

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.907.257>

## Effect of New Herbicides and Herbicide Mixtures on Growth and Yield of Transplanted Rice

B. Venkatesh\*, Y. S. Parameswari, M. Madhavi and T. Ram Prakash

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030,  
Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Herbicides,  
Transplanted rice,  
Growth parameters,  
Yield attributes and  
Yield

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
20 June 2020  
Available Online:  
10 July 2020

A field experiment entitled “Effect of new herbicides and herbicide mixtures on growth and yield of transplanted rice” was conducted during *kharif* season, 2019 at College farm, College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad to evaluate the effect of different weed management practices on growth and yield of transplanted rice crop. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high available potassium. The experiment was consisted of twelve treatments (weed management practices) laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The results revealed that among the different weed management practices significantly higher plant height, tillers, dry matter production, yield attributes and yield were recorded with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT, which was statistically on par with flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT.

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food for more than half of the world population. The warm and humid climatic conditions are prevailing in most part of Asia are well suited to rice production. India is the world second largest producer (105.3 M t) covering an area of 43.10 m ha with productivity level of 2.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the productivity of rice in India is very low compared to other rice growing countries like

China (7.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Australia (10.1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), U.S (7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Russia (5.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Yadav *et al.*, 2019). Rice is cultivated in different ecosystems to increase production levels due to climate change. Though different ecosystems are emerging day by day but, transplanting is the most dominant and traditional method of rice cultivation under irrigation. Weeds are the major constraints in rice production. Transplanted rice is infested by heterogeneous type of weed flora which causes yield reduction about 33-45 percent

(Duray *et al.*, 2015). Hand weeding though efficient, it is expensive, time consuming, difficult task and often limited by scarcity of labour at critical period may lead to unsatisfactory weed control. To get rid this hurdle usage of herbicides offer a selective and economical control of weeds right from beginning of crop.

Due to continuous usage of same mode of action of herbicides like butachlor, pretilachlor etc. weed shift is observed in transplanted rice. Weed shift from grasses to broad-leaf weeds and sedges were observed in transplanted rice due to continuous use of same herbicides (Mohapatra *et al.*, 2017). All traditional herbicides are narrow spectrum of control and at the same time dosage of herbicides is more compare to new low dose herbicides and pre-mix herbicides and also cause herbicide residues in soil leads to environmental pollution. Keeping in this view the present experiment was conducted to study the effect of new herbicides and herbicide mixtures on growth and yield of transplanted rice.

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at College Farm, College of Agriculture Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *Kharif*-2019. The farm is geographically situated at 17° 19' 16.4" North latitude and 78° 24' 43" East longitudes and at an altitude of 542.3 m above mean sea level. According to troll's climatic classification, it falls under semi- arid tropics (SAT). The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture with  $p^H$  of 7.85, low available nitrogen (235.2), medium phosphorus (38.8) and high potassium content (379). The experiment was consisted of twelve weed management practices laid out in randomized block design with three replications. RNR –

15048 (Telangana sona) variety was transplanted in main field on 8<sup>th</sup> August at the age of 28 days old seedlings with a spacing of 15 X 10 cm. All pre-emergence herbicides were applied within three days after transplanting and post emergence herbicides treatments were applied at 2 – 3 leaf stage of weeds. During crop growing period (July 10<sup>th</sup> to November 17<sup>th</sup>) a total rainfall of 693.5 mm received in 45 rainy days. The data on growth parameters and yield was recorded randomly selected five plants from net plot. The data was statistically analysed.

## Results and Discussion

### Growth parameters

The data related to growth parameters were significantly influenced by different weed management practices over un weeded control. The higher plant height, number of tillers and dry matter production were registered with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT which was statistically on par with flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT. And these treatments superior over remaining treatments.

These treatments followed by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % @ 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + pretilachlor 6 % GR @ 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6 % @ 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> GR (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT and penoxsulam 0.97 % @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + butachlor 38.8 % SE @ 820 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT and they were on par with each other. Then followed by ipfencarbazone 25 % SC @ 156.25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, penoxsulam

2.65 % OD @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, bispyribac-sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC @ 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> *fb* 2,4 – D 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE). Un weeded control plot recorded significantly lower plant height, number of tillers and dry matter production. Among the weed management practices application of

herbicide mixture *fb* hand weeding recorded higher growth parameters compared to single herbicides *fb* hand weeding. This might be due to control of complex weed flora in time and avoids competition so, resulted in higher tillers and crop dry matter production. These results were in line with Yakadri *et al.*, (2016) and Rana *et al.*, (2018).

