

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 8 Number 07 (2019) Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



## **Original Research Article**

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.807.122

# Effect of Different Storage Conditions and Duration on Physico Chemical Characteristics of Chevon

S. Karthika<sup>1\*</sup>, V. Chandirasekaran<sup>2</sup>, S. Karthikeyan<sup>1</sup> and S. Sureshkumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, Puddukottai, India <sup>2</sup>Instructional Livestock Farm Complex, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkkal-637 002, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Livestock Products Technology (Meat Science), Veterinary College and Research Institute, Orathunadu, India

\*Corresponding author

# ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Chevon, Shear force value, Tenderness and Temperature

Article Info

*Accepted:* 10 June 2019 *Available Online:* 10 July 2019

The properties of fresh goat meat in relation with post-mortem handling conditions were evaluated. There are various factors which affect the freshness of the meat the most important factors are storage temperature, time of exposure of meat to extrinsic factors and handling of carcass during and after slaughter. Hence a study was conducted to compare the physico chemical characteristics of chevon carcass hanged at room temperature for 6 hours and at chiller temperature for 30 hours. Twenty four numbers of 6 months old tellicherry young male goats were selected and they were slaughtered by the standard procedure. The carcass was vertically split into two halves and one half was exposed to room temperature and another half to chiller temperature. On hourly interval the samples were collected and physico chemical parameters like pH, water holding capacity and shear force value was analysed. Under both temperature treatments, as the storage hour increased, pH value, water holding capacity decreased significantly but the shear force value increased significantly (p  $\leq 0.05$ ) up to 5th hour in ambient temperature and up to 9th hour in chiller temperature then shear force value start decreasing. Between treatments, except 0th hour, all the hour showed highly significant (p<0.01) difference between storage treatments up to 6 hours of comparison. The pH decline was faster in chevon stored under ambient temperature than under chiller temperature. Higher water holding capacity was also found in chiller temperature storage. The shear force value was higher in ambient temperature. It was concluded that keeping the carcass in the chilling conditions prolongs the shelf life and increase the tenderness of meat.

## Introduction

In India, the total meat production is about 7.29 million tones and of which chevon and lamb meat production contributes about 0.95 million tones (DAHD, 2017). India is the

second largest goat meat (chevon) producer after China. Goat meat has been recognized as lean meat with positive dietary values and it is one of the main sources of red meat in human diets. Chiller storage is routinely used to preserve meat over extended periods (Mendiratta et al., 2012). The long-term chiller storage improves meat quality, tenderness and reduce the microbial status of meat. In general, the meat quality was influenced by several ante and post mortem factors. The ante mortem factors comprises animal species, sex, age, muscle groups, gene regulation and nutritional status (Rubio et al., 2013), several post-slaughter factors such as temperature, pH, storage time etc. (Nuss and Wolfe, 1980) play an important role in quality of meat. A high degree of tenderness is associated with holding temperatures around  $10 \pm 15$  while temperatures below and above this range induce cold and heat shortening respectively. The hot carcasses are held at ambient temperature  $(26 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$  for a few hours in India during marketing. Information regarding the textural characteristics of goat meat as influenced by different temperatures of muscles is scanty in the literature. The current investigation compares the tenderness of tellicherry male goat held at ambient temperature  $(26 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$  upto 6 h postmortem and holding at chill temperature  $(4 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$  for 30 h.

This study was aimed to compare physico chemical characteristics of goat carcasses kept at room temperature for 6 hours and chiller temperature for 30 hours.

## Materials and Methods

The total of 24 numbers of 6 months old young tellicherry male goats were selected from Instructional Livestock Farm Complex, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal. The animals were allowed for overnight fasting and in the morning the animals were transported to the Department of Livestock Products Technology (Meat Science), Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal for slaughter. The animals were slaughtered by 'Halal' method. The flaying and evisceration was performed by adopting the standard hygienic procedure. Each carcass was vertically split into two half and exposed to two different post mortem conditions with one half of the carcass hanged at room temperature (T1) for 6 hours and another half of the carcass hanged inside the chiller at chiller temperature  $(4 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$  for 30 hours (T2).

## Physico chemical characteristics of meat

The physico chemical characteristics of meat were analyzed hourly for 6 hours in both the halves hanged at room temperature and chiller temperature.

