

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.439>

## Physiological Responses of Variable Growth Habit Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) Genotypes at Different Planting Densities

M. Swetha Sree<sup>1\*</sup>, P. Sudhakar, V. Uma Mahesh, T. Prathima and T. Giridhara Krishna

Department of Crop Physiology, S.V Agricultural College, Tirupati, 517502,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

Enhancing crop productivity through agronomic interventions is the mainstay to improve economic and food security situation in India. Revisiting the planting densities using the modern genotypes and revealing its physiological responses and yield benefits is need of the day. The outcome proved to be a viable option to double the farmers income in a short span. Hence, a field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season, 2018 and 2019 at S.V. Agricultural college, Tirupathi to study the “Physiological responses of variable growth habit of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) genotypes at different planting densities”. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with twelve treatments comprising three groundnut Spanish bunch genotypes Kadiri 6 (Erect), Kadiri 9 (Decumbent 2) and Dharani (Decumbent-3) sown at four spacings (30x10 cm, 30x5 cm, 20x10 cm and 20x5 cm). Among the Spacings 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>2</sup>) recorded significantly higher (3,616.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 20x5cm (3,157.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly lower pod yields in 30x5 cm (2,540.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and recommended spacing 30X10cm (2,904.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the three varieties Decumbent-3, Dharani recorded highest pod yield (3403.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by Decumbent-2 type, kadiri-9 (3292.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and least by Erect type, Kadiri-6 (2467.94 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Dharani was significantly higher than Kadri-6 and kadiri-9 due to increased leaf area Index and higher Crop Growth rate during grand growth phases which is largely determined by the size of leaf area, dry matter and its distribution with time, ultimately determining the yield. Decumbant growth habit Spanish bunch groundnut genotypes can well fit into increased planting densities to achieve higher production in unit land area.

#### Keywords

Growth habit,  
Planting density,  
Leaf area index,  
Crop growth rate  
and Yield

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
26 May 2020  
Available Online:  
10 June 2020

### Introduction

Plants exhibit enormous physiological and functional diversity which underlies variation in growth rates, productivity, population and community dynamics. Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) which is an annual legume, is the 13th most important food crop and 4th most important oilseed crop of the world. Among oilseed crops in India, groundnut

accounts for about 50 per cent of area and 45 per cent of oil production. To meet the growing demand, productivity of groundnut has to be improved through genetic improvement, which is obviously a long term goal.

Agronomic interventions are better option to achieve in shorter time span. Revisiting the planting densities per unit area is potential

option, however growth habit and plant architecture of a genotype plays a critical role. Identifying groundnut genotypes of specific growth habit is a prerequisite to fit into high density planting. Therefore, determining the physiological factors responsible for growth and development of groundnut under increased plant populations in unit area is essential.

Among Ecophysiological traits, Competition results in the preferential accrual of resources by one groundnut plant to its neighbors. Much of the phenotypic variation in plant population reflects in direct effects of environment on plant growth and development i.e., phenotypic plasticity.

Such studies on physiological responses of groundnut to high density planting were less attempted. An assessment on the impact of high density planting on groundnut would provide basic information required for evaluating suitable varieties of groundnut for densities without losing yield advantages.

Hence an attempt was made to reveal physiological responses of Spanish bunch groundnut genotypes with variable growth habits under increased plant densities.

## Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at dryland farm, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati (13.6288° N, 79.4192° E) during *kharif*, 2018 and *kharif*, 2019. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with twelve treatments and three replications.

Three Spanish bunch groundnut genotypes with variable growth habits viz., erect type (Kadiri-6) , decumbent-2 (Kadiri-9) and Decumbent-3 (Dharani) were sown at four plant densities viz., 20X5cm (100 plants m<sup>-2</sup>), 30X5 cm (66.6 plants m<sup>-2</sup>), 20X10cm (50

plants m<sup>-2</sup>), and recommended spacing of 30 X10 cm (33.3 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). The data on physiological traits like plant height, leaf area, total dry matter, peg to pod ratio, pod yield and harvest index were recorded. Plant height was measured from base of the plant to shoot tip and expressed in centimeters (cm).

