Effect of Graded Levels of Sulphur as Magnesium Sulphate on Yield and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Red and Lateritic Soils of West Bengal, India
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**A B S T R A C T**

Onion is a very important commercial vegetable crop in the kitchens of India. Sulphur is an important nutrient that affects the yield and quality of onion. Keeping this in view an experiment was conducted to study the effect of graded levels of sulphur on yield and quality of onion at Horticultural Research Farm of Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan, India. The treatments consisted seven incremental doses of sulphur viz. 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 kg S ha⁻¹ as magnesium sulphate in a randomized block design with three replications. Yield attributes responded favourably to the sulphur application in the range of 40-50 kg ha⁻¹. Maximum bulb yield (28.52 t ha⁻¹) of onion was recorded with 40 kg S ha⁻¹. Nutrient uptake by bulb was also found maximum in the sulphur application of 40 kg ha⁻¹. Maximum pyruvic acid, reducing sugar and total sugar was registered in application of sulphur @ 40 kg ha⁻¹, 40-50 kg ha⁻¹ and 60 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Total soluble solids (TSS) did not have any significant variation among the treatments. Results revealed that onion responded well with sulphur as magnesium sulphate and application of sulphur @40 kg S ha⁻¹ is helpful in augmenting the higher productivity and better quality of onion in sulphur deficient red and lateritic soils of West Bengal, India.
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Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa*) is an important vegetable belonging to family Alliaceae. It is an indespensable item in every kitchen as vegetable and condiment used to flavor many of the food stuffs. Therefore, onion is popularly referred as “Queen of Kitchen” (Selvaraj, 1976). Its pungency is mainly due to *Allyl propyl disulphide*, a volatile oil. Onion (*Allium cepa*) is a sulphur loving plant and sulphur is a major essential nutrient for plants, required for proper growth, yield and quality of onion (Kumar and Singh, 1995).
Sulphur has been found not only to increase the bulb yield of onion but also improves its quality, especially pungency and flavours (Jaggi and Dixit, 1999). Bell (1981) also reported sulphur containing secondary compounds was not only of importance for nutritive value and flavours, but also for resistant against pests and diseases.

Sulphur deficiencies have been reported from over 70 countries worldwide including India. Sulphur deficiencies in India are widespread and scattered throughout 120 districts out of 400 districts (Tandon 1991). Deficiency of sulphur in Indian soils is on increase due to intensification of agriculture with high yielding varieties and multiple cropping coupled with the use of high analysis sulphur free fertilizers along with the restricted or no use of organic manures have accrued in depletion of the soil sulphur reserve.

Crops generally absorb sulphur and phosphorus in similar amounts. In West Bengal Red and Lateritic soils occupy about 28 per cent of the total geographical area of the state (Anon. 1989).

Of these, red soil occur in the districts of Birbhum, Bankura, Burdwan, Midnapore and some other parts of Malda and West Dinajpur and gravelly soils are found in the districts of Purulia, part of Midnapore, Bankura and Birbhum (Mandal and Roy 1985). Some parts of Birbhum, Burdwan, Bankura, and Midnapore have also laterites and lateritic soils (Panda et al., 1991).

In fact, the red, laterite and associated soils of Eastern India are acidic in soil reaction, light textured, low in organic matter and P and are often deficient in S (Panda et al., 1991, Sakal and Singh 1997). In West Bengal, six districts viz. Birbhum, Burdwan, Murshidabad, Midnapore, Nadia and 24 Parganas have been reported to be sulphur deficient (Tandon 1991). A considerable area of West Bengal is sulphur deficient or likely to become deficient except for the coastal and saline soils. It has been observed that the intensity of S deficiency in red and lateritic soils of different blocks of Birbhum district of West Bengal ranged from about 21 to 40% with an average of 28% as per critical value approach and 25 to 41% with an average of 31% as per sulphur availability index (SAI) (Chattopadhyay et al., 2006).

India ranks second in global onion production after China and with an annual production of 16 to 17 million tonnes accounts for around 20% of global production (FAO, 2010). However, Indian onion yield is one of the lowest. The total production of onion during the year 2015-16 is estimated at around 202.14 lakhs MT as per the 2nd advance estimates.

Out of this, around 65% produce which comes in rabi season is partly used for domestic consumption as well as storage for further consumption in different parts of the country. The major onion storing states are Maharashtra, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Bihar.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment on onion cultivar of Sukhsagar was conducted in rabi season of 2015-16 in the months of December to March at the Horticultural farm of Palli Sikhsha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva Bharati, Sriniketan, West Bengal, India (situated at 23°39’ N latitude and 87°42’ E longitude ).