## **Carcass temperature**

The carcass temperature was measured using the digital datalog thermometer by inserting the thermometer probe into the *Biceps femoris* muscle of both the halves of carcass. Simultaneously, the chiller temperature and the room temperature were also monitored.

# pН

The pH of thigh muscles samples was determined by AOAC (1995) method. Five g of meat sample was homogenized with 45 ml of distilled water for one minute. The pH of the meat was recorded by immersing combined glass electrode and temperature probe of the digital pH meter (Model 361, Systronics, India) directly into meat suspension.

## Water holding capacity (per cent)

The WHC of the *Longissmus dorsi* muscle was estimated by measuring the amount of water released from muscle protein by the application of force. It measures the ability of muscle protein to retain water in excess and under the influence of external force. The WHC of *Longissmus dorsi* muscles was determined with a modified version of the method reported by Grau and Hamm (1953). Around 300 mg of meat sample was placed on a filter paper arranged between two glass slides. On the top of the upper glass slide 100g weight was placed for 3 minutes. The released water from the meat sample was absorbed in the filter paper and leaves an impression. With the sharp pencil the boundary of the impression was clearly demarcated. The area of two resulted impression left on each half of the filter paper on account of oozing of fluid by application force (outer circle) and the area of the meat (inner circle) were measured by using graph and the percentage was calculated using the formula.

decreased significantly from 2<sup>nd</sup> hour. The

least-square mean ( $\pm$  S.E) of pH values of chevon kept under chiller temperature for 0

hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup>

hour, 6<sup>th</sup> hour, 9<sup>th</sup> hour, 12<sup>th</sup> hour, 15<sup>th</sup> hour,

 $24^{\text{th}}$  hour and  $30^{\text{th}}$  hour (Table 2) were 6.46 ±

 $0.02, 6.41 \pm 0.03, 6.32 \pm 0.03, 6.27 \pm 0.03,$ 

 $6.24 \pm 0.03, 6.16 \pm 0.04, 6.08 \pm 0.04, 6.01 \pm 0.03, 5.91 \pm 0.03, 5.83 \pm 0.04, 5.79 \pm 0.03$  and

 $5.60\pm0.03$ , respectively. As the storage hours

increased the pH values were decreased

Area of inner circle (area of meat)

Per cent WHC = \_\_\_\_\_\_ X 100

Area of outer circle (impression by oozing of fluid from meat)

#### Shear force value (kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Shear force is the measure of tenderness of cooked meat by Warner-Bratzler meat shearer (G.R. electrical manufacturing company). A portion of Longissmus dorsi muscle from the carcass was cut into uniform 1 cm diameter core to estimate the tenderness value . The cores were cooked to internal temperature of 80°C for one minute and subsequently cooled on ice immediately to arrest the further cooking. From each muscle sample, two cores were obtained and three readings were recorded on each core. The average of these readings was considered as the SFV and the pressure exerted to shear the core as expressed in  $Kg/cm^2$ .

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### pН

The least-square mean ( $\pm$  S.E) of pH values of chevon kept under ambient temperature for 0 hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup> hour and 6<sup>th</sup> hour (Table 1) were 6.39  $\pm$  0.03, 6.32  $\pm$  0.02, 6.24  $\pm$  0.02, 6.13  $\pm$  0.03, 6.04  $\pm$  0.03, 5.87  $\pm$  0.05 and 5.69  $\pm$  0.03, respectively. A highly significant (p < 0.01) difference was noticed between storage hours. As the storage hour increased, pH value

significantly (p < 0.01) between hours. Between treatments, except 0<sup>th</sup> hour, all the hour showed highly significant (p < 0.01) difference between storage treatments up to 6 hours of comparison. The pH decline was faster in chevon stored under ambient temperature than under chiller temperature. The pH decline was much faster in chevon stored at ambient temperature. Similar to our result, Hur *et al.*, (2009) reported that in bovine meat the hanging temperature (surrounding temperature) of the carcasss influenced the pH, at higher temperature (35°C) the pH decline was faster than at chiller