The leaf area was recorded using leaf area meter (Li-COR model LI 3000) and expressed as cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>. Leaf area index was computed taking into account, the area occupied by each plant. The leaf area index was calculated by dividing the total leaf area with the corresponding ground area (Watson.1952).

$$\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{Leaf area per plant} \times \text{Plant population density}}{\text{land area}}$$

Crop Growth Rate (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated using the total dry matter of the plant m<sup>-2</sup>, crop growth rate was calculated as per the formula (Watson, 1952).

$$\text{CGR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{1}{P}$$

Peg to pod ratio Count the total number of pegs and pods for randomly labeled five plants in each plot. Peg to pod ratio was calculated by using below formula (Bhagavatha *et al.*, 2016).

$$\text{Peg to pod ratio} = \frac{\text{Number of pods per plant}}{\text{Number of pegs per plant}} \times 100$$

Harvest Index (%) was calculated by using the formula (Donald, 1962).

$$\text{HI} = \frac{\text{Economic yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

## Results and Discussion

### Plant height (Cm)

The plant height of groundnut varieties sown at different spacings was recorded at harvest (Table 1). Pooled data of two seasons revealed that among the three genotypes, Erect type, Kadiri-6 recorded highest plant height (46.35 cm) followed by Decumbent-3 type Dharani (44.11 cm) and least by Decumbent-2 type Kadiri-9 (36.70 cm). Kadiri-6 was significantly higher than Dharani and kadiri-9. Variation in plasticity, growth habit type among genotypes at higher populations resulted in significant variations.

Highest planting density of 100 plants  $m^{-2}$  (20X5 cm) recorded significantly higher plant height (52.59 cm) in k-6 and lowest plant height at recommended spacing (30X10cm) in k-9 was significantly lower (30.17 cm). Plant Height is the phenotypic responses for light to reduce deleterious effects of stressful environments. Such complex patterns of plasticity variation may permit diverse genotypes to be maintained in populations inhabiting variable plant densities. Similar results were reported by Ackerely *et al.*, (2000). Interaction effects are non-significant.

### Leaf area index (LAI)

LAI is an important physiological trait specially under variable planting densities because interaction varies between photosynthetically active area and light penetration. The Leaf Area index at 60 DAS is presented (Table 1).

Among the three varieties, Decumbent-3, Dharani recorded highest Leaf Area Index (6.47) followed by Decumbent-2, Kadiri-9 (6.35) and least by Erect type Kadiri-6 (5.05). Dharani was significantly higher than Kadiri-9 and kadiri-6. This is due to the different

growth habits among the varieties. In the vegetative growth stage, Dharani with wider branch angle had more exuberant development which is beneficial to produce more shoots and leaves and build a wide canopy quickly. This was conducive to intercepting more radiation and growing quickly. Therefore it sustained more LAI and intercepted radiation for longer time resulting with NAR advantage (Carpenter and Board, 1997)

Among the Spacings, 20X5 cm (100 plants  $m^{-2}$ ) recorded significantly higher (8.06) Leaf Area Index followed by 30x5cm (6.30), 20x10 cm (5.37) whereas 30X10cm recorded significantly lowest Leaf Area Index (4.12). The trend in total leaf area expansion is a function of total light intercepted. As the plant population increases the total leaf to land area increases resulting higher leaf area index.

Significantly higher leaf Area Index was observed in the interaction of Dharani sown at a spacing of 20X5 cm (9.53) whereas lowest leaf area index was observed in Kadiri- 6 sown at a spacing of 30 X10 cm (3.50) at harvest stage. Similar reports were reported by Bhagavatha Priya *et al.*, (2016).

### Crop growth rate ( $g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ )

The Crop Growth Rate of groundnut genotypes was recorded at 45-60 DAS and presented in the Table 1.

Among the three varieties, Decumbent-2, Kadiri-9 recorded highest Crop Growth Rate ( $41.95g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ ) followed by Decumbent-3, Dharani ( $39.24\ g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ ) and least by Erect type Kadiri-6 ( $27.94\ g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ ). Kadiri-9 was significantly higher than Dharani and kadiri-6. Among the Spacings, 20X5 cm (100 plants  $m^{-2}$ ) recorded significantly higher ( $52.53g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ ) crop growth rate followed by 30x5cm ( $38.89g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ ), 20x10 cm

(30.80g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) were as 30X10cm recorded significantly lowest crop growth rate (23.28g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). More rapid leaf area per unit LAI may contribute to CGR equilibrium across plant populations in soyabean (Carpenter and Board, 1997).

