

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.804.325>

Studies on the Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on Quality Parameters and Economics of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. Kuroda Improved under Southern Telangana Conditions

V. Shanu^{1*}, D. Lakshminarayana², P. Prasanth² and D. Saida Naik³

¹Department of Vegetable Science, ²Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, College of Horticulture, Mojerla, SKLTS Horticultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500032, India

³Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, PJTS Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500032, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during rabi 2017-2018 at Vegetable Research Block, College of Horticulture - Mojerla, SKLTS Horticultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India, to study the effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on quality parameters and economics of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. Kuroda improved. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments and three replications. The results pertaining to quality parameters indicated that higher percentage of total soluble solids (12.40 %), ascorbic acid content (5.33 mg/100 g), carotene content (4.73 mg/100 g) and cortex to core ratio (0.90) were recorded in T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria) while lower percentage of cracked roots (3.83 %) and forked roots (3.70%) were recorded in T₂ (FYM 12 t/ha) and T₃ (Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha) respectively. The highest gross return (Rs. 3,72,000), net return (Rs. 2,99,467) and best benefit cost ratio (4.13) were recorded in the treatment T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria).

Keywords

Carrot cv. Kuroda improved, INM, Quality, Economics

Article Info

Accepted:
20 March 2019
Available Online:
10 April 2019

Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) is an important root vegetable, belongs to the family umbelliferae with diploid chromosome number $2n = 18$. It is cultivated all over the world, during spring-summer in temperate countries and winter in tropical and sub-

tropical countries. Carrot is an excellent source of carotene a precursor of vitamin A and fibre in the diet (Handelman, 2001). It also contains abundant amounts of nutrients such as protein, carbohydrates, fibre and sodium (Ahmad *et al.*, 2004). Carrot fleshy roots are used as a vegetable for salads, soups and are also steamed or boiled in other

vegetable dishes (Amjad *et al.*, 2005). Besides the food value it has, different parts of carrot can be used for different medicinal purposes due to a wide range of reported pharmacological effects (Rossi *et al.*, 2007). Carrot is a heavy feeder of nutrients, which removes 100 kg N, 50 kg P₂O₅ and 180 kg K₂O per hectare and is very sensitive to nutrient and soil moisture (Sunanda Rani and MallaReddy, 2007). Nowadays Chemical fertilizers are the main source of nutrients used for carrot cropping. However, continuous dependence on chemical fertilizers causes nutritional imbalance and adverse effects on physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil. Integrated nutrient management (INM) is a better approach for supplying nutrition to the crop by including organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. Thus a combined use of organic manures, biofertilizers with a reduced dose of chemical fertilizers, not only pave the way for higher yield and quality produce but also help to maintain the soil health and reduce pollution problems. Keeping the facts in view, the present investigation was planned to find out the influence of integrated nutrient management on quality parameters and economics of carrot under Southern Telangana conditions.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was conducted to study the effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on quality parameters and economics of carrot (*Daucas carota* L.) cv. Kuroda improved under Southern Telangana conditions at Vegetable Research Block, College of Horticulture – Mojerla, SKLTS Horticultural University, Hyderabad (Telangana) situated at 78° 29' East longitude and 17° 19' North latitude with an altitude of 542.3 m above the mean sea level. The location is characterized by semi arid climate. The carrot variety Kuroda improved used as

experimental material and experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with nine treatments having three replications. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam having soil pH 6.5, organic carbon 0.27 % and available N, P and K content of 206, 26.00 and 220 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The total nine treatments consist of T₁ - RDF (NPK @ 50:40:50 kg/ha), T₂ - FYM 12 t/ha, T₃ - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T₄- Rhizosphere Bacteria (AZB + PSB each @ 7 kg/ha), T₅ -50 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha, T₆ – 50 % RDF + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₇ - 50 % RDF + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria, T₈ - 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50%Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₉ - 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria. N, P and K were given through Urea, SSP and MOP respectively. Full dose of P and K and half dose of N were applied as basal dose, as per treatment before sowing and remaining half dose of N was given 30 days after sowing. Manures viz., FYM and vermicompost were incorporated as per treatment to respective plots prior to sowing. Biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB) were inoculated to seeds prior to sowing as seed treatment method. Seeds were sown at the spacing of 30 x 5cm and thinning was done 10 days after sowing to maintain spacing. The data were recorded on five plants per treatment per plot in each replication on quality parameters at harvest. Observations were recorded on total soluble solid (TSS) was determined by using hand refractometer and results expressed in °brix, ascorbic acid content was determined by 2, 6-dichlorophenol-indophenol visual titration method (Ranganna, 1986), carotene content was determined by spectrophotometer method (R.P. Srivastava and Sanjeev kumar 2002) and expressed in mg/100 g, root cracking, root forking and cortex to core ratio. The data were statistically analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for RBD following the

standard procedure as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Economics of various treatments was computed on the basis of prevailing market price of inputs.