temperature (4 °C). On agreement with this White *et al.*, (2006) stated that the muscle incubated at 15 °C had reached the lower pH values very faster than those incubated at 5° C from 1 to 48 hour postmortem. Similar to those Jones et al., (1993) reported that increased the rate of chilling lead to a more rapid temperature decline in carcasses but slower pH decline. Rathina Raj et al., (2000) also reported that delayed chilling (hanging at room temperature for 6 hours then chilled at 2°C) of muscle resulted in faster fall in pH than the direct chilling of muscle. The decreased in pH value of meat was due to formation of lactic acid as a result of anaerobic glycolysis due to long storage periods (Savell et al., 2005). Such a faster fall in pH associated with muscles held at higher temperature was also observed earlier in sheep muscles (Mahendrakar et al., 1988; Mendiratta et al., 2008) and in buffalo muscles (Ziauddin et al., 1994). The pH value and water holding capacity of meat was positively correlated when the pH was low there will be lower water holding capacity (Enfalt et al., 1997). Purslow et al., (2008) reported that only pH measures at 1 and 2 h post-mortem were related to variations in both the water-holding capacity of the raw meat.

## Water holding capacity (per cent)

The water holding capacity is defined as the ability of meat to retain its water upon application of external forces (Hedrick et al., 1994). Water holding capacity is a primary indicator of the degree of juiciness of meat. The least-square mean  $(\pm S.E)$  of water holding capacity of chevon kept under ambient temperature for 0 hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup> hour and 6<sup>th</sup> hour (Table 1) was 69.16±0.87, 65.02±0.75, 60.36  $\pm$  0.59, 54.57  $\pm$  0.58, 52.73  $\pm$  0.70,  $49.86 \pm 0.86$  and  $46.00 \pm 0.69$ , respectively. There was a highly significant (p < 0.01)difference between storage hours. As the storage hour increased, water holding capacity decreased significantly. The leastsquare mean  $(\pm S.E)$  of water holding capacity of chevon kept under chiller temperature for 0 hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup>

hour, 6<sup>th</sup> hour, 9<sup>th</sup> hour, 12<sup>th</sup> hour, 15<sup>th</sup> hour,  $24^{\text{th}}$  hour and  $30^{\text{th}}$  hour (Table 2) was 69.45 ± 1.10, 66.85  $\pm$  1.16, 64.01  $\pm$  1.04, 63.01  $\pm$  $1.08, \ 60.11 \ \pm \ 1.20, \ 57.79 \ \pm \ 1.05, \ 56.19 \ \pm$  $0.95, 55.29 \pm 1.23, 54.01 \pm 0.85, 52.43 \pm$ 0.83,  $50.83 \pm 0.78$  and  $48.78 \pm 0.67$ , respectively. As the storage hours increased the water holding capacity decreased significantly (p < 0.01) between hours. Between 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, highly significant decrease in WHC was noticed but no significant decrease was noticed from 1 hour to 3 hour after that significant (p < 0.05) decrease was noticed in water holding capacity of meat. Between treatments, except in 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, all the hour showed highly significant (p < 0.01) difference between storage treatments up to 6 hours of comparison. Higher water holding capacity was found in chiller temperature storage.

As the storage hours increased in both the treatments, the water holding capacity was also decreased significantly. Between 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, higher significant decreased in WHC while, after 3 hour significant decrease was noticed in water holding capacity of meat. Between treatments, except in 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, all the hour showed highly significant (p < 0.01) difference up to 6 hours of comparison. The water holding capacity decrease was faster in chevon stored at ambient temperature than in chiller temperature. Similar to these Rathina Raj et al., (2000) reported that in buffalo initial (2 h postmortem) values of WHC were 71.5 to 79.6 per cent for loin muscles and gradually decreased to 68.2 to 69.3 per cent during chilling. The post-slaughter storage at ambient temperature caused significantly faster decline in water holding capacity in comparison to post-slaughter storage at refrigerated temperature. Geesink et al., (2000) reported that when the pre-rigor lamb muscle was incubated at 15° C, it showed slower decrease in water holding capacity

than muscle incubated at temperature above

25° C. Hamm (1960) showed that. immediately after slaughter, beef had a very high WHC, which decreased rapidly and reached a minimum in 24 to 48 hours of slaughter. This declined trend in water holding capacity was correlated with decrease in pH (Savell et al., 2005) and rigor state (Hannula and Poulanne, 2004). The difference in water holding capacity in pre-rigor and rigor stage could be due to difference in swelling of myofibrils (Kovacs, 1996) and denaturation of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar proteins (Scheffler and Gerrard, 2007).