Significantly higher Crop Growth rate was observed in the interaction of Kadiri-9 sown at a spacing of 20X5 cm (61.07g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) whereas lowest Crop growth rate was observed in Kadiri-6 sown at a spacing of 30 X10 cm (18.41g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) at 45-60 DAS.

### **Peg to pod**

Peg to pod ratio denotes the reproductive efficiency among the groundnut genotypes. Among the three varieties, Decumbent-3, Dharani recorded highest peg to pod ratio (61.76) followed by Decumbent-2 type, kadiri-9 (54.49) and least by Erect type, kadiri-6 (48.42). Dharani was significantly higher than Kadri-6 and kadiri-9. Dharani which is decumbent -3 with wider branch angle the number of aerial pegs were low in number compared to kadiri-9 and kadiri-6 (Table 2). Aerial peg number increased as results of higher population due to increased plant height and hence, pegs fail to penetrate into the soil.

Peg to pod ratio was maximum at recommended spacing 30X10 cm (33.3 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). Significant variability in peg to pod ratio was observed among the all spacings. 30X10 cm (33.3 plants m<sup>2</sup>) recorded significantly higher peg to pod ratio (65.34) than by 20x10 cm (56.10) and significantly higher than 30x5 cm (53.06) and lowest harvest index was observed in 20 X5 cm (45.07).

These results revealed that peg to pod ratio is affected under increased planting densities. The flowering-pegging and pod filling stages seemed to be very sensitive and yield loss

could be prevented by minimizing shade during these stages (Rao and Mittra, 1988).

The data on interaction between varieties and spacings revealed higher peg to pod was observed in the interaction of kadiri-9 sown at a spacing of 30X10 cm (66.94) whereas lowest peg to pod was observed in kadiri-6 sown at a spacing of 20X5 cm (36.95). Similar results were reported by Giayetto *et al.*, (2013)

### **Pod yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Decumbent growth habit genotypes Dharani (Decumbent-3), Kadiri-9 (Decumbent-2) recorded higher pod yields of 3403.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3292.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively compared to Erect type, Kadiri-6 (2467.94 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Dharani, decumbent-3 growth habit had more yield because of high LAI, CGR and peg to pod number. Similar results were reported by Haro *et al.*, (2013) and Sreelatha *et al.*, (2019).

Among the Spacings, Pod Yield was maximum at 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). Significant variability in pod yield was observed among the all spacings. 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>2</sup>) recorded significantly higher (3,616.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 20x5cm (3,157.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 30x5 cm (2,540.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were as 30X10 cm recorded significantly lowest pod yield (2,904.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Goundnut optimum planting rate reduces intra plant competition during juvenility, enhances plant growth, ground cover and light interception and leads to high dry matter and yield (Zhao *et al.*, 2017).

This might be attributed to more resources at the optimum plant density initiated more leaf area, Leaf area Index, crop growth rate and peg to pod ratio. The data on interaction between varieties and spacings revealed

significantly higher pod yield when Dharani was sown at a spacing of 20X10 cm (4,090.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas lowest pod yield was observed in kadiri-6 sown at a spacing of 30 X5 cm (2,109.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). These findings are in conformity with the results of Gabisa *et al.*, (2017)

These variable yields of groundnut genotypes at variable plant populations can be attributed variable LAI and its activity in terms of light interception, photosynthesis, drymatter accumulation. Yield advantage in Dharani, which has decumbent-3 growth habit has wide branch angle and has lower light distribution to the inner canopy.

This resulted from exuberant vegetative organs. Overlong branches and superfluous leaves led to a dense canopy, especially in the upper positions. The closed upper canopy prevented radiation from being transmitted into the lower layers, which contributed to most of the absorption of radiation being focused at the top of the canopy, which indicated that the rapid attenuation of light mainly occurred in the upper canopy had larger and fairly flat leaves, compared with Kadiri-6 which had more erect leaves.

