and Volk, M. (2012). Poultry products with increased content of CoQ10 prepared from chickens fed with supplemental CoQ10. Ch. 9th. In: Eissa AHA (ed). Trends in Vital Food and control engineering. *In. Tech. Rijeka, Croatia.*, 165-186.
- Kwong, L.K., Kamzalov, S., Rebrin, I., Bayne, A.V., Jana, C.K., Morris, P., Forster, M.J and Sohal, R.S.(2002). Effects of coenzyme q10 administration on its tissue concentrations, mitochondrial oxidant generation, and oxidative stress in the rat. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, 33: 627-632.
- Littaru, G.P. and Tiano, L. (2007). Bioenergetic and antioxidant properties of coenzyme Q10: recent developments. *Mol. Biotechnol.*, 37(1): 31-37.
- Lowry O. H., N.J., Rosebrough, A.L., Farr and Randall, R.J. (1951).Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 193: 265.
- Mane, B., Ravikanth, K. and Thakur, A. (2014). Lean meat production in broilers with new polyherbal formulation AV/LMP/10. *Indian j. App. res.*, 4(1): 527-529.
- Mates, J.M., Gomez P.C. and De Castro IN (1999).Antioxidant enzymes and human diseases.*Clin.Biochem.*,32: 595-603.
- Nakamura, K., Noguchi, K., Aoyama, T., Nakajlma, T. and Tanimura, N. (1996). Protective effect of ubiquinone (coenzyme Q9) on ascites in broiler chickens.*Br. Poult. Sci.*,37:189-195.
- Ozkan, S., Takma, C., Yahav, S., Sogut, B., Turkmut, L., Erturun, H.andCahaner, A. (2010). The effects of feed restriction and ambient on growth and ascitesmortality of broilers reared at high altitude. *Poult. Sci.*,89: 974-985.
- Saber, N.S., Maheri-Sis, N., Shaddel-Telli, A., Hatefinezhad, K., Gorbani, A. and Yousefi, J. (2011).Effect of feed restriction on growth performance of broiler chickens. *Annals. Biol. Res.*, 2(6): 247-252.
- Zhan, X.A., Wang, M., Ren, H., Zhao, R.Q., Li, J.X. and Tan, Z.L. (2007).Effect of early feed restriction on metabolic programming and compensatory growth in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.*, 86(4): 654-660.

How to cite this article:

Ramavathi, J., P. Selvaraj, P. Visha and Thavasiappan, V. 2020. Muscle Total Lipid, Total Protein, Total Antioxidants, Co Q and Mitochondrial Concentration Analysis in Coq10 Supplemented Broiler Chicken. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(08): 467-473.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.908.055>