Fortification of Lassi with Herbal Extracts – Effects on Quality and Total Phenolic Content
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Abstract

Lassi, a popular curd based traditional fermented milk beverage of India, was prepared from standardized cow milk dahi using 1% commercial yogurt culture containing Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus. Maximum concentration of herbal extracts added during processing of herbal lassi were optimized with Ginger, turmeric and carrot extracts @ 2% (v/v), 1% (v/v) and 15% (v/v) respectively. The antioxidant activity of ginger, carrot, and turmeric extract-based herbal lassi was also evaluated. Antioxidant activity of the three varieties of herbal lassi was measured by total phenolic content as Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE) using a double beam UV-visible spectrophotometer at 765 nm. The antioxidant activity of turmeric, ginger, and carrot juice lassi was found to be 0.226±0.001, 0.216±0.001, 0.154±0.001 mg of GAE/g respectively and differed significantly (p<0.05) with the control lassi (without any herbal extract) which showed antioxidant activity of 0.124±0.001 mg of GAE/g. Turmeric lassi was adjudged best based on antioxidant activity and sensory evaluation and found acceptable up to 9 days when stored at 7±2°C in a glass bottle.

Introduction

The health benefits of different types of foods have been investigated for many years. The growing concern for health and nutrition among consumers has increased the market potential for functional foods throughout the world. Several studies have shown that fermented food products do have a positive effect on health status (Sahlin, 1999). The market for fermented milk products is growing at a faster rate throughout the world.

In India, around 9% of the total milk produced is converted into fermented milk products with an annual growth rate of more than 20% (Singh et al., 2006). Milk-based soft drinks would find its place as a refreshing and nourishment drink and earned universal acceptability all over the world. Lassi is one of the most popular fermented dairy beverages in India prepared by the churning of curd. Lassi is proven to be an extraordinary nutritional material with all essential and non-essential amino acids. Moreover, these drinks
are light, refreshing, healthful, and nutritious but less acidic than fruit juices and offer a good profit margin. Lassi has been mentioned as one of the best among milk products due to its immense therapeutic, immunostimulatory activity, and nutritional value (Sarkar, 2008; Hussain et al., 2011). Several health benefits associated with the consumption of live probiotic bacteria are in controlling intestinal infections, improved digestion, improved lactose utilization, prevention of colon cancer, lowering of blood pressure, cholesterol, and reduced inflammation, etc. (Dugas et al., 1999). The functionality of lassi increases with the addition of probiotic microorganisms and incorporation of antioxidant-rich herbal juices that provides strong antioxidant power endow with value addition to the finished product. Herbs are used to fortify foods throughout history as preservatives, flavour, and therapeutic agents. Although herbs are low-cost commodities, they are nowadays valued as gold or jewels for many centuries (El- Sayed and Youssef, 2019). Consumption of herbs has a significant health-promoting effect and reduces the incidence of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and various degenerative diseases (Singh et al., 2006; Craig, 1999; Shishodia et al., 2005). Nowadays, dairy products are a unique carrier that has been successfully used to deliver phytochemicals and other nutrients for health benefits in our nutrition food system (El- Sayed et al., 2015). Herbs viz. carrot (Daucus carota L.), ginger (Zingiber officinale) and turmeric (Curcuma longa) have been widely used in our daily foods and were found rich in antioxidant (Molldrem et al., 2004; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; He et al., 2015). The antioxidants are the major defensive system that prevents the body from damage by neutralizing the free radicals. Keeping in view the potential of probiotics and antioxidative properties of herbs, the present study was endeavoured to develop antioxidant-rich herbal lassi using carrot, ginger, and turmeric extract and assess the antioxidant activity of the developed lassi.

**Materials and Methods**

**Collection of milk and herbs**

Raw cow milk was collected from the cattle farm of West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, Mohanpur. Cow milk was collected during summer season from the herd of Sahiwal breed mainly fed on paddy straw, hybrid Napier, and nutrifeed seeds. It was subsequently standardized to 3.0% fat and 8.5 % SNF and further used for the preparation of Dahi. Ginger, Carrot, and Turmeric were procured from the local market at Mohanpur, Nadia.

**Bacterial Culture**

Freeze-dried Yoghurt culture (YC-470) containing Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus of Danisco (Dange-Saint-Romain, France) was purchased from the market.

**Preparation of herbal extract**

Fresh ginger, carrot, and turmeric were peeled off and then cut into small pieces. After that, each herb pieces were boiled in water for 1 min. Then it is ground in a mixer and the juice is extracted by filtering with a muslin cloth.