**Table.1** Effect of new herbicides and herbicide mixtures on growth parameters of transplanted rice

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers (No. m <sup>-2</sup> )	Dry matter (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	94.7	354	13375
T <sub>2</sub> - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	97.0	370	13517
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	95.8	364	13453
T <sub>4</sub> - Ipfen carbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	89.7	338	12568
T <sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	89.0	330	12474
T <sub>6</sub> - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	100.8	394	14663
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	87.7	319	11835
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	88.5	326	12167
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	99.3	391	14448
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	104.5	401	14953
T <sub>11</sub> - Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	105.0	412	15014
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	86.3	209	7732
SE(m)±	2.36	7.43	254.58
CD (P=0.05)	6.93	21.8	746.5

**Table.2** Effect of new herbicides and herbicide mixtures on yield attributes and yield of transplanted rice

Treatments	No of productive tillers m <sup>-2</sup>	No. of filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>T<sub>1</sub> - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT</b>	325	140	12.90	5931	7004
<b>T<sub>2</sub> - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha<sup>-1</sup>) (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT</b>	334	144	13.00	6016	7045
<b>T<sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha<sup>-1</sup>) GR (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT</b>	327	141	13.00	5977	7015
<b>T<sub>4</sub> - Ipfen carbazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb HW at 30 DAT</b>	315	130	12.87	5524	6471
<b>T<sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT</b>	313	127	12.80	5497	6425
<b>T<sub>6</sub> - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT</b>	351	157	13.07	6985	7818
<b>T<sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (PE) fb 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE)</b>	307	122	12.09	5262	6187
<b>T<sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT</b>	308	124	12.80	5333	6282
<b>T<sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT</b>	348	155	13.03	6867	7715
<b>T<sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (PoE) fb HW at 40 DAT</b>	355	161	13.20	7045	7921
<b>T<sub>11</sub> - Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT</b>	356	162	13.23	7120	7992
<b>T<sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control</b>	225	118	12.40	3110	4219
<b>SE(m)±</b>	6.04	3.73	11.00	146.3	171.54
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	17.73	10.95	NS	429.0	503.02

**Table.3** Effect of new herbicides and herbicide mixtures on weed density and dry weight at 30 DAT

Treatments	Weed density (No. m <sup>-2</sup> )	Weed dry weight (g m <sup>-2</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Penoxsulam 0.97% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + butachlor (38.8%) SE 820 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	4.5(19.0)	3.9 (14.5)
T <sub>2</sub> - Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % (15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + pretilachlor 6% GR (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	4.1(15.7)	3.8 (13.3)
T <sub>3</sub> - Orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6% (600g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) GR (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	4.4(18.3)	3.9 (14.0)
T <sub>4</sub> - Ipfencazone 25 % SC 156.25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> HW at 30 DAT	4.7(21.0)	4.2 (16.8)
T <sub>5</sub> - Penoxsulam 2.65 % OD 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	5.0(23.7)	4.3 (18.0)
T <sub>6</sub> - Penoxsulam 1.02% (20 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	3.3 (9.7)	2.9 (7.4)
T <sub>7</sub> - Pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PE) <i>fb</i> 2,4 D 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE)	5.1(25.0)	4.5 (19.2)
T <sub>8</sub> - Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	5.0(24.3)	4.4 (18.6)
T <sub>9</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	3.3(10.0)	2.9 (7.7)
T <sub>10</sub> - Flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150 g ha <sup>-1</sup> (PoE) <i>fb</i> HW at 40 DAT	3.1 (8.7)	2.7 (6.3)
T <sub>11</sub> - Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT	2.8 (7.3)	2.6 (6.0)
T <sub>12</sub> - Unweeded control	8.7(74.0)	8.2 (65.7)
SE(m)±	0.18	0.17
CD (P=0.05)	0.53	0.51

\*\* Values in the parenthesis are original and ( $\sqrt{x+1}$ ) transformed

### **Yield attributes and yield**

Yield attributes and yield significantly influenced by different weed management practices. Higher number of productive tillers  $m^{-2}$ , number of filled grains panicle $^{-1}$ , grain and straw yield were registered with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT which was statistically on par with the flopyrauxifen-benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% (20  $g\ ha^{-1}$ ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100  $g\ ha^{-1}$ ) (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen-benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT. These treatments followed by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % @ 15  $g\ ha^{-1}$  + pretilachlor 6 % GR @ 600  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6 % @ 600  $g\ ha^{-1}$  GR (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT and penoxsulam 0.97 % @ 20  $g\ ha^{-1}$  + butachlor 38.8 % SE @ 820  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT and which were superior over remaining treatments. Then followed by ipfencarbazone 25 % SC @ 156.25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, penoxsulam 2.65 % OD @ 25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, bispyribac-sodium 10% SC 25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC @ 0.75  $kg\ ha^{-1}$  *fb* 2,4 - D 1.0  $kg\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE). Un weeded control plot recorded significantly lower number of yield attributes and yield. Weed management practices not only reduce weed density and dry matter allows the plant to use available resources which resulted in higher growth parameters and yield attributes ultimately led to higher yield over un weeded control. Similar reports were by Chowdhary and Dixit (2018), Singh *et al.*, (2019) and Ramesha *et al.*, (2019).