#### Shear force value

Shear force values is important in predicting the tenderness of the meat. The least-square mean ( $\pm$  S.E) of shear force values of chevon kept under ambient temperature for 0 hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup> hour and 6<sup>th</sup> hour (Table 1) were 3.28  $\pm$  0.15, 3.62  $\pm$ 0.15, 4.88  $\pm$  0.20, 5.60  $\pm$  0.22, 6.21  $\pm$  0.27, 7.07  $\pm$  0.34 and 5.65  $\pm$  0.31, respectively. Highly significant (p < 0.01) difference was noticed between storage hours. As the storage hour increased, shear force value increased significantly (p  $\leq$  0.05) up to 5<sup>th</sup> hour then shear force value start decreasing.

The least-square mean ( $\pm$  S.E) of shear force values of chevon kept under chiller temperature for 0 hour, 1<sup>st</sup> hour, 2<sup>nd</sup> hour, 3<sup>rd</sup> hour, 4<sup>th</sup> hour, 5<sup>th</sup> hour, 6<sup>th</sup> hour, 9<sup>th</sup> hour, 12<sup>th</sup> hour, 15<sup>th</sup> hour, 24<sup>th</sup> hour and 30<sup>th</sup> hour (Table 2) were 3.24  $\pm$  0.15, 3.56  $\pm$  0.21, 3.98  $\pm$  0.23, 4.58 $\pm$ 0.25, 5.07  $\pm$  0.23, 5.55  $\pm$  0.19, 6.19  $\pm$ 0.18, 7.20  $\pm$  0.11, 6.94  $\pm$  0.07, 6.57  $\pm$  0.09, 5.99  $\pm$  0.09 and 5.40  $\pm$  0.13, respectively. As the storage hours increased, the shear force value increased significantly (p < 0.01) up to 9<sup>th</sup> hour then it decreased significantly. Between treatments, except in 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, all hours showed significant (p < 0.05) difference between storage treatments up to 6 hours of comparison. Except in  $6^{th}$  hour, the shear force value was higher in T1.

Under ambient temperature, there was a highly significant (p < 0.01) difference between storage hours. As the storage hour increased, shear force value increased significantly up to 5<sup>th</sup> hour of storage then shear force value decreased. Under chiller temperature, as the storage hours increased, the shear force value increased significantly up to 9<sup>th</sup> hour then it started to decrease significantly. Between treatments, except in 0<sup>th</sup> hour and 1<sup>st</sup> hour, all hours showed significant (p < 0.05) difference between storage treatments up to 6 hours of comparison. Except in 6<sup>th</sup> hour, the shear force value was high in T 1. In room temperature, the toughness is due to the higher rigor temperature (38°C) because of earlier activation of µ- calpine. Initially the toughness occurs because of sarcomere length shortening later the tenderness was depend upon the start of proteolysis which is also indicated by tyrosine value of meat. Similar to our result, Hwang and Thompson (2001) reported a gradual increase in Warner-Bratzler shear force value as a result of the low temperature treatment. Starkey et al., (2015) reported that in lamb Longissmus dorsi muscle ageing had a significant effect on shear force value of meat stored at 3-48°C for 14 days. The shear force value were higher (tougher- 21.7 to 57.6 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) on day 1 and lowest (more tender- 16.3 to  $37.4 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ ) on day 14. Abdullah and Oudsieh (2009) found that ageing of Awassi ram lamb meat for 7 days reduced the shear force from 28.3  $kg/cm^2$  in day 1 to 20.7  $kg/cm^2$  in day 7, a reduction of 26%. Dunn et al., (2000) found a strong negative correlation between shear force and sarcomere length in chicken breast meat, the sarcomere shortening was a major contributor to toughness when the carcasses were chilled at -12 and  $0^{\circ}$ C.

