

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.806.117>

Yield and Quality of Ginger as Influenced by Biofertilizers, Organic and Inorganic Manures

G. Chandrashekhar^{1*} and J.K. Hore²

Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Faculty of Horticulture,
Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal, 741 252, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The investigation on organic ginger (var. gorubathan) was carried out to study the effect of organic, inorganic manure and biofertilizers on ginger with reference to rhizome yield and its attributes. The study revealed that, ginger showed better response to the application of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizer. An experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Mondouri, BCKV, West Bengal to identify the suitable bio-organic combination for production of with three types of biofertilizers namely nitrogenous biofertilizer (*Azotobacter chroococcum*), phosphate solubilizing Bacteria (*Bacillus polymixa*) and potassic mobilizer (*Fraturia aurantea*) with combination of inorganic fertilizer doses (B1= NPK (100%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilize B2= NPK (75%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer B3= NPK (50%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer and B4= Recommended NPK (100%) and two levels of FYM i.e. 15 t and 30 t. Among different treatments, the sole effect farmyard manure, the maximum number of primary fingers (3.69), weight of primary finger (46.11 g), length of primary finger (3.88 cm), breadth of primary fingers (2.73 cm), number of secondary fingers (4.57), weight of secondary finger (167.0 g), length of secondary finger (9.32 cm), breadth of primary fingers (6.80 cm), yield (24.80 t ha⁻¹) and the higher oleoresin (6.97%) content was recorded with 30 t ha⁻¹, in case of inorganic and biofertilizer, maximum number of primary fingers (3.84), weight of primary fingers (45.67g), length of primary fingers (4.36 cm), length of secondary finger (9.09 cm), breadth of secondary finger (6.61 cm), yield (26.43t ha⁻¹) and oleoresin (6.86) content was observed with combination of B₁ (NPK 100% + Azotobacter + PSB + K mobilizer).

Keywords

Biofertilizers,
Ginger, Growth,
Inorganic fertilizers,
Oleoresin, Organic
fertilizers and Yield

Article Info

Accepted:

10 May 2019

Available Online:

10 June 2019

Introduction

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) belongs to the family Zingiberaceae, has been prized for its aroma flavour, pungency and medicinal properties since ancient times. Among the major spices grown in the country, ginger

occupied an important place, as it is a valuable source of foreign exchange. Oleoresin and essential oil of ginger are its important value added products and export of these products is increasing year after year. The refreshing aroma and the pungent taste make ginger an essential ingredient of food

and also in food processing industries worldwide. Ginger is a long growing crop and needs a balanced supply of nutrients for higher fresh rhizome yield with a better quality, which can be supplied by organic sources. Inadequate or imbalanced nutrient supply is one of the major constraints in harvesting higher fresh rhizome yields. Large scale use of chemical fertilizers has resulted in deterioration of soil health in terms of physical, chemical and biological parameters and is also associated with other problems like nutrient loss through leaching, volatilization and denitrification of nitrogen and fixation of phosphorus. Thus, there is an emergent need to utilize other source of plant nutrients like-organic manures and vermicompost, as they constitute dependable sources of plant nutrients. The judicious use of chemical, organic and biological sources of plant nutrients and their efficient management have shown promising results not only in sustaining productivity and soil health but also in meeting a part of the chemical fertilizer requirement of crop. Biofertilizers have now emerged as a promising component of nutrient supply (Ghosh *et al.*, 2001; Singh 2002). The role of different biofertilizers like *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* cultures in fixing atmospheric nitrogen has been well established by several workers (Chandrashekar, 2003; Indires *et al.*, 2003). Integrated plant nutrient system involving a combination of fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizers are essential to sustain crop production, preserve soil health and biodiversity.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Mandouri, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal during 2016 and 2017 with the variety Gorubathan and spacing is 25×20 cm. The treatment details were

followed as main plot applied two different doses of FYM (F₁=15 t ha⁻¹ and F₂=30 t ha⁻¹) and in sub-plot different Bio fertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers with different doses (B₁= NPK (100%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilize B₂= NPK (75%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer B₃= NPK (50%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer and B₄= Recommended NPK (100%). Biofertilizers were collected from Nodule Research Laboratory, BCKV, Mohanpur. In the experiment ginger was fertilised @ 200:75:100 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (Dey, 2011) and as per the treatment combination two levels of farmyard manure *i.e.* 15 t ha⁻¹ and 30 t ha⁻¹ were applied during land preparation. Three biofertilizers namely (*Azotobacter chroococcum*, phosphate solubilizing bacteria (*Bacillus polymixa*) and Potassic mobilizer (*Fraturia aurantia*) each @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ was applied. the fertilizers were also applied in three split but started 15 days after the application of biofertilizer and interval between the splits was same. Urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash were used as inorganic source of N, P and K respectively. Observations on different growth (at 180 days after planting) and yield attributing parameters per replication.

