Evaluation of Drip Fertigation in Banana in Kugulur Distributary of LBP Canal Command Area
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A B S T R A C T

To evaluate drip fertigation in banana at farmers holdings, On Farm Experiment under Operational Research Project on improved water management technologies in Banana were conducted in farmers fields one each at head, middle and tail reaches of Kugalur distributary of Lower Bhavani Project canal command areas during 2016 and 2017. The experiment consisted of improved water management technology (drip irrigation once in 3 days, application of entire P (35 g plant⁻¹) at 3rd month through band placement, fertigation of N (110 g plant⁻¹) and K (330 g plant⁻¹) in 30 equal splits from 6th week to 35th week after planting and conventional method (1.0 IW/CPE ratio). Drip irrigation laterals were laid with 180 cm lateral spacing and 0.6 m lateral spacing and banana were planted with spacing of 1.8 x 1.8 m (triangular geometry planting). The discharge rate of the dripper was 4 lph and the irrigation was given once in three days and fertigation of N -110 g plant⁻¹ and K -330 g plant⁻¹ in 30 equal splits was given from 6-35th week after planting. The results of this study revealed that drip fertigation recorded lower water use of 1650 mm and higher WUE of 12.1 kg ha⁻¹mm⁻¹ and 26.3 per cent water saving. The higher yield of banana 20.0 tonnes ha⁻¹ was recorded in drip fertigation technology which was 26.4 per cent higher than conventional method. The B:C ratio (4.97) was higher in drip fertigation treatment compared to conventional method. Drip irrigation once in 3 days and fertigation of N (110 g plant⁻¹) and K (330 g plant⁻¹) in 30 equal splits from 6th week to 35th week after planting recorded lower water use, higher WUE, yield and economics in banana.
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Introduction

Banana in India is cheap, nutritious and available all round the year. It is an important fruit crop grown in India next to mango. The area under banana was 7.09 lakh hectares in 2008-09 and the production was 26.22 million tonnes in 2008-09 (Anonymous, 2009). It contributes more than 2.8% to GDP of agriculture in India. Banana requires large quantity of water during its life cycle. In winter, Irrigation is provided at an interval of 7-8 days while in summer it should be given at an interval of 4-5 days (Sharma and...
Kispotta, 2016). However, during rainy season irrigation is provided if required as excess irrigation will lead to root zone congestion due to removal of air from soil pores, thereby affecting plant establishment and growth. In all, about 70-75 irrigations are provided to the crop. The drip method of irrigation also helps in reducing over-exploitation of groundwater that partly occurs in surface irrigation. Water saving through drip irrigation system is estimated to be in the range of 12-84 per cent in different crops, besides its beneficial impact on crop yields (Narayananamoorthy, 1997). Among the different irrigation systems, the drip irrigation is reported for its improvement in yield and quality and shorter growing season together with substantial saving in water and energy.

The field experiments were conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar under AICRP- Irrigation Water Management project resulted better performance of drip fertigation compared to conventional method of irrigation in banana. To test verify that proven technology of drip fertigation in banana, on farm experiments were conducted at farmers holdings in the kugalur distributary of LBP canal area.

Materials and Methods

On farm experiment under Operational Research Project on improved water management technologies in banana were conducted in farmers fields one each at head, middle and tail reaches of kugalur distributary of Lower Bhavani Project canal command areas during 2016 and 2017 under All India Co-ordinated Project on Irrigation Water Management. The experiment consisted of improved water management technology (drip irrigation 48 litres per plant once in 3 days, application of entire P (35 g plant⁻¹) at 3rd month through band placement, fertigation of N (110 g plant⁻¹) and K (330 g plant⁻¹) in 30 equal splits from 6th week to 35th week after planting) and conventional method (1.0 IW/CPE ratio). The major soil type of the study area was sandy loam in nature and the soil fertility status was low in available nitrogen (235 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (16 kg ha⁻¹), and high in potash (309 kg ha⁻¹). Two methods of Banana cultivation viz., drip fertigation and conventional method were compared by using the variety Kathali. The planting of Banana in the study area was mainly (January - February). Drip irrigation laterals were laid with 180 cm lateral spacing and 0.6 m lateral spacing and banana were planted with spacing of 1.8 x 1.8 m (triangular geometry planting). The discharge rate of the dripper was 4 lph and the irrigation was given once in three days and fertigation of N (110 g plant⁻¹) and K (330 g plant⁻¹) in 30 equal splits from 6th week to 35th week after planting. For conventional method of cultivation, planting was carried out in ridges and furrows with a spacing of 1.5 m x 1.5 m and apply 110 g of N and 330 g plant⁻¹ of K in three equal splits at 3, 5 and 7th month. The total water use was calculated by adding irrigation water applied and effective rainfall. The details of test verification in the study area are furnished in Table 1. Banana yield was recorded and total water used, water use efficiency (WUE) and economics were worked out and presented.