That resulted in a high light loss ratio because more radiation was transmitted through or across the canopy instead of being absorbed by the leaves. Meanwhile, Kadiri-9, which is decumbent-2 the light penetration within the canopy was more gradual and homogeneous, benefiting from the moderate branch length and foliage size.

### **Harvest index (%)**

Harvest index denotes the partitioning efficiency of any genotype. Groundnut being semi determinate growth habit, HI is

generally low due to overlapping of vegetative and reproductive growth stages. Among the three varieties, Decumbent-3, Dharani recorded highest harvest Index (37.79 %) followed by Decumbent-2 type, kadiri-9 (32.49 %) and least by Erect type, kadiri-6 (25.62 %). Dharani was significantly higher than Kadri-6 and kadiri-9.

Among the Spacings, harvest Index was maximum at 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). Significant variability in harvest index was observed among the all spacings. 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>-2</sup>) recorded numerically higher harvest index (40.55%) than by 30x10 cm (38.75%) and significantly higher than 30x5 cm (25.98%) and lowest harvest index was observed in 20 X5 cm (22.59%). Harvest index in both the genotypes increased with increase in spacing per hectare (Howlader *et al.*, 2009).

The data on interaction between varieties and spacings revealed significantly higher harvest index was observed in the interaction of Dharani sown at a spacing of 20X10 cm (48.23%) whereas lowest harvest index was observed in kadiri-6 sown at 20x5cm (18.06). Similar results were reported by Bhagavatha Priya *et al.*, (2016) and Sreelatha *et al.*, (2019)

The decrease in plant density favours huge vegetative growth and results in lower percent of productive pegs, pods, seed per pod and finally harvest index.

This could be attributed to the rapid development of seed yield in high plant density by optimizing growth factors, once the reproductive phase started, the process of maturation proceeds quickly and leads to harvestable crop while other weather conditions are good.