Results and Discussion

The experimental results (pooled data) presented in Table 1 revealed that the details of primary finger number, weight, length, breadth, secondary finger number, weight, length, breadth, project yield and oleoresin contents were recorded with various treatment combination. Among the sole effect of farmyard manure, the maximum number of primary fingers (3.69) was recorded in F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) recorded minimum number of primary finger (3.18). In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, maximum number (3.84) and minimum number (3.16) were associated with B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter*

+ PSB + K mobilizer) and B₄ (NPK 100%), the maximum weight of primary finger 46.11 g was recorded under F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and minimum (37.71 g) in F₁ (15t ha⁻¹). In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter* + PSB+ K mobilizer) recorded maximum weight (45.67g), B₄ (NPK 100%) recorded minimum weight (37.21g), in the sole effect of farmyard manure, the maximum (3.88 cm) and minimum (3.58) length of primary finger were noticed in plants treated with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) respectively.

In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, maximum length of 4.36 cm recorded under B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter*+ PSB+ K mobilizer) and minimum (3.14 cm) recorded under B₃ (NPK 50%+ *Azotobacter*+ PSB+ K mobilizer), the sole effect of farmyard manure, the maximum (2.73 cm) and minimum (2.44 cm) breadth of primary fingers were observed with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) respectively. In respect of inorganic and biofertilizer the maximum breadth of primary finger (2.76 cm) was associated with B₂ (NPK 75%+ *Azotobacter*+ PSB+ K mobilizer) and minimum breadth of 2.41cm under B₃ (NPK 50%+ *Azotobacter*+ PSB+ K mobilizer), the sole effect farmyard manure, the maximum number of secondary fingers (4.57) was recorded with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) recorded minimum number of 3.68.

In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, maximum number of secondary finger (4.54) and minimum number (3.77) were associated with B₂ (NPK 75% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer) and B₃ (NPK 50% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer), the maximum weight of secondary finger (167.0) g was recorded under F₂ (30 t ha⁻¹) and minimum (138.39 g) was observed in F₁ (15 t ha⁻¹). In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, B₂ (NPK 75% + *Azotobacter* + PSB+ K mobilizer) recorded

maximum weight (179.55 g) but B₃ (NPK 50% + *Azotobacter* + PSB+ K mobilizer) recorded minimum weight (115.62 g), the sole effect of FYM, the maximum (9.32 cm) and minimum (7.54 cm) length of secondary finger were noticed in plants treated with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) respectively. In case of inorganic and biofertilizer, maximum length of 9.09 cm was recorded under B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer) and minimum of 7.81cm was recorded under B₃ (NPK 50% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer), the sole effect of farmyard manure, the maximum (6.80 cm) and minimum (5.89 cm) breadth of primary fingers were observed with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) respectively.

In respect of inorganic and biofertilizer the maximum breadth of primary finger (6.61 cm) was associated with B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer) and minimum breadth of 6.18 cm under B₄ (NPK 100%). In case of sole effect of farmyard manure the maximum (24.80 t ha⁻¹) and minimum (21.62 t ha⁻¹) were associated with F₂ (30t ha⁻¹) and F₁ (15t ha⁻¹) respectively. The plants raised under inorganic and biofertilizer treatment, the maximum yield of 26.43t ha⁻¹ was observed under B₁ (NPK 100% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer) and B₃ (NPK 50% + *Azotobacter* + PSB + K mobilizer) recorded minimum yield (20.21 t ha⁻¹). These findings are in good conformity with the findings of Poinkare *et al.*, (2006) who also observed the better vegetative growth and yield with FYM + 100% RDF + *Azotobacter* + PSB in turmeric. Dashet *al.*, (2008) also recorded highest ginger yield with 100 % NPK (inorganic) along with *Azospirillum* and FYM with highest quality and least rhizome rot incidence. In respect of level of FYM, the yield and quality of ginger increased with the increase of dose from 15 t ha⁻¹ to 30 t ha⁻¹. The similar results also obtained by Jana *et al.*, (2017).