Results and Discussion

Quality parameters

The experimental results revealed that the quality parameters were significantly influenced by various treatments (Table 1). All the integrated nutrient management treatments had significant influence on total soluble solids and ascorbic acid content. Among the treatments, T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria) reported significantly maximum total soluble solids per cent (12.40 %) which was due to the increased carbohydrate production resulted in improved physiological and biochemical activities of plant system. Similarly maximum ascorbic acid content (5.33 mg/100 g) was also recorded significantly under treatment T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria). This might be due to presence of sufficient quantities of inorganic fertilizers and more quantities of FYM, Vermicompost and biofertilizers increased the more carbohydrates production, consequently synthesized more vitamin 'C' content. Similar observations were also reported by Singh *et al.*, (2017) in carrot and Sentiyangla *et al.*, (2010) in radish.

Carotene content of root was found to be significantly different among all the treatments. Maximum carotene content (4.73 mg/100 g) was recorded under T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria) which was at par with the T₈ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) (4.67 mg/100 g) and T₆ (50 % RDF + 50

% Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) (4.53 mg/100 g) which was due to the readily availability of major and minor nutrients. These results were in accordance with the findings of Vithwel Kanaujia (2013) in carrot who reported that application of biofertilizers might have activated specific enzymes for the synthesis of carotene content.

Significant difference was observed among the integrated nutrient management treatments with respect to root cracking and root forking per cent. The minimum root cracking percent was recorded in T₂ (FYM 12 t/ha) (3.83 %) followed by T₄ Rhizosphere Bacteria (AZB + PSB @ 7 kg/ha each) (4.16 %) and were on par with each other which was due to low availability of nitrogen, resulted in less incidence of splitting and it was increased when the soil nitrogen increases (Netra Pal 2001). The results are in accordance with that of Mehedi *et al.*, (2012) in carrot.

Minimum root forking per cent was recorded in T₃ (Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha) (3.70 %) which was at par with T₄ Rhizosphere Bacteria (AZB + PSB @ 7 kg/ha each) (3.80 %) and T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria) (4.03 %).

This might be due the increased levels of nitrogen through organic manures could be attributed to lower availability of nitrogen at rhizosphere. Similar results were reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2014) in carrot.

Cortex to core ratio of carrot cv. Kuroda improved differed significantly due to integrated nutrient management treatments. The highest value was recorded in T₉ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria) (0.90) and it was on par with T₈ (25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 %

Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) (0.80). This may be due to increased availability of macro and micro nutrients by the application of organic manures, biofertilizers along with reduced

dose of chemical fertilizers. The results were in accordance with that of Ashmita *et al.*, (2017) in carrot.

Table.1 Influence of INM on quality parameters of carrot cv. Kuroda improved

Treatment	Total soluble solids (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)	Carotene (mg/100 g)	Root cracking (%)	Root forking (%)	Cortex to core ratio
T ₁	9.10 ^e	2.93 ^e	3.57 ^c	7.17 ^e	8.00 ^f	0.42 ^c
T ₂	10.50 ^c	4.23 ^c	3.10 ^d	3.83 ^a	4.60 ^b	0.23 ^d
T ₃	10.53 ^c	4.50 ^c	3.30 ^{cd}	5.57 ^c	3.70 ^a	0.39 ^c
T ₄	10.43 ^{cd}	4.13 ^c	3.13 ^d	4.16 ^{ab}	3.80 ^a	0.35 ^{cd}
T ₅	9.80 ^{cde}	3.60 ^d	4.10 ^b	6.57 ^d	7.26 ^e	0.60 ^b
T ₆	9.60 ^{de}	3.63 ^d	4.53 ^a	6.20 ^d	5.66 ^c	0.63 ^b
T ₇	9.30 ^e	3.33 ^d	3.67 ^c	6.43 ^d	6.50 ^d	0.56 ^b
T ₈	11.46 ^b	4.93 ^b	4.67 ^a	5.20 ^c	4.53 ^b	0.80 ^a
T ₉	12.40 ^a	5.33 ^a	4.73 ^a	4.63 ^b	4.03 ^{ab}	0.90 ^a
CD at 5%	0.90	0.39	0.42	0.52	0.62	0.14
SEm±	0.30	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.20	0.05

Note: T₁ - RDF (NPK @ 50:40:50 kg/ha), T₂ - FYM 12 t/ha, T₃ - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T₄- Rhizosphere Bacteria (AZB + PSB each @ 7 kg/ha), T₅ -50 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha, T₆– 50 % RDF + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₇- 50 % RDF + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria, T₈- 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50%Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₉- 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria.