Results and Discussion

Effect of irrigation and fertigation on total water used and water use efficiency

The water use studies of both the cultivation methods clearly indicated the beneficial effect of drip fertigation in terms of water saving and higher Water Use Efficiency (WUE) (Table 2). The mean total water use under drip fertigation was 1650 mm which was considerably lesser than conventional method which utilized 2084 mm water.
Thus a substantial quantity of water saving by 26.3 per cent was noticed due to the adoption of drip fertigation. The higher cane yield coupled with enormous quantity of water saving under drip fertigation resulted in higher water use efficiency in both the years of experimentation. The results were in accordance with the results of the similar experiment conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar. The mean WUE of drip fertigation was 12.1 kg hamm$^{-1}$ while it was only 7.1 kg hamm$^{-1}$ in conventional method of banana cultivation. The increase in WUE under drip fertigation was mainly due to better performance of the crop and improvement in yield by effective utilization of available water and nutrients applied at regular intervals throughout the crop period to meet the crop demand. Pramanik and Patra (2016) reported that higher water use and lower irrigation water use efficiency were observed under the conventional surface irrigation. Pramanik et al., (2014) observed that the irrigation water-use was found to be highest under the conventional method of irrigation (57.0 cm for plant crop and 33.0 cm for ratoon crop) for the lifecycle of the crop. The irrigation requirement of the crop was found to be lower in plants under drip fertigation. Considerable saving in water (41.7% in plant and 40.4% in ratoon crop) was observed in drip fertigation under 60 per cent CPE and 80 per cent RDF. The water-saving was about 29 per cent in banana due to adoption of drip irrigation over flood irrigation (Narayanamoorthy, 2003).

**Effect of drip fertigation on banana yield**

In the present study, yield of banana was substantially increased due to the adoption of drip fertigation (Table 2). Averaging over locations, drip fertigation registered a mean yield of 20.0 t ha$^{-1}$ which was significantly higher than surface irrigation and normal fertilizer application (14.7 t ha$^{-1}$). The average yield increment by drip fertigation was 26.4 per cent over conventional method of cultivation. Higher yield under drip fertigation was mainly due to the availability of sufficient sunlight with better aeration coupled with adequate availability of soil moisture and nutrients throughout the crop growth period.

Thadchayini and Thiruchelvam (2005) reported 31% higher banana yield in drip irrigation compared to surface irrigation. Sharma and Kispotta (2016) reported that Yield is 21.95% more. 38.82% water is being saved by drip system. Hegde and Srinivas (1990) indicated an increase in the banana yield under drip irrigation compared to the basin irrigation. The yield improvement under drip irrigation was mainly due to the maintenance of soil near field capacity throughout the growth period in the active root zone, leading to low soil suction, which thereby facilitated better water utilization, higher nutrients uptake and excellent maintenance of soil-water-air relationship with a higher oxygen concentration in the root zone (Kumar et al., 2008; Raina et al., 2011).

**Economics of drip fertigation in sugarcane**

The economic analysis of both the methods of cultivation (Table.2) revealed that though the cost of cultivation was comparatively higher under drip fertigation it was found to be economically better than conventional method of cultivation. Drip fertigation fetched a mean gross income of Rs.7,52,917 ha$^{-1}$ as against Rs 5,51,250 ha$^{-1}$ under conventional method.

In addition, higher net income and benefit cost ratio were also associated with drip fertigation. Higher net income and Benefit Cost ratio of Rs 6,01,450 ha$^{-1}$ and 4.97 were registered by drip fertigation as compared to Rs 4,13,833 ha$^{-1}$ and 4.02 respectively under conventionally irrigated and fertilized banana.
Table 1 Details of ORP on drip fertigation in the kugalur distributory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area of demonstration (ha)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of farmers (Head, middle, tail)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the farmers</td>
<td>A.Thangamani K.S.Ganesan O.N. Chinnusamy</td>
<td>K.N.Dhanabalan K.S.Vinayagamoorthy P.V.Mohanraj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the villages</td>
<td>Kullampalayam Bommanaikan palayam Odathurai</td>
<td>Kullampalayam Bommanaikanpalayam Ponnachi Pudur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total rainfall during the cropping period (mm)</td>
<td>945.5</td>
<td>968.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana variety used</td>
<td>Kathali</td>
<td>Kathali</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison of yield, water use and economics of Banana under drip fertigation (DF) and conventional method (Conv.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield (t ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent yield increase</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total water use (mm)</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent water saving by drip fertigation</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Use Efficiency (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cultivation (Rs ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>152100</td>
<td>136917</td>
<td>150833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross income (Rs ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>846667</td>
<td>606667</td>
<td>659167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (Rs ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>694567</td>
<td>469750</td>
<td>508333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional net income in DF (Rs ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>224817</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B:C ratio</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus it is evident that adoption of drip fertigation gained an additional mean net income of Rs 1,87,617 ha\(^{-1}\) than conventional method. The extra expenditure needed to meet the cost of drip fertigation over conventional method of banana cultivation was very well compensated by the enhanced yield. Basavarajappa et al., (2010) who found that the highest net returns and the benefit cost ratio were obtained in the drip irrigation treatment which received irrigation at 100% of crop ET and the lowest were obtained in the furrow irrigation treatment.

Shashidhara et al., (2007) found that drip irrigation had higher benefit cost ratio as compared to surface irrigation. Pramanik and Biswas (2012) observed that the drip fertigation system is more profitable as compared to surface irrigation (conventional method) due to increase in yield of banana plant.
Pramanik et al., (2014) observed that the drip fertigation system was found more profitable compared to surface irrigation (conventional method) in ratoon crop also due to increase in banana yield.

The study clearly suggests the advantage of drip and fertigation with applying precise amounts of water and fertilizer nutrients over surface irrigation and conventional soil fertilization for banana production. It is concluded from the study that drip irrigation favourably influenced the banana yield which resulted in higher irrigation WUE against lower amounts of water applied. Apart from advantage of water saving over conventional irrigation, drip system proved to be very effective and efficient method of irrigation for cultivation of banana crop.

Moreover, benefit-cost ratios with different discount rates indicated that drip investment in banana cultivation is economically significant. The practice of drip irrigation should be encouraged in the banana fields. Since the farmers are getting water for the low cost from the public irrigation system, they are least interested to adopt this technology.
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