

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.804.178>

Bacteriological Profile of Urinary Tract Infection at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Kalaburagi, India

Praveen Kumar Doddamani, M. Ravish Kumar* and Prashant Parandekar

Department of Microbiology, ESIC medical college, Gulbarga, 585106, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are the second most common infections encountered in clinical practice and is associated with a high rate of morbidity and economic burden. Knowledge of the local antibiotic resistance patterns will help in providing empirical therapy and helps in prevention of resistance. This was a retrospective study conducted from January 2017 to December 2018 at a medical college in South India. Samples received included mid-stream clean catch urine, Catheterized urine, suprapubic aspirate. Urine specimen was collected in a sterile, wide mouth, leak proof, labelled container. Urine was processed immediately within one hour without delay. Samples were processed and isolates were identified as per standard methods. Antibiotic sensitivity testing was done on Mueller Hinton agar by Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion method and CLSI guidelines. Most UTI cases were seen in females and in the age group of 31-40 years. The most common organism isolated was *E. coli* (64.4%), followed by *Klebsiella* species (9.9%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.4%). The most common Gram-positive organism was *Staphylococcus aureus* (5.9%). Highest resistance was seen with Ampicillin (98%) and Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (74%) and least resistance was seen with Imipenem and Piperacillin-Tazobactam. The inappropriate and irrational empirical use of antibiotics (particularly wide spectrum antibiotics), immuno-suppression, prolonged stay (catherization) are some of the major reasons responsible for resistant urinary tract infections. The present study revealed that urinary tract infections caused by *E. coli* was resistant to commonly used antibiotics. On the basis of local antibiotic susceptibility pattern, Nitrofurantoin (oral) and Amikacin (parenteral) can be used as first line empiric therapy for treatment of UTI. A major intervention in preventing resistant UTI is the regular monitoring of antibiotic resistance pattern which helps in initiating empirical treatment of UTI and definitive therapy must be initiated only after culture sensitivity report. As the antibiotic resistance pattern changes over a period of time, regular antibiotic susceptibility pattern studies should be conducted region wise.

Keywords

Urinary tract infection, *Escherichia coli*, Antibiotic resistance, Uro-pathogens

Article Info

Accepted:

12 March 2019

Available Online:

10 April 2019

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are the second most common infections encountered in clinical practice and is associated with a high

rate of morbidity and economic burden (1,2). UTI is caused predominantly by Gram negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Enterobacter* species, *Klebsiella* species and *Proteus* species. The most common

organism causing community acquired acute UTI is *E. coli* (3) and often leading to secondary health issues which can be serious at times (4). UTI may involve only the lower urinary tract or may involve both the upper and lower tract. Malnutrition, low socio-economic status, poor hygiene is the most important factors associated with UTI (5).

Despite the availability of higher antibiotics, UTI continues to be the most common cause of infections in hospitalized patients, accounting for approximately 40% of the hospital acquired infections (6). UTIs in hospital and community setting are initially treated empirically based on local antibiotic resistance rates and severity of illness. Organisms causing UTI have shown an increase in resistance to commonly used antibiotics. Fluoroquinolones are extensively used for empirical therapy, because of high bactericidal and clinical cure rates as well as low rates of resistance (7). But recent studies have reported increased resistance to fluoroquinolones (8-10).

The antibiotic therapy relieves the symptoms of UTI and plays an important role in preventing the development of complications like renal scarring. Knowledge of the local antibiotic resistance patterns will help in providing empirical therapy and helps in prevention of resistance. There are few studies conducted on prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of pathogens causing UTI in this region, hence the present study was conducted.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

This was a retrospective study conducted from January 2017 to December 2018 at a medical college in South India. Patients of either sex aged between 20-60 years who were suspected of having UTI were included

in the study. A detailed history of patient including demographics, socioeconomic status, prior antibiotic use, previous history of UTI, hospitalization etc were recorded in the prescribed proforma. Samples received included mid-stream clean catch urine, Catheterized urine, suprapubic aspirate. Urine sample was collected in a sterile, wide mouth, leak proof, labelled container. Urine was processed immediately within one hour without delay. Samples were processed and isolates were identified as per standard methods (11).

Antibiotic sensitivity testing

Antibiotic sensitivity testing was done on Mueller Hinton agar by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method (12) The following antibiotics were tested as per CLSI guidelines (13), Ampicillin (10 mcg), Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (30 mcg), Ceftriaxone (30 mcg), Cefuroxime (30 mcg), Ceftazidime (30 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 mcg), Norfloxacin (10 mcg), Amikacin (30 mcg), Gentamicin (10 mcg), Co-trimoxazole (1.25/23.75µg) Imipenem (10 mcg), Nitrofurantoin (300 mcg), and Piperacillin-Tazobactam (100/10 mcg).

