Nutritional Qualities of Ready to Reconstitute Carrot Flavoured Milk Beverage
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ABSTRACT

Production of dried milk powders by using a novel technique of spray drying is to enhance the storage life of milk, making it convenient to reconstitute without much loss of nutrients. A study was conducted to develop a ready to reconstitute milk beverage by using the spray drying technology with optimum nutritional parameters. Ready to reconstitute milk beverage was prepared by incorporation of 20 per cent level of carrot extract and 1.5 per cent level of cardamom with varying levels of milk fat 3, 4.5, 1.5 and 0.5 per cent as Control, T1, T2 and T3. Further, the selected treatments and control were subjected to spray drying technology with standardized spray drying parameters and packed in polyethylene bags and stored at room temperature for 120 days during which the nutritional parameters were studied on day 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120. The protein content was found highest for T3, 35.52 per cent and lowest for the control 30.03 per cent, similarly the ash content was found highest for T3, 8.11 per cent than control and other treatments, while the fat content was highest for T1, 26.67 per cent and lowest for T3, 1.21 per cent, further the lowest moisture content of 4.09 per cent was observed for control and highest for T3, 4.10 per cent, Further the lowest energy value of 428.59 kcal/100gm was observed for T3 and highest for T1, 507.13 kcal/100gm on day 120 of storage . It was concluded that ready to reconstitute milk beverage can be prepared by using skim milk (0.5 per cent milk fat), carrot extract 20 per cent and cardamom 1.5 per cent with standardized parameters of spray drying technology as input feed rate at 8RPM, inlet temperature at 185.0°C for set1 and 195.0°C for set2, outlet temperature at 102.5°C for set1 and 105.5°C for set2 and with better nutritional qualities.
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Introduction

Ready to serve foods are those that are consumed immediately without much cooking/working like milk powder. Today there is a demand for ready-to-serve convenience food among consumers. Fruit or vegetable beverages such as ready to serve are becoming increasingly popular in comparison to synthetic drinks, because of their taste, flavour and nutritive value.

Spray drying is the most suitable technique for producing milk powders and the removal of water which prevents the growth of microorganisms by reducing the water
activity and facilitates preservation and storage of milk constituents\(^{[15]}\). It was also used to encapsulate carotene from carrot\(^{[5]}\).

Carrot is an important root vegetable rich in carotenoids\(^{[16]}\), vitamin C, calcium, iron and magnesium\(^{[14]}\). Carrot root has plenty of antioxidant activity and contain 80% of linoleic acid\(^{[3]}\).

Cardamom acts as flavouring agent in food products with a lot of health properties like antioxidant, blood pressure lowering, fibrinolysis enhancing, diuretic and sedative\(^{[6]}\).

Nowadays, consumer demand is increasing for low fat foods made with value added ingredients such as carotenoids.

Hence the present study has been carried out to enhance the properties of ready to reconstitute milk beverage by using carrot extract and cardamom with increased shelf life by spray drying technology.

### Materials and Methods

#### Cow milk

High quality fresh milk was obtained from crossbred cows at the Livestock Farm Complex, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu.

#### Carrot juice and Cardamom

Good quality fresh carrots and cardamom were purchased from the local market which are utilized for this study.

#### Skim milk powder and Butter

Good quality Skim milk powder and Butter was obtained from the District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited (Aavin), Salem, Tamil Nadu, India was utilized for this study.

#### Experimental design

The following three combinations of treatment and control have been prepared for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected treatments</th>
<th>Fat content in milk (%)</th>
<th>Levels of ingredients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carrot extract (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardamom (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet Temperature</th>
<th>Outlet Temperature</th>
<th>De-block nozzle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set 1-185.5°C</td>
<td>Set 1-102.5°C</td>
<td>On-1second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 2-195.5°C</td>
<td>Set 2-105.5°C</td>
<td>Off-60 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prepared/spray dried ready to reconstitute milk beverage powder was collected in a polyethylene cover and stored in a cool and dry place at ambient temperature.

#### Nutritional parameters

**Moisture:** As per AOAC\(^{[2]}\), 5 g of sample was taken in a dry petri dish and placed in an oven at 105°C for overnight. The samples were desiccated and weighed. The dish was returned into the oven for another half hour and again cooled and reweighed. The process was repeated until a constant weight was reached.

\[
\text{Moisture} \% = \frac{\text{Fresh weight of sample} - \text{Dry weight of sample}}{\text{Fresh weight of sample}} \times 100
\]
Fat: 5 g of sample was taken and the fat content was determined by Soxhlet extraction method\textsuperscript{[13]}.