**Table.1** Physiological traits of variable growth habit groundnut genotypes at different planting densities

	Plant Height (Cm)			Leaf Area Index (LAI)			Crop Growth Rate (CGR)		
	2018	2019	POOLED	2018	2019	POOLED	2018	2019	POOLED
<b>Varieties (V)</b>									
<b>V1:k6 (Erect)</b>	44.19	48.51	<b>46.35</b>	5.10	5.00	<b>5.05</b>	37.46	18.42	<b>27.94</b>
<b>V2:k9 (Decumbent-2)</b>	36.03	37.36	<b>36.70</b>	6.29	6.41	<b>6.35</b>	45.26	38.64	<b>41.95</b>
<b>V3: Dharani (Decumbent-3)</b>	43.12	45.10	<b>44.11</b>	6.62	6.33	<b>6.47</b>	45.66	32.82	<b>39.24</b>
<b>Mean</b>	41.11	43.66	<b>42.39</b>	6.00	5.91	<b>5.96</b>	42.79	29.96	<b>36.38</b>
<b>SE (m)</b>	0.65	0.52	<b>0.37</b>	0.04	0.07	<b>0.04</b>	0.74	1.80	<b>0.59</b>
<b>C.D (P=0.05)</b>	1.95	1.58	<b>1.33</b>	0.12	0.21	<b>0.12</b>	2.25	3.93	<b>1.77</b>
<b>Spacing (S)</b>									
<b>S1: 30X10 cm (33.3 plants/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	36.511	36.84	<b>36.68</b>	4.17	4.06	<b>4.12</b>	23.89	22.68	<b>23.28</b>
<b>S2: 30X 5 cm (66.6 plants /m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	41.440	45.81	<b>43.63</b>	6.37	6.22	<b>6.30</b>	46.22	31.56	<b>38.89</b>
<b>S3: 20x10 cm (50 plants /m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	39.978	43.25	<b>41.62</b>	5.34	5.39	<b>5.37</b>	34.33	27.28	<b>30.80</b>
<b>S4:20x5 cm (100 plants/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	46.536	48.72	<b>47.63</b>	8.14	7.97	<b>8.06</b>	66.74	38.31	<b>52.53</b>
<b>Mean</b>	41.12	43.66	<b>42.39</b>	6.01	5.91	<b>5.96</b>	42.80	29.96	<b>36.38</b>
<b>SE(m)</b>	0.44	0.67	<b>0.34</b>	0.04	0.08	<b>0.04</b>	1.27	1.85	<b>1.22</b>
<b>C.D (P=0.05)</b>	1.54	2.36	<b>1.21</b>	0.13	0.26	<b>0.15</b>	4.47	6.53	<b>4.32</b>
<b>Interaction(VXS)</b>									
<b>V1S1</b>	38.43	40.66	<b>39.55</b>	3.59	3.41	<b>3.50</b>	20.53	16.29	<b>18.41</b>
<b>V1S2</b>	45.47	50.74	<b>48.11</b>	5.60	5.51	<b>5.56</b>	39.63	19.15	<b>29.39</b>
<b>V1S3</b>	42.13	48.18	<b>45.16</b>	4.86	4.94	<b>4.90</b>	30.43	16.71	<b>23.57</b>
<b>V1S4</b>	50.73	54.45	<b>52.59</b>	6.35	6.12	<b>6.23</b>	59.27	21.53	<b>40.40</b>
<b>V2S1</b>	31.18	29.16	<b>30.17</b>	4.63	4.82	<b>4.73</b>	26.47	28.67	<b>27.57</b>
<b>V2S2</b>	36.26	40.49	<b>38.38</b>	6.73	6.55	<b>6.64</b>	48.99	37.77	<b>43.38</b>
<b>V2S3</b>	34.57	35.94	<b>35.25</b>	5.37	5.90	<b>5.63</b>	35.57	35.99	<b>35.78</b>
<b>V2S4</b>	42.14	43.84	<b>43.00</b>	8.44	8.37	<b>8.41</b>	70.02	52.11	<b>61.07</b>
<b>V3S1</b>	39.92	40.70	<b>40.31</b>	4.29	3.95	<b>4.12</b>	24.70	23.08	<b>23.89</b>
<b>V3S2</b>	42.59	46.20	<b>44.39</b>	6.77	6.61	<b>6.69</b>	50.03	37.77	<b>43.90</b>
<b>V3S3</b>	43.23	45.62	<b>44.43</b>	5.78	5.32	<b>5.55</b>	36.98	29.15	<b>33.06</b>
<b>V3S4</b>	46.73	47.88	<b>47.31</b>	9.65	9.42	<b>9.53</b>	70.94	41.29	<b>56.11</b>
<b>MEAN</b>	41.12	43.66	<b>42.39</b>	6.01	5.91	<b>5.96</b>	42.80	29.96	<b>36.38</b>
<b>VXS</b>									
<b>SE(m)</b>	0.76	1.16	<b>0.59</b>	0.06	0.13	<b>0.07</b>	2.19	3.21	<b>2.12</b>
<b>CD(0.05)</b>	NS	3.37	<b>2.35</b>	0.26	0.45	<b>0.25</b>	NS	8.42	<b>3.92</b>
<b>SXV</b>									
<b>SE(m)</b>	1.14	1.09	<b>0.70</b>	0.08	0.14	<b>0.08</b>	1.79	2.82	<b>1.55</b>
<b>CD (0.05)</b>	NS	3.49	<b>2.20</b>	0.24	0.44	<b>0.24</b>	NS	9.13	<b>5.58</b>