Parameters	Treatments	Storage time (hours)								
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6		
pН	T1	$6.39^{aA} \pm 0.03$	$6.32^{bAB} \pm 0.02$	$6.24^{bB} \pm 0.02$	$6.13^{bC} \pm 0.03$	$6.04^{bD} \pm 0.03$	$5.87^{bE} \pm 0.05$	$5.69^{bF} \pm 0.03$		
	T2	$6.46^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$6.41^{aAB} \pm 0.03$	$6.32^{aBC} \pm 0.03$	$6.27^{aC} \pm 0.03$	$6.24^{aCD} \pm 0.03$	$6.16^{aDE} \pm 0.04$	$6.08^{aE} \pm 0.04$		
Carcass	T1	$32.47^{aA} \pm 0.37$	$27.70^{\mathrm{aB}} \pm 0.44$	$26.15^{\mathrm{aC}} \pm 0.53$	$24.64^{aD} \pm 0.27$	$24.00^{aDE} \pm 0.27$	$23.30^{aEF} \pm 0.38$	$22.64^{a} \pm 0.57$		
temperature (°C)	T2	$32.05^{aA} \pm 0.76$	$20.56^{bB} \pm 1.15$	$12.99^{bC} \pm 1.16$	$6.57^{bD} \pm 0.69$	$4.11^{bE} \pm 0.28$	$2.83^{\text{bEF}} \pm 0.25$	$2.10^{bF} \pm 0.23$		
Water holding	T1	$69.16^{aA} \pm 0.87$	$65.02^{aB} \pm 0.75$	$60.36^{bC} \pm 0.59$	$54.57^{bD} \pm 0.58$	$52.73^{bD} \pm 0.70$	$49.86^{bE} \pm 0.86$	$46.00^{bF} \pm 0.69$		
capacity (per	T2	$69.45^{aA} \pm 1.10$	$66.85^{aB} \pm 1.16$	$64.01^{aB} \pm 1.04$	$63.01^{aB} \pm 1.08$	$60.11^{aC} \pm 1.20$	$57.79^{aCD} \pm 1.06$	$56.19^{aE} \pm 0.96$		
cent)										
Shear force value	T1	$3.28^{aE} \pm 0.15$	$3.62^{aE} \pm 0.15$	$4.88^{aD} \pm 0.20$	$5.60^{aC} \pm 0.22$	$6.21^{aB} \pm 0.27$	$7.07^{aA} \pm 0.34$	$5.65^{bC} \pm 0.31$		
$(kg/cm^2)$	T2	$3.24^{aA} \pm 0.16$	$3.56^{aB} \pm 0.22$	$3.98^{\text{bBC}} \pm 0.24$	$4.58^{\mathrm{bBCD}} \pm 0.25$	$5.07^{bCDE} \pm 0.23$	$5.55^{bDE} \pm 0.19$	$6.19^{aF} \pm 0.18$		

Table.1 Mean (±S.E) values of physico chemical parameters of chevon kept under different storage conditions and duration

T1 – Room temperature storage, T2 – Chiller temperature. <sup>ab</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a column differ significantly (P<0.05) for treatments, <sup>A-F</sup>Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly (P<0.05) for storage hours.

n=24 for each treatment

<b>Table 2</b> Mean $(\pm S.E)$	values of physico	chemical parameters	of chevon kept under	chiller temperature $(4 \pm 1 ^{\circ} C)$
---------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------------------	--