Table.2 Economics of integrated nutrient management in carrot

Treatment	Common cost (Rs/ha)	Treated cost (Rs/ha)	Total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Gross return (Rs/ha)	Net return (Rs/ha)	B: C ratio
T ₁	56712	1504	58216	14.43	288600	230384	3.95
T ₂	56712	6600	63312	7.53	150600	87288	1.38
T ₃	56712	24000	80712	9.43	188600	107888	1.34
T ₄	56712	700	57412	8.76	175200	117788	2.05
T ₅	56712	4052	60764	12.00	240000	179236	2.95
T ₆	56712	12752	69464	12.66	253200	183736	2.64
T ₇	56712	1102	57814	12.33	246600	188786	3.26
T ₈	56712	15676	72388	16.53	330600	258212	3.57
T ₉	56712	15821	72533	18.60	372000	299467	4.13

Note: T₁ - RDF (NPK @ 50:40:50 kg/ha), T₂ - FYM 12 t/ha, T₃ - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T₄- Rhizosphere Bacteria (AZB + PSB each @ 7 kg/ha), T₅ -50 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha, T₆– 50 % RDF + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₇- 50 % RDF + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria, T₈- 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50%Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha, T₉- 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria.

Economics

From the present investigation (Table 2) it was found that the application of 25 % RDF + 50 % FYM @ 6 t/ha + 50 % Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha + 50 % Rhizosphere Bacteria (T₉) recorded the highest gross return (Rs. 3,72,000), net return (Rs. 2,99,467) and best benefit cost ratio (4.13) which might be due to higher root yield per hectare as compared to other treatments.

References

- Ahmad, B., Bakhsh, K. and Hassan, S. 2004. Economics of growing carrot, Faculty of Agricultural Economics and R.S., University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. A report submitted to Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC), Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Amjad, M., Naz, S. and Ali, S. 2005. Growth and seed yield of carrot as influenced by different regimes of nitrogen and potassium. *J. Res. Sci.* 16 (2): 73-78.
- Handelman. G.J. 2001. The evolving role of carotenoids in human biochemistry. *Nutrition*, 17:818-822.
- Ashmita, P., Moha, D., Sharma, Chandra S. S. 2017. Quality parameters of Carrot as affected by varieties and nutrient sources. *Azarian Journal of Agriculture*. 6(4): 200-205.
- Kumar, P., Meghwal, P.R. and Painuli, D.K. 2014. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on soil health and quality of carrot. *Indian J. Hort.* 71(2): 222-226.
- Mehedi, T. A. M., Siddique, M. A. and Shahid, S. B. 2012. Effects of urea and cowdung on growth and yield of carrot. *J. Bangladesh Agric. Univ.* 10 (1): 9-13.
- Netra Pal. 2001. Carrot. Hand book of Horticulture. ICAR publication, New Delhi, 2001 pp 374.
- Panase, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Ranganna. 1986. Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw Hill Publishers, New Delhi.pp 89-90
- Rossi, P. G., Bao, L., Luciani, A., Panighi, J., Desjobber, J. M., Bolla, J. J. and Berti, L. 2007. E-methylisoeugenol and elemicin: antibacterial components of *Daucus carota* L. essential oil against *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 55:7332-7336.
- Sentiyangla, Kanaujia, S. P., Singh, V. B. and Singh, A. K. 2010. Integrated nutrient management for quality production of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L) in acid Alfisol. *Journals of Soils and Crops*. 20 (1): 1-9.
- Singh, D. P., Kumar, S., Sutanu, M. and Vijay, P. K. 2017. Studies on integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 51(8): 2187-2188.
- Srivastava, R.P. and Kumar. S. 2002. Fruits and Vegetable Preservation- principles and practices. *Bio-green books*. pp. 359-360.
- Sunandarani, N., Mallareddy, K. 2007. Effect of different organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 20 (3): 686-688.
- Vithwel Kanaujia, S.P. 2013. Integrated nutrient management on productivity of carrot and fertility of soil. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*. 11 (2): 173-181.

How to cite this article:

Shanu, V., D. Lakshminarayana, P. Prasanth and Saida Naik, D. 2019. Studies on the Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on Quality Parameters and Economics of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. Kuroda Improved under Southern Telangana Conditions. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 8(04): 2792-2796. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.804.325>