**Table.2** Yield and Yield attributes of variable growth habit groundnut genotypes at different planting densities

	Peg to pod ratio			Pod yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Harvest index (%)		
	2018	2019	POOLED	2018	2019	POOLED	2018	2019	POOLED
<b>Varieties (V)</b>									
V1:k6 (Erect)	47.81	49.04	<b>48.42</b>	2669.02	2266.86	<b>2467.94</b>	25.87	25.37	<b>25.62</b>
V2:k9 (Decumbent-2)	53.23	55.75	<b>54.49</b>	3714.61	2870.69	<b>3292.65</b>	32.93	32.05	<b>32.49</b>
V3: Dharani (Decumbent-3)	57.25	66.17	<b>61.71</b>	3699.38	3107.56	<b>3403.48</b>	38.03	37.54	<b>37.79</b>
Mean	52.76	56.99	<b>54.87</b>	3361.00	2748.37	<b>3054.72</b>	32.28	31.65	<b>31.97</b>
SE (m)	1.32	1.43	<b>1.10</b>	114.39	42.28	<b>62.12</b>	1.03	0.67	<b>0.52</b>
C.D (P=0.05)	4.04	4.34	<b>3.34</b>	345.88	127.84	<b>187.83</b>	3.11	2.04	<b>1.52</b>
<b>Spacing (S)</b>									
S1: 30X10 cm (33.3 plants/m <sup>2</sup> )	65.16	65.51	<b>65.34</b>	3,095.32	2,714.42	<b>2,904.87</b>	39.23	38.26	<b>38.75</b>
S2: 30X 5 cm (66.6 plants /m <sup>2</sup> )	50.42	55.71	<b>53.06</b>	2,758.70	2,321.44	<b>2,540.07</b>	26.64	25.33	<b>25.98</b>
S3: 20x10 cm (50 plants /m <sup>2</sup> )	54.18	58.01	<b>56.10</b>	3,882.45	3,351.28	<b>3,616.87</b>	41.08	40.02	<b>40.55</b>
S4:20x5 cm (100 plants/m <sup>2</sup> )	41.42	48.72	<b>45.07</b>	3,707.79	2,606.35	<b>3,157.07</b>	22.16	23.01	<b>22.59</b>
Mean	52.80	56.99	<b>54.89</b>	3,361.07	2,748.37	<b>3,054.72</b>	32.28	31.66	<b>31.97</b>
SE(m)	1.7	1.74	<b>0.99</b>	143.39	36.49	<b>77.94</b>	1.21	0.56	<b>0.68</b>
C.D (P=0.05)	4.12	6.17	<b>3.05</b>	345.89	128.74	<b>274.98</b>	4.28	2.01	<b>2.31</b>
<b>Interaction(VXS)</b>									
V1S1	56.67	58.94	<b>57.81</b>	2,233.11	2,060.04	<b>2,146.57</b>	32.30	32.58	<b>32.44</b>
V1S2	48.41	46.99	<b>47.69</b>	2,380.84	1,838.17	<b>2,109.51</b>	21.59	20.36	<b>20.98</b>
V1S3	51.53	50.93	<b>51.23</b>	3,220.15	3,100.55	<b>3,160.35</b>	31.67	30.36	<b>31.01</b>
V1S4	34.61	39.30	<b>36.95</b>	2,842.70	2,068.69	<b>2,455.69</b>	17.93	18.19	<b>18.06</b>
V2S1	66.81	67.08	<b>66.94</b>	3,691.70	3,170.21	<b>3,430.96</b>	41.58	40.09	<b>40.83</b>
V2S2	50.45	56.16	<b>53.31</b>	3,136.38	2,355.86	<b>2,746.12</b>	26.47	24.94	<b>25.71</b>
V2S3	55.28	56.02	<b>55.65</b>	4,041.18	3,159.31	<b>3,600.24</b>	42.05	41.05	<b>41.55</b>
V2S4	40.40	43.75	<b>42.07</b>	3,989.17	2,797.41	<b>3,393.29</b>	21.61	22.11	<b>21.86</b>
V3S1	72.00	70.52	<b>71.26</b>	3,361.16	2,913.00	<b>3,137.08</b>	43.80	42.12	<b>42.96</b>
V3S2	52.41	63.97	<b>58.19</b>	2,758.88	2,770.31	<b>2,764.59</b>	31.85	30.68	<b>31.27</b>
V3S3	55.75	67.09	<b>61.42</b>	4,386.03	3,793.99	<b>4,090.01</b>	49.51	48.64	<b>48.23</b>
V3S4	49.27	63.09	<b>56.18</b>	4,291.53	2,952.95	<b>3,622.24</b>	26.95	28.72	<b>27.84</b>
MEAN	52.80	56.99	<b>54.89</b>	3,361.07	2,748.37	<b>3,054.72</b>	32.28	31.65	<b>31.97</b>
<b>VXS</b>									
SE(m)	2.03	3.03	<b>1.72</b>	248.26	63.21	<b>135.10</b>	2.10	0.99	<b>1.18</b>
CD(0.05)	NS	NS	<b>NS</b>	NS	264.98	<b>398.90</b>	NS	4.23	<b>3.24</b>
<b>SXV</b>									
SE(m)	2.04	2.92	<b>2.06</b>	235.45	78.09	<b>127.93</b>	2.07	1.34	<b>1.07</b>
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	<b>NS</b>	NS	244.72	<b>410.76</b>	NS	3.88	<b>3.44</b>