Parameters	Storage time (hours)											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	12	15	24	30
pН	6.46 <sup>A</sup> ±	6.41 <sup>AB</sup> ±	$6.32^{\mathrm{BC}} \pm$	$6.27^{C} \pm$	$6.24^{\text{CD}} \pm$	6.16 <sup>DE</sup> ±	$6.08^{\mathrm{EF}} \pm$	$6.01^{\text{F}} \pm$	5.91 <sup>G</sup> ±	$5.83^{GH} \pm$	$5.79^{H}\pm$	$5.60^{I}\pm$
	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03
Carcass	$32.05^{A} \pm$	$20.56^{B} \pm$	12.99 <sup>C</sup> ±	$6.57^{\mathrm{D}}\pm$	$4.11^{E} \pm$	$2.83^{\mathrm{EF}}\pm$	$2.10^{\text{FG}} \pm$	$1.63^{FG} \pm$	$1.28^{\text{FG}} \pm$	$1.10^{\text{FG}} \pm$	$1.32^{\text{FG}} \pm$	$0.82^{G}\pm$
temperature(°C)	0.76	1.15	1.16	0.69	0.28	0.25	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.56	0.19
Water holding	69.45 <sup>A</sup> ±	$66.85^{B} \pm$	64.01 <sup>B</sup> ±	63.01 <sup>B</sup> ±	$60.11^{\circ}\pm$	$57.79^{CD} \pm$	56.19 <sup>DE</sup> ±	$55.29^{\text{DEF}} \pm$	$54.01^{\text{EF}} \pm$	$52.43^{FG} \pm$	50.83 <sup>GH</sup> ±	$48.76^{G} \pm$
capacity (per	1.10	1.16	1.04	1.08	1.20	1.05	0.95	1.23	0.85	0.83	0.78	0.67
cent)												
Shear force	$3.24^{A} \pm$	$3.56^{B} \pm$	$3.98^{BC} \pm$	$4.58^{BCD} \pm$	$5.07^{\text{CDE}} \pm$	$5.55^{\text{DEF}} \pm$	$6.19^{\text{EF}} \pm$	$7.20^{\text{FG}} \pm$	6.94 <sup>GH</sup> ±	$6.57^{HI} \pm$	$5.99^{1}\pm$	$5.40^{1}\pm$
value (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.15	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.13
Means bearing different superscripts in a row differs significantly (P<0.01).												

Greater shear values for directly chilled muscles are explained as due to cold shortening in bovine muscles (Bouton *et al.*, 1975) and in sheep muscles (Mahendrakar *et al.*, 1990). Delayed chilled muscles were markedly tender as indicated by  $10.3 \pm 33.6$  per cent.

The storage temperature influenced meat quality. Exposure of meat to ambient temperature for 6 hours resulted in faster pH decline, significant tenderization effect and protein denaturation started after 5 hours of slaughter. While 30 hours of exposure of carcass to chiller temperature resulted in slower pH decline and slower protein denaturation and tenderization started after 12 hours of slaughter. Hence, it could be concluded that the initial increase and later decrease in shear force value indicate the increase in tenderness indicated conversion of muscle to meat during storage. It could also be concluded that the exposure of goat carcass to ambient temperature up to 6 hours and chiller temperature up to 30 hours influence physico-chemical the of the meat significantly.

## References

- Abdullah, Y.A., and R.I. Qudsieh, 2009. Effect of slaughter weight and ageing time on the quality of meat from Awassi ram lambs. *Meat Science*, 82: 309-316.
- AOAC, 1995. Official methods of analysis 16<sup>th</sup> edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, International, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Bouton, P.E., P. V. Harris and W.R. Shorthose, 1975. Changes in shear parameters of meat associated with structural change produced by aging, cooking and myofibrillar contraction. *Journal of Food Science*, 40: 1122.
- DAHD, 2017. Annual production of Livestock products in India. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Government of India, New Delhi. pp. 166

-167.

- Dunn, A.A., E.L.C. Tolland, D.J. Kilpatrick and N.F.S. Gault, 2000.Relationship between early post-mortem muscle pH and shortening-induced toughness in the Pectoralis major muscle of processed broilers air-chilled at 0°C and 12 °C. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 41: 53-60.
- Enfalt, A.C., K. Lundstrom, N. L. Hansson and P. E. Nystrom, 1997. Effect of outdoor rearing and sire breed (Duroc or Yorkshire) on carcass composition and sensory and technological meat quality. *Meat science*, 45: 1
- Geesink, G.H., A.D. Bekhit and R. Bickerstaffe, 2000. Rigor temperature and meat quality characteristics of lamb longissimus muscle. *Journal of Animal Sciences*, 78: 2842-2848.
- Grau, R., and R. Hamm, 1953. A simple method for the determination of water binding in muscles. *Naturwissenschaften*, 40(1): 29
- Hamm, R., 1960. Biochemistry of meat hydration. In C. O. Chichester, E. M. Mrak, and G.
  F. Stewart (Eds.): *Advances in Food Research*. N 10 (355–463) New York: Academic Press.
- Hannula, T., and E. Puolanne,2004.The effect of the cooling rate on beef tenderness the significance of pH at 7<sup>o</sup>C.*Meat Science*,67: 403-408.
- Hur, S.J., G.B. Park and S.T. Joo, 2009.Effect of storage temperature on meat quality of muscle with different fiber type composition from Korean native cattle (Hanwoo). *Journal of Food Quality*, 32: 315.
- Hwang, I.H and Thompson, J.M., 2001a. The effect of time and type of electrical stimulation on calpain system and meat tenderness in beef *longissimus dorsi* muscle. *Meat Science*, 58: 135–144.
- Jones, S.D.M., L.E. Jeremiah and W.M. Robertson, 1993. The effects of spray and blast chilling on carcass shrinkage and pork muscle quality. *Meat Science*, 34: 351.
- Kovacs, M.V., 1996. Effect of pre-rigor stretch and various constant temperatures on the rate of post-mortem pH fall, rigor mortis and some quality traits of excised porcine