### **Weed density and weed dry weight**

Lower total weed density and total weed dry

weight at 30 DAT, was observed with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT and which was statistically comparable to the flopyrauxifen-benzyl + cyhalofop-butyl 10% EC 150  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, penoxsulam 1.02% @ 20  $g\ ha^{-1}$  + cyhalofop butyl 5.1 % OD @ 100  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and flopyrauxifen-benzyl + penoxsulam 12 % EC @ 40.64  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT. These treatments followed pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.15 % @ 15  $g\ ha^{-1}$  + pretilachlor 6 % GR @ 600  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, orthosulfamuron + pretilachlor 6 % @ 600  $g\ ha^{-1}$  GR (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT and penoxsulam 0.97 % @ 20  $g\ ha^{-1}$  + butachlor 38.8 % SE @ 820  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT were recorded lower total weed density and weed dry weight than rest of treatments. Then followed by ipfencarbazone 25 % SC @ 156.25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PE) *fb* hand weeding at 30 DAT, penoxsulam 2.65 % OD @ 25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT, bispyribac-sodium 10% SC 25  $g\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) *fb* hand weeding at 40 DAT and pretilachlor (PE) 50 % EC @ 0.75  $kg\ ha^{-1}$  *fb* 2,4 - D 1.0  $kg\ ha^{-1}$  (PoE) were on par with each other. Higher weed density and weed dry weight was recorded with un weeded control over all the treatments. Lower weed density in different weed management practices might be due to effective control of weeds Hossain and Mondal (2014) and Yadav *et al.*, (2019b).

In conclusion the hand weeding twice 20 and 40 DAT, Post emergence (2- 3 leaf stage of weeds) application of herbicide mixtures flopyrauxifen- benzyl + cyhalofop butyl 10% EC 150  $g\ ha^{-1}$ , Penoxsulam 1.02% (20  $g\ ha^{-1}$ ) + cyhalofop butyl 5.1% OD (100  $g\ ha^{-1}$ ) and flopyrauxifen- benzyl + penoxsulam 12% EC 40.64  $g\ ha^{-1}$  along with hand weeding at 40 DAT were effective in influencing of growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of transplanted rice.

## References

- Choudhary, V.K and Dixit, A. 2018. Herbicide weed management on weed dynamics, crop growth and yield in direct-seeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 50(1): 6-12.
- Duary, B., Teja, K. C and Soren, U. 2015. Management of composite weed flora of transplanted rice by herbicides. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 47(4): 349-352.
- Mohapatra, S., Tripathy, S.K., Nayak, B.R and Mohanty, A.K. 2017. Efficacy of pre-emergence herbicides for control of complex weed flora in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 49 (3): 216-218.
- Ramesha, Y. M., Anand, S. R., Krishnamurthy, D and Bhanuvally, M. 2019. Weed management effect to increase grain yield in dry direct-seeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 51(1): 6-9.
- Rana, A., Rana, M. C., Rana, S. S., Sharma, N and Kumar, S. 2018. Weed control by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl and its influence on yield and economics of transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 50(4): 309-314.
- Singh, K., Singh, S and Pannu, R. K. 2019. Efficacy of pendimethalin and cyhalofop-butyl+ penoxsulam against major grass weeds of direct-seeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 51 (3): 227-231.
- Yadav, D. B., Singh, N., Duhan, A., Yadav, A and Punia, S. S. 2019. Penoxsulam influence on weed complex and productivity of transplanted rice and its residual effects in rice-wheat cropping system. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 51(1): 10-14.
- Yakadri, M., Madhavi, M., Ramprakash, T and Rani, L. 2016. Herbicide combinations for control of complex weed flora in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 48 (2): 155-157.

### How to cite this article:

Venkatesh, B., Y. S. Parameswari, M. Madhavi and Ram Prakash, T. 2020. Effect of New Herbicides and Herbicide Mixtures on Growth and Yield of Transplanted Rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(07): 2201-2207. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.907.257>