Statistical analysis

All data were tabulated and analyzed. Descriptive statistics were used for analysis. The data was analyzed using Microsoft excel (2016 version) and the results are explained in frequency and percentage.

Results and Discussion

During the study period a total of 1568 samples were processed from suspected UTI patients, out of which 525 (33%) of samples were culture positive with significant growth, 15% insignificant growth and about 8% of culture showed contamination. The demographic variables are shown in table 1.

Most UTI cases were seen in females and in the age group of 31-40 years. The organisms isolated from urine samples are shown in table 2.

The most common organism isolated was *E. coli* (64.1%), followed by *Klebsiella* species (9.9%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.4%). The most common Gram-positive organism was *Staphylococcus aureus* (5.9%). *E. coli* was commonly isolated from females and in the age group of 31-40 years.

The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* is shown in table 3. Highest resistance was seen with Ampicillin (98%) and Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (74%) and least resistance was seen with Imipenem and Piperacillin-Tazobactam.

UTI are the one of the most common infections encountered in clinical practice. UTI caused by *E. coli* has increased over the years, one of the major reasons being irrational use of antibiotics. The distribution of species causing UTI and their antimicrobial pattern varies with time and place (14). In the present study, culture positive rate was 33%, most UTI cases were seen in females and in the age group of 31-40 years. The most common organism isolated was *E. coli* (64.4%), followed by *Klebsiella* species (9.9%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.4%). The most common Gram-positive organism was *Staphylococcus aureus* (5.9%). *E. coli* was commonly isolated from females and in the age group of 31-40 years. Other studies have also reported similar findings (8, 15, 16).

Table.1 Demographic characteristics of the participants

Variable	Number	Percentage
Gender		
Male	169	32.2
Female	356	67.8
Age group		
20-30 years	119	22.6
31-40 years	176	33.5
41-50 years	104	19.8
51-60 years	126	24

Table.2 Organisms isolated from urine samples

Organism	Number	Percentage
<i>E. coli</i>	337	64.1
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	52	9.9
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	39	7.4
<i>Proteus</i> species	29	5.5
<i>Enterococcus</i> species	29	5.5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	31	5.9
Others	08	1.5

Table.3 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* (n=525)

Antibiotic	Sensitive (%)	Resistant (%)
Ampicillin	6 (1.2)	519 (98)
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	133 (25.4)	392 (74.6)
Ceftriaxone	228 (43.4)	297 (56.6)
Cefuroxime	216 (41.2)	309 (58.8)
Ceftazidime	240 (45.7)	285 (54.3)
Ciprofloxacin	247 (47.1)	278 (52.9)
Norfloxacin	286 (54.5)	239 (45.5)
Amikacin	433 (82.5)	92 (17.5)
Gentamicin	216 (41.2)	309 (58.8)
Co-trimoxazole	188 (35.9)	337 (64.1)
Imipenem	499 (95.1)	26 (4.9)
Nitrofurantoin	391 (74.4)	134 (25.6)
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	480 (91.4)	45 (8.6)

The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* is shown in table 3. Highest resistance was seen with Ampicillin (98%) and Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (74%) and least resistance was seen with Imipenem (4.9%) and Piperacillin-Tazobactam (8.6%). Significant resistance was also seen with fluoroquinolone and cephalosporin group of antibiotics (2, 5, 17). The reason for the development of resistance to commonly used antibiotics might be irrational therapeutic and undue prophylactic use, easy availability (over the counter sale) of the antibiotics and inappropriate dosing schedule. Resistance to aminoglycoside group of antibiotics was low with amikacin. Resistance to cotrimoxazole was high (64.1%), and to nitrofurantoin was 25.6%. Other studies have reported low resistance to nitrofurantoin ranging from 1% to 13% (18-19). This variation might be due to different geographical, patient and hospital characteristics. Least resistance was seen with Imipenem and Piperacillin-Tazobactam. Other studies have also reported similar findings (17-20). The inappropriate and irrational empirical use of antibiotics (particularly wide spectrum antibiotics), immuno-suppression, prolonged stay (catheterization) and lack of appropriated

laboratory services are some of the major reasons responsible for resistant urinary tract infection.

Limitations of the study

The present study was conducted at a single center and the samples size was small, so results cannot be generalized. Future studies should include regional hospitals with large sample size.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that urinary tract infections caused by *E. coli* was resistant to commonly used antibiotics. On the basis of local antibiotic susceptibility pattern, Nitrofurantoin (oral) and Amikacin (parenteral) can be used as first line empiric therapy for treatment of UTI. A major intervention in preventing resistant UTI is the regular monitoring of antibiotic resistance pattern which helps in initiating empirical treatment of UTI and definitive therapy must be initiated only after culture sensitivity report. As the antibiotic resistance pattern changes over a period of time, regular antibiotic susceptibility pattern studies should be conducted region wise.