biceps femoris muscle strips. *Meat Science*, 42: 49–66.

- Mahendrakar, N.S., N.P. Dani, B.S. Ramesh and B.L. Amla, 1988. Effect of postmortem conditioning treatments to sheep carcasses on some biophysical characteristics of muscles. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 25: 340-344.
- Mahendrakar, N.S., N.P. Dani, B.S. Ramesh and B.L. Amla, 1990. Effect of postmortem conditioning of ewe carcasses on instrumental texture profile of cooked thigh muscles. *Meat Science*, 28:195-202.
- Mendiratta, S.K., B.D. Sharma, M. Majhi and R.R. Kumar, 2012. Effect of post-mortem handling conditions on the quality of spent hen meat curry. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 49(2): 246-251.
- Mendiratta, S.K., N. Kondaiah, A.S.R. Anjaneyulu and B.D. Sharma, 2008. Comparisons of handling practices of culled sheep meat for production of mutton curry. *Asian- Australian Journal* of Animal Science, 21: 738-744.
- Nuss, J. I., and F.H. Wolfe, 1980. Effect of postmortem storage temperature on isometric tension, pH, ATP, glycogen and glucose-6- phosphate for selected bovine muscles. *Meat Science*, 5: 201-213.
- Purslow, P.P., I.B. Mandell, T.M. Widowski, J. Brown, C.F.M. deLange, J.A.B. Robinson, E.J. Squires, M.C. Cha, and G. Vander Voort, 2008. Modelling quality variations in commercial Ontario pork production. *Meat Science*, 80(1): 123– 131.

Rathina Raj, K., R. Jagannatha Rao, D.

NarasimhaRao and N.S. Mahendrakar, 2000. Influence of direct and delayed chilling of excised female buffalo muscles on their textural quality. *Meat science*, 56(1): 95-99.

- Rubio, B., B. Martínez, C. Vieiraand, A.M. Fernandez, 2013. Effect of different postmortem temperatures on carcass quality of suckling lamb. *Food Science and Technology International*, 19: 351 -356.
- Savell, J.W., S.L. Mueller and B.E. Baird, 2005.The chilling of carcasses-A review. *Meat Science*, 70: 449-459.
- Scheffler, T.L. and D. E. Garrard, 2007. Mechanism controlling pork quality development: the biochemistry controlling post mortem energy metabolism. *Meat Science*,77: 7 – 16.
- Starkey, C. P., G.H. Geesink, V.H. Oddy and D.L. Hopkins, 2015. Explaining the variation in lamb longissimus shear force across and within ageing periods using protein degradation, sarcomere length and collagen characteristics. *Meat Science*, 105: 32–37.
- White, A., A. O'Sullivan, D.J. Troy and E.E. O'Neill, 2006. Effects of electrical stimulation, chilling temperature and hotboning on the tenderness of bovine muscles. *Meat Science*, 73(2): 196–203.
- Ziauddin, K.S., N.S. Mahendrakar, D.N. Rao, B.S. Ramesh and B.L. Amla, 1994. Observation on some chemical and physical characteristics of buffalo meat. *Meat Science*, 37: 103–113.

#### How to cite this article:

Karthika, S., V. Chandirasekaran, S. Karthikeyan and Sureshkumar, S. 2019. Effect of Different Storage Conditions and Duration on Physico Chemical Characteristics of Chevon. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(07): 1021-1028. doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.807.122