Table.1 Effect of biofertilizers, organic and inorganic manures on yield and quality of ginger

Treatment	Primary finger				Secondary finger				Projected yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Oleoresin percentage
	Number	Weight (g)	Length (cm)	Breadth (cm)	Number	Weight (g)	Length (cm)	Breadth (cm)		
Farm yard manure										
F₁(15t/ha)	3.18	37.71	3.58	2.44	3.68	138.39	7.54	5.89	21.62	6.16
F₂(30t/ha)	3.69	46.11	3.88	2.73	4.57	167.00	9.32	6.80	24.80	6.97
S.Em. (±)	0.004	0.043	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.253	0.009	0.005	0.020	0.024
C.D.(P=0.05)	0.016	0.168	0.011	0.008	0.018	0.993	0.036	0.018	0.079	0.071
Inorganic fertilizer and biofertilizer										
B₁	3.84	45.67	4.36	2.69	4.31	171.41	9.09	6.61	26.43	6.86
B₂	3.29	42.81	4.00	2.76	4.54	179.55	8.69	6.29	24.04	6.60
B₃	3.46	37.21	3.14	2.41	3.77	115.62	7.81	6.32	20.21	6.59
B₄	3.16	41.94	3.43	2.49	3.90	144.19	8.14	6.18	22.18	6.21
S.Em. (±)	0.026	0.328	0.031	0.020	0.031	1.176	0.065	0.048	0.176	0.059
C.D.(P=0.05)	0.075	0.958	0.091	0.058	0.090	3.433	0.190	0.139	0.513	0.212

Main Plot - F1 (15t/ha), F2 (30t/ha) Sub-plot - B1= NPK (100%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer, B2= NPK (75%) + Azotobacter + PSB + K Mobilizer, B3= NPK (50%) + Azotobacter+ PSB + K Mobilizer and B4= Recommended NPK (100%)

They observed that increased dose of FYM has increased the rhizome yield, dry recovery and curcumin content in rhizome. As per sole effect of FYM, the higher oleoresin (6.97%) content was recorded with 30 t ha⁻¹ as compared to FYM @ 15 t ha⁻¹ (6.16%) as per sole effect of inorganic fertilizer and biofertilizer, the oleoresin content decreased from 6.86 to 6.21 with B₁ to B₄. The results indicated that oleoresin content increased due to combined application bio inoculants along with 100 % NPK (inorganic). It also exhibited that oleoresin content decreased with the decreasing level of inorganic NPK *i.e.* 6.86 % to 6.59% with reduction of NPK from 100 % to 50 %. The biofertilizer treatments improved the rhizome quality by increasing the oleoresin content in rhizome. *Azotobacter*, apart from their ability to fix N, produce anti-fungal antibodies that inhibit the growth of several pathogenic fungi in the root region and hence improving root growth and crop nutrition that ultimately improves the quality of the product (Subba Rao, 2001).

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Dr. Jitesh Kumar Hore, Professor, Department of Plantation, Spices and Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya for their kind help and guidance.

References

- Chandrashekhar, B.S. (2003). Studies on mineral phosphate solubilizing fungi from vertisol of Northern Karnataka and other biofertilizer potential. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka.
- Dash, D. K., Mishra, N.C. and Sahoo, B. K. (2008). Influence of nitrogen, *Azospirillum sp.* and farm yard manure on the yield, rhizome rot and quality of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). *J. Spices Arom. Crops*, 17 (2): 177-79.
- Dey. R (2011). Intercropping ginger with spacing and fertilizer response in young arecanut plantation. Faculty of Horticulture. *M.Sc. Thesis*. BCKV.
- Ghosh, D.C., Das, A.K. and Mookherjee, S.(2001). Effect of biofertilizer and growth regulator on growth and productivity of wheat at different fertility levels. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.*, 26: 487-95.
- Indires, K.M., Sreekamulu, K.R and Pati, S.(2003). Response of potato to biofertilizers at graded level of chemical fertilizer. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.*, 30:79-80.
- Jana, J. C., Datta, S., Bhaisare, P. T. and Thapa, A. (2017). Effect of organic, inorganic source of nutrients and *Azospirillum* on yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbio. Appl. Sci.*, 6 (2): 966-70.
- Poinkar, M. S., Shembekar, R. Z., Chopde, N., Bhaladhare, N., Khewale, A. and Dong arkar, K. (2006). Effect of organic manure and bio fertilizers on growth and yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L). *J. Soil Crops*, 16(12): 417-20.
- Singh, K. (2002). Role of biofertilizers in increasing the efficiency of nitrogen to potato crop under Northeastern hilly condition. *Indian Potato Assoc.*, 2: 904-07.
- Subba Rao, N.S., An appraisal of biofertilizers in India, In: S. Kannaiyan (Ed.), *The Biotechnology of Biofertilizers*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2001: 45-105.

How to cite this article:

Chandrashekhar, G. and Hore, J.K. 2019. Yield and Quality of Ginger as Influenced by Biofertilizers, Organic and Inorganic Manures. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(06): 968-972. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.806.117>