References

1. Gatermann SG. Bacterial infections of the urinary tract. In: Borriello P, Murray PR, Funke G. editors. Topley & Wilson's microbiology & microbial infections, 10th ed. vol. III. London: Hodder Arnold Publishers; 2007:671-83.
2. Pai V, Nair B. Aetiology and sensitivity of uropathogens in outpatients and inpatients with urinary tract infection: Implications on empiric therapy. *Ann Trop Med Public Health*. 2012; 5:181-84.
3. Sobel JD, Kaye D. Urinary tract infections. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 7th ed., Vol. 1. Philadelphia, USA: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier Publication; 2010. p. 958-72.
4. Kalsoom BA, Jafar KH, Begum H, Munir S, ul AKBAR N, Ansari JA, Anees M. Patterns of antibiotic sensitivity of bacterial pathogens among urinary tract infections (UTI) patients in a Pakistani population. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 2012; 16; 6:414-20.
5. Akram M, Shahid M and Khan AU. Etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns of community acquired urinary tract infections in JNMC Hospital, Aligarh, India. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*. 2007; 6: 6-11.
6. Kamat US, Fereirra A, Amonkar D, Motghare DD, Kulkarni MS. Epidemiology of the hospital acquired urinary tract infections in a medical college hospital in Goa. *IJU* 2009; 25(1):76.
7. Zervos MJ, Hershberger E, Nicolau DP, Ritchie DJ, Blackner LK, Coyle EA, *et al.*, Relationship between fluoroquinolone use and changes in susceptibility to fluoroquinolones of selected pathogens in United States teaching hospitals, 1991-2000. *Clin Infect Dis* 003; 37: 1643-8.
8. Mandal J, Acharya NS, Buddhapriya D, Parija SC. Antibiotic resistance pattern among common bacterial uropathogens with a special reference to ciprofloxacin resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Indian J Med Res.*, 2012; 136: 842-849.
9. Shariff VAAR, Shenoy MS, Yadav TMR. The antibiotic susceptibility patterns of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, with special reference to the fluoroquinolones. *J. Clin Diagn Res* 2013; 7(6):1027-30.
10. Hwang TJ, Hooper DC. Association between fluoroquinolone resistance and resistance to other antibiotic agents among *Escherichia coli* urinary isolates in the outpatient setting: a national cross-sectional study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; 69(6):1720-2.
11. Collee JG, Duguid JP, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Laboratory strategy in the diagnosis of infective syndromes. In: Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A, editors. Mackie & McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology, 14th Ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1999: 84-90.
12. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1966; 45:493- 6.
13. Wilker M A CFR, Bush K, Dudley M N, *et al.*, The Performance of Standards for Antibiotic Disk Susceptibility Tests: Approved Standard. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009; 29(1): 11-12.
14. Ullah F, Malik SA, Ahmed J. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern and ESBL prevalence in nosocomial *Escherichia coli* from urinary tract infections in Pakistan. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2009; 8: 3921- 6.
15. Manjunath G, Prakash R, Vamseedhar Annam KS. The changing trends in the spectrum of the antibiotic drug resistance pattern of the uropathogens which were

- isolated from hospitals and community patients with urinary tract infections in Tumkur and Bangalore. *Int J Biol Med Res.* 2011; 2(2):504-07.
16. Pallavi K, Georgi A, Asik MA, Prathiba M, Milly M. Urinary tract infections in the era of newer immunosuppressant agents: A tertiary care center study. *Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation.* 2010; 21(5):876-80.
 17. Eshwarappa M, Dosegowda R, Aprameya IV, Khan MW, Kumar PS, Kempegowda P. Clinico-microbiological profile of urinary tract infection in South India. *Indian J Nephrol* 2011; 21:30-6.
 18. Abdul Rahaman Shariff V A, Suchitra Shenoy M, Taruna Yadav, Radhakrishna M. The Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, With Special Reference to the Fluoroquinolones. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research.* 2013; 7(6):1027-30.
 19. Syed Mustaq Ahmed, Ramakrishna Pai Jakribettu, Shaniya Koyakutty, Arya B, Shakir VPA. Urinary Tract Infections – An overview on the Prevalence and the Anti-biogram of Gram Negative Uropathogens in A Tertiary Care Centre in North Kerala, India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research,* 2012;6(7):1192-95.
 20. Jayanta Debnath, Pradip KR. Das, Munmun Debnath, K.K. Haldar. Aetiological Profile and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern in Patients with Urinary Tract Infection in Tripura. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research.* 2014; (8): DL01-DL02

How to cite this article:

Praveen Kumar Doddamani, M. Ravish Kumar and Prashant parandekar. 2019. Bacteriological Profile of Urinary Tract Infection at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Kalaburagi, India. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(04): 1538-1543. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.804.178>