The crop was planted (spacing of 15cm × 10 cm), fertilized and irrigated as per the recommended practices. Before fertilizer application, random soil samples were taken from the experimental site and were analyzed.
The analysis revealed that initial soil was acidic in nature (pH 6.32) and contains 25.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N, 11.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P, 147.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\) K, 10.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) S and 0.32% organic carbon. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and with incremental doses of Sulphur (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). The source of sulphur was magnesium sulphate. Sulphur fertilizer was given as basal and other fertilizers were given in split applications. Different growth parameters were observed on 5 plants per plots at 30 days interval. After harvest of onion different chemical analysis were carried out on both soil and bulb.

Quality parameters viz. pyruvic acid, reducing sugar, total sugar and TSS were estimated as per standard procedures. Nutrient uptake was analysed both in soil and plant sample of Nitrogen, Phosphorous, Potassium by standard procedure. Available sulfur in the soil was extracted using 0.15% calcium chloride (CaCl\(_2\)) solution (Williams and Steinbergs, 1959). Sulphur content in bulb was determined after wet digestion in diacid mixture of nitric acid (HNO\(_3\)) and perchloric acid at a ratio of 4:1 as per Jackson (1973).

Sulphur content in the digest of plant extract was determined using turbidimetric method of Chesnin and Yien (1951) by turbidimetric method by using spectrophotometer at 440 nm (Jackson, 1967). Yield was calculated in quintal per hectare and other yield attributes like bulb polar and equatorial diameter and average bulb weight was calculated.

Statistical analyses were carried out following the method of analysis of variance (ANOVA). For comparisons between treatment means, standard error of the mean difference (Sd) and least significant difference (LSD) at \(\alpha = 0.05\) level of significance were calculated (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

**Results and Discussion**

**Growth parameters**

Plant height for treatment 30 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was found more in 30DAT while it was more in treatment 20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 60DAT AND 90 DAT. Jana and Jahangir (1990) obtained highest plant height with sulphur @ 30kg ha\(^{-1}\). Sharma et al., (2002) reported that plant height increased with increase in S rate upto 30kg ha\(^{-1}\) in heavy textured soils and upto 45kg ha\(^{-1}\) in light textured soils (Fig. 1).

Nagaich et al., (1999) reported that application of sulphur @ 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) significantly increased plant height. Plant height was found least in 60kg ha\(^{-1}\) treatment in all through growing period of crop. Plant height declined significantly at higher rate of sulphur application (60kg ha\(^{-1}\)). These results may be related to the benefits of adequate S supplies to the plants, because low or excessive doses are detrimental to growth and development.

Maximum leaf number is noted mainly between 30 to 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) application of sulphur. Increase in leaf number with application of sulphur was also reported by Nagaich et al., (1999), Jaggi (2005) and Tripathy et al., (2013) (Fig. 2).

Leaf length for treatment 40kg ha\(^{-1}\) was found more in 30DAT, 60DAT and 90 DAT. Thus, maximum leaf length is noted mainly between 20 to 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) application of sulphur. Suman et al., (2002) reported that leaf length increased upto 40kg S per hectare (Fig. 3).

Leaf diameter for treatment 40kg ha\(^{-1}\) was found more in 30DAT, treatment 20kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 60DAT and 30kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 90 DAT. Leaf diameter was found least in 0kg ha\(^{-1}\) treatment in all through growing period of crop (Fig. 4).
Neck length for treatment 40 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was found more in 30DAT, treatment 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 60DAT and 20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 90 DAT. A constant increase in all growth parameters is seen through the cropping period from 30DAS to 90 DAT (Fig. 5).

Data on plant for 30, 60, 90 DAT is given in figure 6. Neck diameter for treatment 30 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was found more in 30DAT, 60DAT and 90 DAT. Neck diameter was found minimum in 0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) treatment in all through growing period of crop. Tripathy et al., (2013) reported significant variations among the graded levels of sulphur application in onion. Kumar et al., (1998) reported that sulphur application increased neck diameter in onion. On the contrary, Mishu et al., (2013) reported neck diameter was not significantly affected by different doses of sulphur application.

Yield and yield attributes

The effect of graded levels of sulphur on yield and yield attributes of onion are presented in Table 1. Both polar and equatorial diameter play an important role in determining the shape and size of onion bulb. Significantly higher polar and equatorial diameter was registered due to application of sulphur @ 40 to 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Similar result has also been reported by Jana and Kabir (1990). Nagaich et al., (1999) and Tripathy et al., (2013) also reported that application of sulphur significantly increased the equatorial diameter. No sulphur application was found comparatively less polar and equatorial diameter. Nagaich et al., (1999) reported that polar diameters increased with sulphur application @ 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) over control plots.

Bulb weight of onion significantly increased with application of sulphur over no application. Highest bulb weight was observed with application of sulphur at the range of 30 to 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Increase in bulb weight with increasing rates of sulphur was reported by a number of researchers (Jana and Jahangir, 1990; Nagaich et al., 1999; Jaggi 2005 and Mishu et al., 2013). Yield is the main parameter which is considered important for all field experiment undertaken. In this experiment, it is noted that onion yield increased significantly with application sulphur over control plot (without sulphur application). De Souza et al., (2015) reported that onion productivity was 16% lower in no sulphur application plot. It was also noted that graded levels of sulphur application linearly increased the bulb yield of onion up to 40 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (28.52 t ha\(^{-1}\)) which was also observed by Jaggi and Raina (2008).

Quality parameters

Figure 7 gives the observation regarding effect of graded levels of sulphur on quality parameter of onion. The quality of onion depends on its pungency and total soluble solids. The pyruvic acid content of onion bulbs is associated with its pungency. In this experiment maximum pyruvic acid content in onion bulbs was noticed in sulphur application at 40 and 50 kg/ha. It was found that pyruvic acid was least in control plot with no sulphur application and increased linearly up to 40 and 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and decreased with 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) sulphur application.

Forney et al., (2002) and Thangasamy et al., (2013) also find that the pyruvic acid content had significant and positive correlation with sulphur application. Maximum pungency was reported with sulphur application of 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Channagaoudar and Janawade, 2006), 65 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (de Souza et al., 2015), 75 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Quereshi and Lawande, 2006), 160 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Shakirullah et al., 2002). Total soluble solids (TSS) is an important biochemical parameter that determines quality of onion. Experimental data determines that TSS does not have any significant effect on onion.
Reducing sugar was found maximum in plots with sulphur application of 40 and 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Minimum reducing sugar was found in control plots with no sulphur application. Reducing sugar was found to be increasing from control plots upto 40 and 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and decreased in sulphur application of 60kg ha\(^{-1}\). Total sugar was found significantly increasing from control plot with no sulphur to sulphur application at 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) having maximum total sugar.

**Nutrient concentration in onion bulb after harvest**

As per the data obtained in dry matter it was found that total nitrogen is maximum in the range between 40 to 60 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) and minimum total nitrogen was found in 10kg S ha\(^{-1}\) having an increasing trend with increasing levels of sulphur application ranging from 1.03% to 1.79%. Nasreen et al., (2007) found almost a liner increase in N uptake with the increased rate of sulphur application upto 40kg S ha\(^{-1}\) and further increase in sulphur application decreased the N uptake. Both Phosphorous and potassium uptake was found to have increased upto 40kg S ha\(^{-1}\) with highest nutrient content in 60kg S ha\(^{-1}\). Sulphur content in dry matter increased upto 50kg S ha\(^{-1}\) but slightly decreased in sulphur application of 60kg S ha\(^{-1}\) and minimum sulphur uptake was found in control plot with no sulphur application. Sulphur concentration in onion was found highest in sulphur application @40-60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) ranging from 0.89% to 0.9%. Significant treatment effects were found in nutrient concentration and uptake by bulb.

**Soil properties and available nutrient content in soil after harvest**

Data regarding pH, EC and organic carbon has been presented in table 2. There has been a decreasing trend in pH, EC and organic carbon from control plots to plots with sulphur application of 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Available phosphorous was found maximum in sulphur application of 20kg ha\(^{-1}\). Available sulphur was found gradually increasing from no sulphur application to sulphur application of 60kg ha\(^{-1}\). Maximum available sulphur was found in soils with sulphur application of 60kg ha\(^{-1}\). There were significant treatment effects among the available soil nutrients.

**Table.1 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on yield and yield attributes of onion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Bulb diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Average bulb weight (g)</th>
<th>Yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>Equatorial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_0)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{10})</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{20})</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{30})</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{40})</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{50})</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(_{60})</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Em(±)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Effect of graded levels of sulphur on soil properties and available nutrient content (kg/ha) by soil after harvest of onion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>pH(1:2) (dsm⁻¹)</th>
<th>EC (dsm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Organic carbon (%)</th>
<th>Avl. N</th>
<th>Avl. P</th>
<th>Avl. K</th>
<th>Avl. S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S₀</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>211.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁₀</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>298.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂₀</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>193.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₃₀</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>167.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>176.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₄₀</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>200.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>404.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₅₀</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>239.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₆₀</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>334.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>222.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>249.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm (±)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.017</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.294</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on plant height of onion
Fig.2 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on leaf number of onion
Fig.3 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on leaf length of onion
Fig.4 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on leaf diameter of onion
Fig. 5 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on neck length of onion

Fig. 6 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on neck diameter of onion

Fig. 7 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on quality and biochemical content in onion bulb

Fig. 8 Effect of graded levels of sulphur on nutrient concentration in onion bulb
Thus, from the above results it can be concluded that the red and lateritic soils of the region are very much responsive to sulphur application. Results also suggest that application of sulphur @ 40 kg S ha$^{-1}$ is helpful in augmenting the higher productivity and better quality of onion in sulphur deficient red and lateritic soils of West Bengal.
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