**Preparation of herbal lassi**

Lassi was prepared following the method described by Ashwani et al., (2003) with slight modification (Fig. 1). Milk was first standardized to 3 % fat and 8.5 % SNF. Then heated to 95°C followed by cooling to 45°C. Then milk was inoculated with 1% yogurt culture and incubated at 45±1°C/ 4 to 5 hours. After that, the prepared dahi was broken and mixed with 12% sugar and 0.5% stabilizer.
Previously prepared herbal juice was then added and mixed well and stored at refrigeration condition (7±2°C).

**Chemical and microbiological analysis**

*Lassi* was analyzed for fat, protein, lactose, sugar following the methods described in AOAC (1990). The standard plate count (SPC), coliform count, and yeast & mold count in *lassi* samples were determined following the methods described by APHA (1995).

**Phenolic analysis**

**Extraction of sample**

The extraction of a sample for analysis of phenolic content and antioxidant activity measured was done using the method of Swain and Hills (1959), with some modifications. Three g of the sample was mixed with 25ml methanol followed by homogenized using the Ultra-Turrax homogenizer. The homogenates were kept at 4°C for 12 hrs and then centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 20 min using a vacuum micro-centrifuge. The supernatants were recovered and stored at -20°C until analysis.

**Total phenolic estimation**

The total phenols of all extract were measured at 765 nm by Folin Ciocalteu reagent (McDonald *et al.*, 2001). The dilute methanolic extract (0.5ml of 1:10 g/ml) or Gallic acid (standard phenolic compound) was mixed with Folin Ciocalteu reagent (5ml, 1:10, diluted with distilled water) and aqueous sodium carbonate (4ml, 1M). The mixture was allowed to stand for 15 min and the total phenols were determined by spectrophotometer at 765 nm. The standard curve was prepared using the solutions of Gallic acid prepared in methanol: water (50:50, v/v) with a range of 50 to 250 mg/l. Total phenol values were expressed in terms of Gallic acid equivalent (mg/g of dry mass), which is a common reference compound.

**Sensory evaluation**

Sensory evaluation of *lassi* was done by using 9 points Hedonic Scale as describe in Amerine *et al.*, (1965) and with a panel of five trained judges of the institution.

**Statistical analysis**

Observed data were analyzed for one-way ANOVA using the General Linear Model (GLM) method of IBM SPSS Statistics, version 21, 2012 software.

**Results and Discussion**

**Chemical composition of *lassi***

Cow milk standardizes to 3% fat and 8.5% SNF was used for preparing *dahi* by using lactic culture (*Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*). This prepared *dahi* was used for the preparation of herbal *lassi* with the addition of sugar syrup @12% and stabilizer @ 0.5% (v/v). *Lassi* samples contained 1.3% fat, 3.5 % protein, 1.2 % lactose, 12% lactose and 0.4 % ash.

**Fixation of level of herbal extracts for the preparation of herbal *lassi***

Carrot, ginger, and turmeric are the common herb used at the regular household level. The bioactive compounds from spices and herbs have the potential to decrease or inhibit the risk of degenerative diseases such as diabetes, obesity, cancer, and cardiovascular diseases (Anderson *et al.*, 1999). Phenolic compounds of herbs and spices are good substitutes for the artificial antimicrobial agents used in food manufacturing (Bin *et al.*, 2011). Fortification
of the different herb in dairy products provides natural antioxidant, improve sensory quality, act as a bio preservative, and deliver nutritional as well as medicinal properties (El-Sayad and Youssef, 2019). Therefore, only the highest quality and a fixed level of the herbs can be added to dairy products to improve the appearance, attractiveness, and nutritional value of fortified foods.

In the present study, the level of herbal extracts for the preparation of herbal lassi was fixed based on sensory evaluation. Herbal lassi was prepared by using the different combinations of ginger, turmeric, and carrot extracts individually and evaluated by the sensory test with the control sample where no herbal extract was added.

**Effect of different level of carrot extract on the sensory quality of lassi**

The comparative sensory score of the lassi with different levels of carrot extract and control lassi samples, stored at 7 ± 2°C are shown in table 1. The mean score of colour, flavour, body, and texture showed a significant difference compared to the control sample. It was also observed that those scores were found higher in the lassi having 15% carrot extract compared to the other preparations. The overall acceptability score of control lassi was 8.30±0.11 whereas, the carrot extract containing lassi scored 7.66 ± 0.12, 8.20 ± 0.11, 7.10 ± 0.12 and 7.0 ± 0.11 for 10%, 15%, 20% and 25% (v/v) carrot juice lassi respectively.