Protein: 2 g of sample was taken and protein was estimated by Kjeldahl nitrogen method\textsuperscript{[13]}.

Ash: 5 g of sample was weighed into porcelain crucible previously ignited and weighed. The material was ignited in the fume cupboard until no fumes was seen charred of the organic matter and then transferred into a muffle furnace at 550\textdegree C for 3 hr., and cooled in a desiccator and weighed\textsuperscript{[7]}.

\[
\text{Total ash} \% = \frac{\text{Weight of ash} + \text{Crucible weight} - \text{Empty weight of crucible}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100
\]

Gross energy: Gross energy was calculated from other proximate parameters\textsuperscript{[7]}.

Statistical analysis: The data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA in SPSS (version 20.0).

Results and Discussion

Moisture content: It was observed that there was no significant difference noticed between treatments and also between different treatments on a particular day of storage (Table 1). The lowest moisture content was 4.00 ± 0.03 for control on day 90 of storage and the highest was observed for T3 (4.10 ± 0.03) on day 120 of storage. The result revealed that there were no significant changes in moisture content and it may be due to efficient temperature of processing, packaging and also no chances of absorption of moisture by lactose content of the developed powder. According to FSSAI, the maximum moisture content of all kinds of milk powder should be 5 per cent. The result of the present study is in accordance with the reports of Cristina \textit{et al.}, (2012)\textsuperscript{[4]} where moisture content of infant formula was maintained until the 6\textsuperscript{th} month of storage. These results agreed with the results obtained by Kim \textit{et al.}, (2009)\textsuperscript{[11]}, who reported that the moisture content of skim milk powder was 3.5 per cent and whole milk powder was 3 per cent further Kumar and Murthy (1992)\textsuperscript{[12]} found the average moisture of buffalo whole milk powder was 3.23 per cent.

Fat content: The results (Table 2) showed that the fat content ranged from 1.20 ± 0.01 to 26.67 ± 0.02 and a significant difference was noticed between treatments on particular periods of storage but no significant difference was observed within a treatment during storage period. The highest fat per cent (16.78 ± 0.19) was observed on the day 60 of storage for control and the lowest fat content was observed for T3 (1.20 ± 0.01) on day 90 of storage. Fat content of different treatments and control of ready to reconstitute milk beverage highly varied because of initial fat content of milk from which it was produced but in any treatment fat content had not changed during different storage periods and reflected that there were no chemical and microbial changes occurred during storage period. The result of this study showed that fat content of all treatments was within the prescribed standards as per FSSAI (2011)\textsuperscript{[8]}. The result correlates with the study conducted by Kim \textit{et al.}, (2009)\textsuperscript{[11]} who reported that the skim milk powder contained 1.0 per cent fat and whole milk powder contained 26.6 per cent fat and Kumar and Murthy (1992)\textsuperscript{[12]} found the fat content of buffalo whole milk powder was 26.1 per cent.
**Table 1** Moisture content (%) of ready to reconstitute milk beverage during storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (in days)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Fat content (%) of ready to reconstitute milk beverage during storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (in days)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.75 ± 0.22</td>
<td>16.75 ± 0.25</td>
<td>16.78 ± 0.19</td>
<td>16.70 ± 0.19</td>
<td>16.67 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td>26.62 ± 0.03</td>
<td>26.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>26.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>26.55 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.75 ± 0.13</td>
<td>8.75 ± 0.29</td>
<td>8.65 ± 0.14</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.16</td>
<td>8.60 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± (SE) bearing different superscripts in same column differ significantly (P<0.05)

**Table 3** Protein content (%) of ready to reconstitute milk beverage during storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (in days)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.92 ± 0.15</td>
<td>29.97 ± 0.14</td>
<td>29.90 ± 0.13</td>
<td>30.07 ± 0.12</td>
<td>30.03 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td>26.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>26.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>26.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>26.58 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.27 ± 0.08</td>
<td>33.00 ± 0.11</td>
<td>32.97 ± 0.17</td>
<td>32.98 ± 0.08</td>
<td>32.92 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.48 ± 0.15</td>
<td>35.47 ± 0.13</td>
<td>35.50 ± 0.13</td>
<td>35.37 ± 0.15</td>
<td>35.52 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± (SE) bearing different superscripts in same column differ significantly (P<0.05)

**Table 4** Ash content (%) of ready to reconstitute milk beverage during storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (in days)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.11 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± (SE) bearing different superscripts in same column differ significantly (P<0.05)

**Table 5** Gross energy (kcal/100g) of ready to reconstitute milk beverage during storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage period (in days)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>484.47 ± 0.98</td>
<td>482.44 ± 0.98</td>
<td>483.74 ± 0.70</td>
<td>483.90 ± 0.79</td>
<td>482.23 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>508.56 ± 0.66</td>
<td>508.24 ± 0.72</td>
<td>507.73 ± 0.48</td>
<td>507.15 ± 0.34</td>
<td>507.13 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>452.16 ± 0.68</td>
<td>450.92 ± 0.63</td>
<td>451.49 ± 0.69</td>
<td>450.56 ± 0.79</td>
<td>450.27 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>429.44 ± 0.43</td>
<td>429.27 ± 0.42</td>
<td>429.10 ± 0.49</td>
<td>428.18 ± 0.46</td>
<td>428.59 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± (SE) bearing different superscripts in same column differ significantly (P<0.05)
Flow chart for the preparation of ready to reconstitute milk beverage

Milk
↓
Cream separation
↓
Standardization of varying fat level in milk
↓
Addition of carrot juice and cardamom powder
↓
Filtration
↓
Preheating (70°C)
↓
Homogenization
(First stage - 2500 psi & Second stage - 500 psi)
↓
Pasteurization
(72°C for 15 seconds)
↓
Loading in the spray dryer
↓
Standardized spray drying parameters setting
↓
Feeding rate of carrot flavoured milk = 8.0 rpm
↓
Collection of powder
↓
Packaging and storage (32°C)

**Protein content:** The results showed that (table 3) the lowest and highest value of protein content was 26.55 ± 0.02 and 35.52 ± 0.15 respectively. The mean ± SE values of protein content of ready to reconstitute milk beverage showed a significant difference (P<0.05) between the different treatments and control. But during the storage period there were not many changes noticed in a particular treatment.

The variation in protein content between treatments was mainly due to initial protein content of milk in which the product was prepared and during storage there were no proteolytic changes noticed. These results of this study are within the FSSAI (2011)\[8\] standards with minimum 34 per cent of protein in milk powder. The results of this study are in accordance with the findings of Simova and Ruzickova (1979)\[17\] who reported that the average protein content of dried whole milk was 25.0 to 25.5 per cent. Further Kim *et al.*, (2009)\[11\] reported that the protein content of skim milk and whole milk powder was 36 and 27.9 per cent respectively.

**Ash content:** The mean ± SE values for ash content of different treatments stored at different storage periods ranging from 4.73 ± 0.03 to 8.12 ± 0.02 (table 4). Statistical analysis of ash content revealed a significant difference (P<0.05) between the different treatments and control in a particular day of storage and it may be due to the variation in the SNF and moisture content of the product.

The results obtained in this study are within the standards of FSSAI, (2011)\[8\] with maximum ash content of whole milk powder
7.3 per cent and skim milk powder was 8.2 per cent. Kumar and Murthy (1992)\textsuperscript{12} reported that the ash content of buffalo whole milk powder was 5.67 per cent which was similar to the ash content of the product of this study. Further Amrita et al., (1998)\textsuperscript{1} found that the ash content of partly skimmed milk powder was 5.69 per cent. Kim et al., (2009)\textsuperscript{11} found that the ash content of skim milk powder was 8.5 per cent and whole milk powder was 5.9 per cent and also Kajal et al., (2012)\textsuperscript{10} reported that ash content of whole milk powder was 5.34-5.48g/100g.

**Gross energy:** The mean ± SE values for gross energy content of different treatments stored at different storage period ranged from 428.18 ± 0.46 to 508.56 ± 0.66 (Table 5). The variation in gross energy content of treatments and control may be due to the variation in the fat, protein and lactose content of various treatments and control. No significant difference was observed within a treatment during different storage period this may be due to no lipolysis, proteolysis and microbiological changes during storage period.

The results are in accordance with the findings of Gopalan et al., (1980)\textsuperscript{9} who reported that the energy value of whole milk powder was 496 kcal/100g and skim milk powder was 357 kcal/100g of powder.

In conclusion the present study, it was observed that ready to reconstitute milk beverage prepared by using skim milk (0.5 per cent milk fat), carrot extract 20 per cent and cardamom 1.5 per cent with standardized parameters for spray drying technology as input feed rate at 8RPM, inlet temperature on 185.0 °C for set1 and 195.0 °C for set2, outlet temperature on 102.5 °C for set1 and 105.5 °C for set2 showed a good nutritional and shelf life qualities of up to 120 days at room temperature.
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