Plant type and canopy structure significantly influence light distribution and interception in the canopy especially under increased plant densities, ultimately effecting crop yield.

Decumbent-3 type growth habit genotype Dharani sown at 20X10 cm (50 plants m<sup>-2</sup>) resulted in significantly high pod yield (4,090.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas lowest pod yield was observed in kadiri-6 sown at 30X5 cm (2,109.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at recommended spacing of 30X10 cm (2,146.57 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The study concludes that decumbent growth habit Spanish bunch groundnut genotypes can well fit into high density plantings to reap higher productivity

## References

- Ackerly, D.D., Dudley, S.A., Sultan, S.E., Schmitt, J., Coleman, J.S., Linder, C.R., Sandquist, R.D and Geber, M.A. 2000. The Evolution of plant ecophysiological traits: Recent Advances and future directions: New research in addresses natural selection, genetic constraints and the adaptive evolution of plant ecophysiological traits. *BioSciences*. 50(11): 979-995.
- Bhagavatha Priya, T., Subramanyam, D and Sumathi, V. 2016. Performance of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars under different plant populations during early kharif. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 50 (4): 362-365.
- Carpenter, A.C. and Board, J.E. (1997). Growth dynamic factors controlling soybean yield stability across plant populations. *Crop Science*. 37: 1520-1526.
- Donald, C.M. 1962. In search of yield. *Journal of Australian institute and Agricultural Science*. 8: 111-118.
- Gabisa, M., Tana, T and Urage, E. 2017. Effect of planting density on yield components and yield of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) varieties at Abeya, Borena zone Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Applied Science*. 3(3):748-754.
- Giayetto, O., Morla, F.D., Fernandez, E.M., Cerioni, G.A., Kearney, M., Rosso, M.B and Violanate, M.G. 2013. Temporal analysis of branches pod production in peanut genotypes with different growth habits and branching patterns. *Peanut Science*. 40: 8-14.
- Haro, R.J., Baldessari, J., Otegui, M.E. 2013. Genetic improvement of peanut in Argentina between 1948 and 2004: Seed yield and its components. *Field Crops Research*. 149 (2013) 76–83
- Howlader, S.H., Bhashar, H.M., Islam, M.S., Mamun, M.H and Jahan, S.M.H. 2009. Effect of plant spacing on yield and yield attributes of groundnut. *International Journal of Crop Production*. 4(1): 41-44.
- Rao, L. J and Mittra, B.N.1988. Growth and Yield of Peanut as Influenced by Degree and Duration of Shading. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 160: 260-265.
- Sreelatha, P., Sudhakar, P., Umamhesh, V, Subramanyam, D and Vasanthi, R.P. 2019. Variability in growth and yield attributes among different growth habits of Groundnut genotypes. *Indian Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 8(6): 1066-1071.
- Watson, D.J., Thron, G.W and French, S.A.W. 1952. Physiological cause of different grain yield between varieties in barley. *Annals of Botany*. 22: 321-352
- Zhao, C., Shao, C., Yang, Z., Wang, Y., Zhang, X and Wang, M. 2017. Effects of planting density on pod development and yield of peanuts under the pattern of precision planted peanuts. *Legume Research*. 40(5): 901-905



**How to cite this article:**

Swetha Sree, M., P. Sudhakar, V. Uma Mahesh, T. Prathima and Giridhara Krishna, T. 2020. Physiological Responses of Variable Growth Habit Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) Genotypes at Different Planting Densities. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(06): 3717-3725.  
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.439>