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Abstract

Proper matching of implements with any type of tractor and the performance evaluation with its combination is very much important to minimize the expenditure in farming operations. An improper matching of tractor-implement combination produced an under loading of engine and hence higher operating costs and poor efficiency. For small farm holding, large tractors are not economical for cutting of stubbles of crops due to excessive operation cost. The main disadvantage of using large tractor is excessive waste of power and high fuel consumption. With small size tractor, it is necessary to select an implement size that is convenient to use or adequate for the operation to be done. Hence, to obtain a suitable implement according to tractor horsepower, implement size plays an important role. At present, in India, removal of plant stubbles is carried out by burning of huge quantity of stalks which causes air pollution, degradation of soil which directly or indirectly influence on human, animal and plant life. In order to assess the possibility of mechanization of cutting operation, it is necessary to develop small tractor operated rotoslasher to overcome the above problems and enhance the timeliness of operation.
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Since, mini tractor or small tractor is a new name to Indian agriculture, it is necessary to develop various implements matching to it.

Any new developments in this aspect using mini or small tractor will reduce the drudgery of small land holders besides meeting timeliness of operations. Present sales trends of power tillers and mini tractors at 70,000 per annum and an additional 70,000 small self-propelled machines point out small holders’ urge for small farm mechanization. Now-a-days mini tractors or small tractors are used for various operations especially for secondary tillage, interculture, making trenches, channel forming etc., where use of regular sized tractors is not possible. These types of small tractors are ideal for horticultural crops due to their small size, which also allows it to manoeuvre between trees in orchards. Some of the small tractors can also be used for plant protection aspects in plantation crops (Karale et al., 2014).

Burning of crop residues results in loss of plant nutrients like N, P, K and S which is having adverse impacts on soil properties and wastage of valuable crop residues as well as having impact on environmental pollution which is hazardous to human health and also produces greenhouse gases causing global warming. The crop residues improve the soil fertility by improving nutrient availability and enriching the organic matter content of soil. Hence, the type of slashing mechanism should be selected based on the efficiency in terms of finished dimensions of the stalk required for incorporation in the soil to facilitate quick decomposition.

The rotoslasher consists of blades pivoted horizontally on a vertical shaft and moves forward in the field. The efficiency of a rotoslasher is the ability to cut the stubble (crops or straw or stalk) into small pieces.
number, whereas stubble present in 10 m$^2$ area were found to be 160 in number. 

\[
\frac{1660}{160} = 10.37 \text{ strokes in 10 m}^2 \text{ area}
\]

Rice stubble cutting energy = 16.11 mJ

Rice stubble cutting energy required for 1 hill 
= 16.11 x 10.37 
= 167.06 mJ per hill 

For 1 hill, the total energy required was found 167.06 mJ.

For 160 numbers of hill which were present in 10 m$^2$ area, energy required was calculated as 
= 167.06 x 160 
= 26729.6 mJ in 10 m$^2$ area 
Energy required in 10 m$^2$ area = 26.72 J

For 10 m$^2$ area, energy required for cutting paddy stubble was found 26.72 J.

**Cutting energy for cotton stubble**

The cutting energy required for cotton stubble was measured by using texture analyzer. The average values for cutting energy required for cotton stubble was 130 mJ.

Area selected = 10 m$^2$ area

Stubbles present in 1 m$^2$ area were 6 in number, whereas stubble present in 10 m$^2$ area were found to be 60 in number.

\[
\frac{1660}{60} = 27.66 \text{ strokes in 10 m}^2 \text{ area}
\]

Cotton stubble cutting energy = 130 mJ

Cotton stubble cutting energy required for 1 stubble 
= 130 x 27.66 
= 3595.8 mJ per stubble

For 1 stubble, the total energy required was found 3595.8 mJ.

For 60 numbers of stubbles which were present in 10 m$^2$ area, energy required was calculated as 
= 3595.8 x 60 
= 215748 mJ in 10 m$^2$ area 
Energy required in 10 m$^2$ area = 215.78 J

For 10 m$^2$ area, energy required for cutting cotton stubble was found 215.78 J.

Energy required for cutting cotton stubble is more than paddy stubble therefore, the cotton stubble energy taken into consideration. To cover 10 meter distance, the time required for rotoslasher was about 0.2 minutes (3 km h$^{-1}$).

Energy required for cutting cotton stubble

\[
\frac{215.78}{0.2} = 1078.9 \text{ J min}^{-1}
\]

\[
= 17.98 \text{ J s}^{-1}
\]

\[
= 17.98 \text{ W}
\]

To overcome the frictional losses, factor of safety taken as 1.4.

\[
= 17.98 \times 1.4
\]

\[
= 25.17 \text{ W}
\]

To avoid the chocking and for efficient throwing of cut stubble, factor of safety taken as 1.5.

\[
= 25.17 \times 1.5
\]

\[
= 37.76 \approx 38 \text{ W}
\]

The weight of tractor was 590 kg and forward speed taken as 3 km h$^{-1}$, therefore the power required to move tractor was 4823 W. Hence, the total power required for was 4.86 kW.
Based on the power required by rotoslasher for shredding operation, a prime mover of suitable size available in market was selected.

Hence the prime mover of 15 kW tractor was selected. The total power available in prime mower was 15 kW but 60% of power losses at drawbar.

Therefore total power available from prime mower was 9.42 kW.

**Model creation for rotoslasher**

Based on the design calculation and following the ASAE standard, the 3D model of a rotoslasher, which was attached with the small hp tractor later on has been developed as shown in Fig. 1. This rotoslasher was developed through CATIA, using CATIA software. Figure 2 and Figure 3 shows the performance evaluation of small tractor operated rotoslasher in paddy and cotton stubbles respectively.

**Results and Discussion**

The total power available in prime mower was 15 kW but 60% of power losses at drawbar. Therefore total power available from prime mower was 9.42 kW.

Hence, the energy available for shredding at prime mower is more than energy required for shredding. The sufficient energy was available for shredding operation. Hence, the design is safe for shredding operation.

According to the power requirement, small tractor was selected as the source of power. The speed was measured by using a digital tachometer for selecting a appropriate peripheral velocity.

**Table.1 Specifications of small tractor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Power source used</td>
<td>Small tractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Make</td>
<td>Kubota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>A211N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Maximum PTO power (kW)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Drive wheels</td>
<td>4WD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of tyres</td>
<td>Pneumatic and traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Front 5-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Track width (mm)</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Track width (mm)</td>
<td>Rear 8-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Transmission</td>
<td>Gear shift, 9 forward and 3 reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Min. turning radius (with brake) (m)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Traveling speed (km h⁻¹) Max.</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig.1 Overall view of small tractor operated rotoslasher developed in CATIA

Fig.2 Performance evaluation of small tractor operated rotoslasher in paddy stubbles

Fig.3 Performance evaluation of small tractor operated rotoslasher in cotton stubbles
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