The differences between the values of each lassi were statistically significant. Also, lassi prepared by using 15% (v/v) carrot extract showed significantly higher (p<0.05) overall sensory score as compared to other treated lassi samples. Therefore using 15% (v/v) carrot juice was fixed for the preparation of carrot lassi. A similar study was done by Kaur *et al.*, (2019) who said 10 percent carrot pulp improved the overall acceptability in terms of mouthfeel & richness of lassi. The fixation of a higher concentration of carrot extract in the present study could be due to the sensory preference of the panelists who liked the level.

**Effect of different levels of ginger extract on the sensory quality of lassi**

The comparative sensory score of the control lassi and lassi prepared with different levels of ginger extract, stored at 7 ± 2°C are shown in table 2. The mean score of colour-appearance and body and texture decreased but non-significantly up to 2% concentration and then decreased significantly up to 4%. In the case of flavour, a significant difference was observed in each concentration compared to control lassi. Among those, a comparatively higher flavour score was observed with 2% ginger extract. The overall acceptability score of control lassi was 8.30±0.12 whereas, the ginger extract containing lassi scored 7.66 ± 0.12, 8.25±0.10, 7.33±0.12, and 6.50±13 for 1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% ginger lassi (v/v) respectively.

The differences between the sensory values were statistically significant (p<0.05). It was also observed that lassi prepared by using 2% ginger extract (v/v) showed significantly highest overall sensory score as compared to control and other treated lassi samples. Therefore, further study was done using 2 % ginger extract (v/v) in lassi. The result is in accordance with the findings of Srivastava *et al.*, (2015). They used different ration of ginger extract and finalized 2% ginger extract for the manufacture of herbal yoghurt. Pinto *et al.*, (2009) stated that 4% of ginger juice can be added to ice cream as a flavouring agent.
**Table 1** Sensory properties of *lassi* prepared by using different levels of carrot extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (%)</th>
<th>Levels of Carrot Extract</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>7.66±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.66±0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.46±0.11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.13&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.36±0.11&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.33±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.40±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.13±0.13&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.8±0.12&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body and texture</td>
<td>8.33±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.25±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.23±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>8.30±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.20±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.10±0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.0±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a-e Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05); n=5

**Table 2** Sensory properties of *lassi* prepared by using different levels of ginger extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (%)</th>
<th>Level of Ginger extract</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour and Appearance</td>
<td>7.66±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.55±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20±0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.0±0.11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.33±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.0±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.55±0.11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.0±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body and texture</td>
<td>8.33±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.3±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.1±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.26±0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>8.30±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.25±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.5±0.13&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a-d Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05); n=5

**Table 3** Sensory properties of *lassi* prepared by using different levels of turmeric extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (%)</th>
<th>Levels of Turmeric extract</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour and Appearance</td>
<td>7.66±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.45±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.66±0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.33±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.2±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.13&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.0±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.33±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body and texture</td>
<td>8.33±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.25±0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.7±0.11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.0±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.0±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>8.30±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.23±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.33±0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.66±0.11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.66±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a-d Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05); n=5

**Table 4** Total phenolic content of herbal *lassi* prepared by using ginger, carrot and turmeric extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (mg GAE/gm)</th>
<th>Control lassi</th>
<th>Ginger lassi</th>
<th>Turmeric lassi</th>
<th>Carrot lassi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total phenolic content</td>
<td>0.124±0.001&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.216±0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.226±0.001&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.154±0.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a-d Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05). Average of five trials (n=5)
Table 5 Effect of storage on titratable acidity and microbial quality of turmeric lassi at refrigeration temperature (7±2°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acidity ( % LA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.312±0.01</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.402±0.01</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.531±0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.672±0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.690±0.03</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPC (log_{10}cfu/ml)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.78±0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.90±0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.50±0.04</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.04</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.60±0.05</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coliform (log_{10}cfu/ml)</strong></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yeast and mold (log_{10}cfu/ml)</strong></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a- e Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05); n=5

Table 6 Effect of storage in sensory quality of turmeric lassi at refrigeration temperature (7±2°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (%)</th>
<th>No of Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colour</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.10</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.10</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.33±0.11</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0±0.13</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flavour</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.66±0.10</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.56±0.10</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.33±0.11</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.11</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.33±0.13</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body and texture</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.66±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.66±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.54±0.11</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.33±0.13</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.66±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall acceptability</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.83±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.83±0.13</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.66±0.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.33±0.02</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represented as MEAN±SE; a – b Different superscripts within a row differ significantly (p<0.05); n=5

Fig.1 Effect of different herbal extract on the sensory properties of herbal lassi prepared by ginger (2%), turmeric (1%) and carrot (15%) extract. Each bar represents Mean ± SE; a-c Different superscript within each bar differ significantly (p<0.05); n= 5

Effect of different levels of turmeric extract on the sensory quality of lassi

The comparative sensory score of lassi prepared by using different levels of turmeric extract and control lassi sample, stored at 7 ± 2°C are shown in table 3.

