

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.807.285>

Estimation of Different Uniformities and Distribution Characteristic of Automated and Conventional Drip Irrigation Systems for Okra Crop under Field Condition

Vikas Sharma^{1*}, Yadvendra Pal Singh¹, Manpreet Kaur² and V.D. Paradkar¹

¹Department of Soil and Water Engineering, ²Department of Textiles and Apparel Designing, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan, 313001, India

**Corresponding author*

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Micro controller, Timer, Automated drip irrigation, Emission uniformity, Hydraulic performance, Sensor

Article Info

Accepted:

17 June 2019

Available Online:

10 July 2019

The study was conducted at Technology Park (Plasticulture Farm) of CTAE, Udaipur, Rajasthan to evaluate performance of automated and conventional drip system for okra crop during year 2019. The Uniformity Coefficient (CU), Distribution Characteristic (DC) and Emission Uniformity (EU) were found to be highest at the head and decreases with increase in distance along the lateral for both irrigation systems. It was found that the average values of all evaluation parameters except DC, were found to be highest for automated drip system. The values of EU were more than to design criteria of 90 % in each condition Keller and Karmeli (1974) for installed drip irrigation systems, which indicate that both drip systems operated excellently.

Introduction

The population of India is increasing rapidly day by day so the pressure on agriculture to fulfill the food demand is also increasing in the same way. Consequently, the ever increasing demand for irrigation water and its cost, leading to emphasize on the development and field evaluation of very efficient methods of irrigation that maximize the water use efficiency. Automated drip irrigation is a most

efficient method of applying irrigation water to plants automatically as per need.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Plasticulture Farm, CTAE campus, MPUAT Udaipur, which is situated at 24° 35'31.5" North latitude and 73°44'18.2" East longitude at an altitude of 582.17 meters above mean sea level. The soil type was sandy loam. The

maximum temperature goes as high as 46 °C during summer and minimum as low as 5 °C during winter months.

Conventional and automated drip irrigation setup details

The experimental systems consists of irrigation pump, Sand and Screen filters, Control valves, Ventury, Bypass valve, Gate valve, End cap, Jointer, Pressure gauges. A PVC pipe of 40 mm diameter having pressure rating up to 4 kg/cm² was used as mainline for conventional drip irrigation. In automated drip irrigation system micro controller, stator relay, automated bypass valve, and solenoid valve were used as extra accessories with conventional drip system. The 16 mm diameter pipes having inline drippers of 30 cm spacing and 4 lph discharge was used as lateral for both systems.

Criteria for evaluation

In this study fifteen drip lines for automated drip system and four drip lines for conventional drip system were selected from head, middle and tail end on the submain. The average emission rate of three emitters was collected in the catch can for 10 minutes duration at the head, middle and tail end on each selected lateral separately. The constant operating pressure of 1.0 kg/cm² was maintained during the period of application.

Performance evaluation parameters

Uniformity Coefficient (CU)

Uniformity coefficient was calculated as suggested by Wu and Gitlin (1974) and Christiansens (1942).

$$\text{Uniformity coefficient (\%)} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{D}{M}\right)$$

Where,

D = Average absolute deviation from the mean discharge rate.

M = mean discharge rate.

Emission Uniformity (EU)

The following equation as suggested by (Keller and Karmeli, 1974) was used to calculate emission uniformity.

$$EU = \left(\frac{Q_n}{Q_a}\right) \times 100$$

Where,

EU = emission uniformity, per cent

Q_n = average of the lowest 1/4 of the emission point discharges for field data, lph

Q_a = average emission point discharge of test sample operated at the reference pressure head, lph.

Distribution Characteristic (DC)

$$DC = \frac{\text{Area receiving irrigation depth more than average depth}}{\text{Total wetted area}}$$

Results and Discussion

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that the values of uniformity coefficient, and emission uniformity were highest at the head and decreases with increase in distance along the lateral for both irrigation systems. This trend was possibly occurs due to head loss along the lateral. The maximum values of CU were 97.5% and 94.3% at head under automated and conventional drip irrigation, respectively. The CU value was found to be more than 90 % for both systems, which shows excellent performance. Similar, results was also reported by Changade *et al.*, (2009). The average values of EU were 94.1% and 93.6%

for automated and conventional drip irrigation, respectively. The average value of EU was more than 90%, which results excellent performance of both systems.

Table.1 Evaluation parameter of automated and conventional drip irrigation system

Evaluation parameter	Type of drip system	Head of lateral (%)	Mid of lateral (%)	Tail end of lateral (%)
Uniformity Coefficient (CU)	Automated	97.5	96.6	95.5
	Conventional	94.3	94.5	94.1
Distribution Characteristic (DC)	Automated	76.1	71.2	70.7
	Conventional	75.7	75.7	74
Emission Uniformity (EU)	Automated	95.4	93.9	93.1
	Conventional	93.7	93.6	93.2

Plate.1 Experimental view of research field during evaluation of systems



The values of all evaluation parameters were found to be maximum at the head and decreases with increase in distance along the lateral for both irrigation systems. It was also found that the average values of all performance evaluation parameters except DC were found to be highest in automated drip irrigation system as compared to conventional drip irrigation system.

The value of emission uniformity of both systems was more than 90%, which results excellent hydraulic performance of systems.

References

Arya, C.K. Purohit, R.C. Dashora, L.K. Singh, P.K and Kothari, M. (2017). Performance evaluation of drip

- irrigation systems. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(4): 2287-2292.
- Changade, N.M. Chavan, M.C. Jadhav, S.B and Bhagyawant, R.G. (2009). Determination of emission uniformity of emitter in gravity fed drip irrigation system. *Int. J. Agri. Engi.*, 2(1): 88-91.
- Christiansens, J.E. (1942). Irrigation by sprinkling. California Agricultural Experiment Station. Bulletin No. 670. Berkeley.
- Keller, J and Karmeli, D. (1974). Trickle irrigation design parameters. *Transaction of the American Society of Agri. Engi.*, 17(4): 678—684.
- Kyada, P and Munjapara, B.J. (2013) Study on pressure-discharge relationship and wetting pattern under drip irrigation system. *Int. J. Sci. Nat.*, 4: 274 – 283.
- Wu, I.P. and Gitlin, H.M. 1974. Drip irrigation design based on uniformity. *Transaction of American Society of Civ. Engi.*, 17: 429-432

How to cite this article:

Vikas Sharma, Yadendra Pal Singh, Manpreet Kaur and Paradkar, V.D. 2019. Estimation of Different Uniformities and Distribution Characteristic of Automated and Conventional Drip Irrigation Systems for Okra Crop under Field Condition. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(07): 2330-2333. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.807.285>