The mean score of colour and appearance decreases but not significantly after increasing the concentration up to 2% and then decreased significantly up to 4%. This could be due to the high yellow colour in lassi which affects the appearance. In the case of flavour and body and texture, scores were decreased significantly with increasing the concentration of turmeric extract. The overall acceptability score of control lassi and the lassi containing 1% turmeric extract was comparable but the scores started to fall
significantly with higher concentrations. The overall acceptability score of control lassi was 8.30±0.11 whereas the turmeric extract containing lassi scored 8.23±0.12, 7.33±0.10, 6.66±0.10, and 5.66±0.11 for 1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% turmeric lassi (v/v) respectively. It was also observed that lassi containing 1% (v/v) turmeric extract showed a significantly higher overall sensory score as compared to other treated lassi samples. Therefore the further study was done using 1% turmeric extract (v/v) in herbal lassi. These results are in line with those obtained by Foda et al., (2008) who reported that turmeric in different concentrations had a significant effect on the sensory quality and acceptability of fresh and stored yoghurt. Also, Manoharan et al., (2012) studied the effect of different levels of curcumin addition in ice cream as a colouring agent and based on sensory evaluation, a rate of 0.5% was adjudged the best suitable level for addition in ice cream.

**Total phenolic content of herbal lassi prepared by using ginger, carrot, and turmeric extract in lassi**

On the basis of sensory evaluation 15% (v/v) carrot juice, 2% (v/v) ginger and 1% (v/v) turmeric incorporated lassi were selected for preparation of herbal lassi. The total phenolic content of these three herbal lassi samples was determined and it was measured in terms of mg GAE/gm. The total phenolic content of ginger lassi, turmeric lassi, and carrot lassi was found to be 0.216±0.001, 0.226±0.001 is 0.154±0.001 mg GAE/gm respectively whereas, the control sample showed 0.124±0.001 mg GAE/gm (Table 4).

The results showed that the differences in Total phenolic content among all the lassi samples were found statistically significant. Moreover, it was revealed that 1% (v/v) turmeric lassi showed significantly higher (p<0.05) Total phenolic content compared to the other samples. The results are in accordance with the findings of Sharma et al., (2011), who found that the maximum polyphenols were present in turmeric extract (166.7mg/100g) followed by ginger (23.9 mg/100 g).

**Effect of the different herb on the sensory quality of herbal lassi**

Three types of herbal lassi prepared by the fixed level of different herb (ginger, carrot, and turmeric) were evaluated for the sensory property. The difference was made based on the overall acceptability of the three herbal lassies. The overall sensory scores of the three herbal lassies are depicted in Figure 1. The sensory score of ginger lassi, carrot lassi, and turmeric lassi was observed 8.20±0.11, 8.15±0.11, and 8.25±0.12 respectively. The difference in the sensory score between all the treated lassi samples was statistically significant (p<0.05). Moreover, it was revealed that turmeric lassi had the highest overall sensory score compared to the other herbal lassi.

On the basis of antioxidant activity and sensory score, 1% (v/v) turmeric lassi was selected for further analysis of storage stability.

**Effect of storage on the chemical, microbiological, and sensory properties of turmeric lassi prepared with 1% turmeric extract stored at refrigeration temperature (7±2°C)**

The storage stability of turmeric lassi was studied at refrigeration temperature (7±2°C). The products were kept in a glass bottle and were analyzed for change in acidity, standard plate count (SPC), yeast and mold, coliform, and sensory characteristics at 2 days interval i.e. 0th, 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th days of storage at refrigeration temperature (7±2°C). The
change in different parameters of turmeric lassi is shown in tables 5 and 6. The result revealed that up to the 5th day of storage, there was no significant difference in acidity was observed whereas, after the 7th day acidity starts to increase significantly. From the microbiological analysis, it was observed that the standard plate count (SPC) of turmeric lassi increased from the initial day to the 9th day of storage whereas, in the case of coliform, yeast, and mold count, it was observed nil from the initial day to 9th day. A similar result was observed by Mourya, (2012), where he reported the absence of coliform in the curcumin fortified lassi sample during the storage period. The presence of coliforms in milk and milk products is indicative of unhygienic conditions or practices followed during production, processing, handling, and storage. Coliforms were not detected throughout the storage, which is indicative that the lassi sample was safe for consumption.

The effect of storage at 7±2°C, on the sensory score of turmeric lassi, was done and represented in table 6. It was revealed that up to the 7th day of storage, among colour, flavour, body, and texture, there was no significant difference was observed whereas from 9th day onwards the difference starts to decrease at a significant level. From the overall acceptability of turmeric lassi, it was observed that up to the 7th-day a non-significant difference was observed. So it was finalized that the turmeric lassi can be stored to the acceptable condition up to the 9th day in a glass bottle at 7±2°C temperature.

The result is in agreement with those obtained by Mourya, (2012), where he found that the curcumin fortified lassi had a shelf life of 20 days at 4 ± 1°C and 90-95% RH when packed in low-density polyethylene (LDPE) pouches or in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles.

In conclusion lassi is a fermented milk product having good nutritional and therapeutic value. It can be made more nutritious and antioxidant-rich by the addition of different types of herbs viz. Ginger, turmeric, and carrot. Based on sensory evaluation, 2% (v/v) ginger extract, 1% (v/v) turmeric extract and 15% (v/v) carrot extract was finally added to the lassi. On the basis of antioxidant activity and sensory evaluation lassi prepared by the addition of turmeric juice @ 1% (V/V) was adjudged best. The final products showed a shelf life of 9 days on the basis of chemical, microbiological, and sensory tests when kept in a glass bottle and stored at 7±2°C.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors are thankful to the Vice-Chancellor, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, Kolkata, for providing necessary infrastructural and financial facilities to carry out the work.

**References**


American Public Health Association.
1015 fifteen street, NW, Washington,
DC,
Ashwani K, Solankey, M.J., Suneeta, P. and
Chauhan, A.K. 2003. Storage related
proteolysis in lassi. Indian Journal of
Dairy Science, 56(6):394-396
Bandyopadhyay, M., Chakraborty, R. and
Raychaudhury, U. 2007. Incorporation of herbs into sandesh,
an Indian sweet dairy product, as a
source of natural antioxidants, Internal J. of Dairy Technology,
Bin, S., Yi-Zhong, C., John, D.B. and Harold,
C., 2011. Potential application of
spice and herb extracts as natural preservatives in cheese. J. Med. Food
Craig, W.J.1999. Health promoting properties
of common herbs, The American
Journal of Clinical Nutrition 70:491s-
499s
Dugas B., Mercenier A., Lenoir-Wijnkoop I.,
El-Sayed, S.M. and Youssef, A.M., 2019. Potential application of herbs and
spices and their effects in functional
El-Sayed, S.M., Salama, H., El-Sayed, M.M.,
2015. Preparation and properties of
functional milk beverage fortified
with kiwi pulp and sesame oil. RJPBCS 6 (5): 609–618.
sensory evaluation of yoghurt
supplemented with turmeric. Int. J.
He, Y., Yue, Y., Zheng, X., Zhang, K., Chen,
S. and Du, Z. 2015. Curcumin,
Inflammation, and Chronic Diseases: How Are They
2011. Development of Functional
Lassi: Microbiological, Biochemical
and Technological approach. Conference: International Conference
of Functional Dairy Foods. At:
National Dairy Research Institute,
Karnal, India
Joshi, V.K., Pandey, A. 1999. Biotech food
fermentation. New Delhi: Educational
Kaur, I., Chawla, R. and SivaKumar, S.,
2019. Screening of Optimized Carrot
Pulp Concentration for Development
of Vitamin a Fortified Lassi. Ind. J.
Selection and design of probiotics. Internal Journal of Food
Microbiology, 50 : 45-57.
Manoharan, A., Ramasamy, D., Dhanalashmi,
B., Gnanalashmi, K.S. and
Thyagarajan, D., 2012. Studies on
sensory evaluation of Curcumin
powder as natural color for
butterscotch flavor ice cream. Indian J
Drugs Dis, 1(1), pp.2278-2958.
Molldrem, K.L., Li, J., Simon, P.W. and
Tanumihardjo, S.A., 2004. Lutein and
β-carotene from lutein-containing
yellow carrots are bioavailable in
humans. The American journal of
clinical nutrition, 80(1), pp.131-136.
Mourya, N.K. (2012). Development of
technology of curcumin fortified lassi
with enriched functional attributes. M.
Tech Thesis. National Dairy Research
Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India: 80-
85.
Evaluation of different forms of
ginger as flavouring in herbal ice
Sahlin P. 1999. Fermentation as a method of
food processing production of organic
acids, pH development and microbial growth in fermenting cereals. Sweden: Lund University, PhD thesis.

How to cite this article: