

# International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 8 Number 01 (2019)

Journal homepage: <a href="http://www.ijcmas.com">http://www.ijcmas.com</a>



# **Original Research Article**

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.801.026

# Study the Relationship of BCS with Milk Composition and Reproductive Performance

Budhi Prakash Meena, Subhash Chander Goswami, Vijay Kumar, Arun Kumar Jhirwal, Vikramjit Singh\* and Vikas Sharma

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner-334001, Rajasthan, India

\*Corresponding author

#### ABSTRACT

# Keywords

Reproductive performance, Kankrej, Significantly, Lactation, BCS

#### **Article Info**

Accepted:
04 December 2018
Available Online:
10 January 2019

A total of 18 Kankrej cattle of 1<sup>st</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> parity were selected for the study and distributed into three different groups based on their pre calving BCS (before 15 days of expected date of calving) namely G1(2.50 - 3.00), G2 (3.25 - 3.75) and G3 (4.00 and above) with six animals in each group. The average fortnight fat % in G1 was decreased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day, remained same up to 75<sup>th</sup> days and thereafter decreased, in G2 group decreased significantly (P<0.05) up to 60<sup>th</sup> day and again increased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, where as in G3 group increased significantly (P<0.05) up to 60<sup>th</sup> day and then decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day. The average lactose % was 4.40±0.09in G3 group which were highest followed by in G2 and G1 group 4.27±0.009 and 4.19±0.009, respectively. The average TS content of milk changes with advance in lactation in G1 and G2 group animals, and were found to be non significant throughout the study period. The animals of G2 BCS group had shorter postpartum estrus period, a fewer services per conception, higher first service conception rate and a shorter service period followed by Kankrej cattle of G3 group and GI group. The studied revealed that BCS had a significant (P<0.05) effect on the reproductive performance in experimental animals.

## Introduction

In early lactation the energy intake does not keep pace with continuously rising milk yield as a result energy deficit increases. This leads to a competitive situation among milk yield, fertility and health of the dairy cow because these traits are linked with energy requirement (Coenen, 2014). The various blood metabolites are used to measures the energy

balance (EB) status in dairy animals, which has been reported to be strongly correlated with energy balance (Konigsson *et al.*, 2000 and Clark *et al.*, 2005). However, analyses of these blood metabolites are only feasible on experimental farms. Therefore, there is interest in other traits, which could serve as indicators of EB and may subsequently be related to the production and fertility status of an animal. Body condition score (BCS) is one

of those 2 measures which is widely used in many species to assess body composition and energy status of animals (de Vries and Veerkamp, 2000 and Veerkamp *et al.*, 2001).

#### **Materials and Methods**

**Experimental design:** Eighteen Kankrej cattle were distributed into three separate groups based on their pre-calving BCS (15 days before expected date of calving) namely  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  with six animals in each group as shown in Table 1.

#### Parameters to be studied

## Milk components

The milk components, including fat, protein, lactose, total solids and Solid Not Fat (SNF), were studied in relation to BCS from calving to12 weeks postpartum at weekly intervals. The representative milk samples were collected from the milking bucket after complete milking of the individual animal. The milk samples were analyzed by auto analyzer.

# Reproductive parameter

## Post partum estrus

Post Partum estrus was observed by the acceptance of a male by the female, which is the most prominent and reliable symptoms of estrus.

## Service period

The service period was calculated from the date of calving to date of successful service.

# Number of service per conception

The data regarding number of service per conception was obtained from the record of the farm.

## First service conception rate

The first service conception rate was calculated by the percentage of experimental cattle conceiving out of the total cattle at first service.

## Analysis of data

The collected and tabulated data was analyzed by using SPSS 20.0.

## **Results and Discussion**

# Milk composition

## **Fat** (%)

The fortnight average fat per cent in milk of animals of each group are presented in the Table 2.

The average fortnight fat per cent in milk was decreased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day and remained same up to 75<sup>th</sup> day and thereafter increased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day in G1 group of animals, which was found significantly from 15<sup>th</sup> to 45<sup>th</sup> day, thereafter non-significant up to 90<sup>th</sup> day.

The average fortnight fat per cent in milk of G2 group of animals, decreased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day but significantly (P<0.05) up to 30 and increased up to 75<sup>th</sup> day and again decreased up to 90th day, which was found to be non significant.

The average fortnight fat per cent in milk of G3 group animals, decreased significantly (P<0.05) up to 60<sup>th</sup> day then after decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day which was found to be non significant.

The average fat per cent significantly (P<0.05) differed between the G1 and G2, G3 groups throughout the study period. There was G2 and G3 group non-significant throughout the

study period. The G3 and G2 group animals had the highest fat percent in the milk followed by G1 group animal 3.54±0.07, 3.86±0.068 and 3.86±0.065%, respectively.

Similar finding were reported by Prasad and Tomar (1996). Berry *et al.*, (2007), Musthaq (2010) in Nili Ravi buffalo, Janus *et al.*, (2012) in H.F. cow, and Singh *et al.*, (2015) in H.F. cows.

# Solid Not Fat % (SNF %)

The average fortnightly Solid Not Fat per cent in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups are presented in Table 3.

The average fortnight SNF percent in the milk of G1 group animals was found significant between 45<sup>th</sup> to 60<sup>th</sup> days and G2 group animals was found to be non significant throughout the study period except 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> days.

The average fortnight SNF per cent in milk of G3 group animals, decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, which was found to be non significant throughout the study period except 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> day.

The fortnight average SNF per cent were found to be significant between the groups in 45<sup>th</sup> days of lactation, thereafter G1 and G2 group was found to be non significant in between group but both groups were significant with G3 group.

There was significant (P<0.05) difference in fortnight average SNF percent between the three groups throughout the study period.

In G3 group of animals the SNF per cent was  $8.74\pm0.05$  which was highest among all the groups and in the G2 and G1 group of animals the corresponding values were  $8.67\pm0.05$  and  $8.61\pm0.05$ , respectively. Similar findings were

reported by Prasad and Tomar (1996), Musthaq (2010) in Nili Ravi buffalo and Janus *et al.*, (2012) in H.F. cows.

#### Protein (%)

The fortnight average protein per cent in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups are presented in Table 4.

The average fortnight protein per cent in milk of G1 group of animals increased up to 45<sup>th</sup> day and thereafter decreased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day, and after that there was gradual increase in protein per cent up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, which were found to be non significant.

The fortnight average protein per cent in milk of G2 group animals increased up to 30<sup>th</sup> day and thereafter decreased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day then again increased up to 75<sup>th</sup> day after that remained same up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, which were found to be non significant.

The fortnight average protein per cent milk of G3 group animals, increase significantly (P<0.05) up to  $60^{th}$  day, and afterward decreased up to  $75^{th}$ day and remained same up to  $90^{th}$  day.

There was significant (P<0.05) difference in fortnight average protein percent between the three groups throughout the study period. The average protein per cent was  $3.60\pm0.05$  in G3 group which were highest followed by  $3.32\pm0.05$  and  $3.16\pm0.05$ , respectively in G2 and G1 group.

Similar findings were reported by Prasad and Tomar (1996), Musthaq (2010) in Nili Ravi buffalo, Janus *et al.*, (2012) in H.F. cows. However, Treacher *et al.*, (1986) reported that during early lactation, the group of fat (BCS 4) cows had yielded less milk protein than the group of thin (BCS 2.5) cows which is in disagreement with present study.

## Lactose (%)

The fortnight average lactose per cent in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups are presented in Table 5.

The average fortnightly lactose per cent in milk of G1 group of animals decreased up to 30<sup>th</sup> day and afterward increased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day

and then again decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup>, which was found to be non significant. The average fortnightly lactose percent in milk of G2 group of animals, decreased up to 30<sup>th</sup> day and afterward increased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day and decreased up to 75<sup>th</sup> day afterward remain same up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, which was found to be non significant.

**Table.1** Grouping of the cattle based on their pre-calving BCS

Grouping of the cattle based on their pre-calving BCS Groups	No. of animals	BCS
$G_1$	6	2.50 - 3.00
$G_2$	6	3.25 - 3.75
$G_3$	6	4.00 and above

Table.2 Average fortnightly fat (%) in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups

Fortnight	Average Fat (%) of animals in BCS groups			
Intervals (days)	G1	G2	G3	
15	$3.71^{aC} \pm 0.01$	$3.97^{bC} \pm 0.02$	$4.13^{\text{cD}} \pm 0.01$	
30	$3.55^{aB} \pm 0.03$	$3.90^{\mathrm{bB}} \pm 0.05$	$4.01^{\text{cC}} \pm 0.06$	
45	$3.51^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$3.86^{\text{bAB}} \pm 0.06$	$3.83^{\text{bB}} \pm 0.05$	
60	$3.50^{aA} \pm 0.02$	3.83 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.04	$3.77^{cA} \pm 0.06$	
75	$3.50^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$3.84^{bA} \pm 0.05$	$3.74^{\text{cA}} \pm 0.04$	
90	$3.52^{aAB} \pm 0.01$	$3.82^{bA} \pm 0.04$	$3.72^{\text{cA}} \pm 0.04$	
Total (Average)	$3.54^{a}\pm0.07$	$3.86^{b}\pm0.068$	$3.86^{b} \pm 0.065$	

Different superscript in a column (capital letter A, B, C) differ significantly (p<0.05), Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05).

Table.3 Fortnightly average solid not fat (%) in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups

Fortnight	Average SNF (%) of animals in BCS groups			
Intervals (days)	G1 G2		G3	
15	$8.60^{aA} \pm 0.01$	$8.70^{\text{bA}} \pm 0.01$	$8.77^{\text{cC}} \pm 0.01$	
30	$8.60^{aA} \pm 0.03$	$8.70^{\mathrm{bB}} \pm 0.04$	$8.76^{\text{cBC}} \pm 0.02$	
45	$8.57^{aA} \pm 0.04$	$8.68^{\text{bAB}} \pm 0.05$	$8.74^{\text{cAB}} \pm 0.02$	
60	$8.64^{aB} \pm 0.03$	$8.66^{aAB} \pm 0.04$	$8.73^{\text{bA}} \pm 0.02$	
75	$8.65^{aB} \pm 0.03$	$8.66^{aA} \pm 0.05$	$8.72^{bA} \pm 0.02$	
90	$8.66^{aC} \pm 0.03$	$8.66^{aA} \pm 0.05$	$8.71^{\text{bA}} \pm 0.02$	
Total (Average)	8.61 <sup>a</sup> ±0.05	$8.67^{b} \pm 0.05$	$8.74^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	

Different superscript in a column (capital letter A, B, C) differ significantly (p<0.05), Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05)

**Table.4** Fortnightly average Protein (%) in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups

Fortnight	Average Protein (%) of animals in BCS groups			
Intervals (days)	G1	G2	G3	
15	$3.14^{aA} \pm 0.01$	$3.30^{bA} \pm 0.01$	$3.54^{cA} \pm 0.01$	
30	$3.15^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$3.34^{bA} \pm 0.02$	$3.57^{cA} \pm 0.03$	
45	$3.17^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$3.32^{bB} \pm 0.01$	$3.62^{cA} \pm 0.05$	
60	$3.16^{aAB} \pm 0.01$	$3.30^{\text{bAB}} \pm 0.01$	$3.64^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.04$	
75	$3.17^{aA} \pm 0.02$	$3.34^{bA} \pm 0.02$	$3.63^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.04$	
90	$3.20^{aAB} \pm 0.02$	$3.34^{\text{bAB}} \pm 0.02$	$3.63^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.05$	
Total (Average)	$3.16^{a}\pm0.05$	$3.32^{b}\pm0.05$	$3.60^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	

Different superscript in a column (capital letter A, B) differ significantly (p<0.05), Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05)

**Table.5** Fortnightly average Lactose (%) in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups

Fortnight	Average Lactose (%) of animals in BCS groups		
Intervals (days)	G1	G2	G3
15	4.20 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.01	4.29 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.01	$4.47^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.01$
30	4.19 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.03	4.27 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$4.45^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.01$
45	4.20 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.03	4.28 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$4.43^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.01$
60	$4.21^{aA} \pm 0.02$	4.29 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$4.48^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.01$
75	4.20 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.02	4.27 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$4.45^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.02$
90	$4.18^{aA} \pm 0.02$	4.27 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$4.17^{\text{cB}} \pm 0.02$
Total (Average)	4.19 <sup>a</sup> ±0.009	$4.27^{b}\pm0.009$	$4.40^{\circ} \pm 0.09$

Different superscript in a column (capital letter A, B, C) differ significantly (p<0.05), Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05)

**Table.6** Average fortnightly total solid (%) in milk of Kankrej with different BCS groups

Fortnight	Average Total solids (%) of animals in BCS groups			
Intervals (days)	G1	G2	G3	
15	$12.3^{aC} \pm 0.01$	$12.66^{\text{bD}} \pm 0.02$	$12.89^{\text{cD}} \pm 0.01$	
30	$12.15^{aB} \pm 0.02$	$12.60^{bC} \pm 0.02$	$12.76^{\text{cC}} \pm 0.02$	
45	12.07 <sup>aB</sup> ±0.01	12.53 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.02	$12.56^{aA} \pm 0.02$	
60	$12.14^{aB} \pm 0.01$	12.49 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.01	$12.49^{bA} \pm 0.08$	
75	12.14 <sup>aB</sup> ±0.01	12.49 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.02	$12.45^{cA} \pm 0.01$	
90	$12.17^{aB} \pm 0.01$	$12.47^{bA} \pm 0.02$	$12.42^{bA} \pm 0.01$	
Total (Average)	12.16 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	$12.54^{b}\pm0.01$	$12.60^{\circ} \pm 0.03$	

Different superscript in a column (capital letter A, B, C, D) differ significantly (p<0.05), Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05)

**Table.7** The reproductive performances in Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups

S.No.	Reproductive traits	BCS groups		
		G1	G2	G3
1.	Post-partum estrus (days)	102°±3.91	66.67 <sup>a</sup> ±1.62	83.17 <sup>b</sup> ±1.83
2.	No. of service per conception	2.17 <sup>b</sup> ±0.30	1.34 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	1.50°±0.22
3.	First service conception rate (%)	16.67 <sup>a</sup> ±16.66	66.67 <sup>b</sup> ±21.08	50.00 <sup>b</sup> ±22.36
4.	Service period (Days)	147.5°±7.36	91.34 <sup>a</sup> ±4.11	114.67 <sup>b</sup> ±3.48

Different superscript in a row (small letter a, b, c) differ significantly (p<0.05)

In G3 group of animals, the average fortnight lactose percent in milk decreased up to 45<sup>th</sup> day and afterward increased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day afterward again decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, which was found to be non significant.

There was significant (P<0.05) difference in fortnight average lactose percent between the three groups throughout the study period. The average lactose % was 4.40±0.09 in G3 group which were highest followed by in G2 and G1 group 4.27±0.09 and 4.19±0.09, respectively.

Similar findings were reported by Musthaq (2010) in Nili Ravi Buffalo, and Janus *et al.*, (2012) in H.F. cows

However, Treacher *et al.*, (1986) reported that during early lactation, the group of fat (BCS 4) cows had yielded less lactose than the group of thin (BCS 2.5) cows which is in disagreement with present study.

## Total solid (%)

The fortnight average total solids per cent in milk of Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups are presented in Table 6. The fortnight average total solids differ significantly (P<0.05) in milk of Kankrej cattle among different BCS groups. The average TS content of milk changes with advance in lactation in

G1 and G2 group of animals, and were found to be non significant throughout the study period.

The fortnight average TS per cent in milk of G3 group of animals decreased up to 90<sup>th</sup> day, but the decrease was significant (P<0.05) up to 45<sup>th</sup> day.

There was significant (P<0.05) difference in TS per cent in the milk of G1, G2 and G3 groups throughout the study period. The average TS per cent were  $12.60\pm0.03$  % in G3 group animals which were highest followed by in G2 and G1 group with  $12.54\pm0.01$ % and  $12.16\pm0.01$ %, respectively.

Similar findings were reported by Janus *et al.*, (2012).

## Reproductive performance

The reproductive performances in Kankrej cattle with different BCS groups are presented in Table 7.

Table revealed that the animals of G2 group had shorter postpartum estrus period, fewer services per conception, higher first service conception rate and shorter service period and the values for corresponding parameter were 66.67±1.62 days, 1.34<sup>a</sup>±0.21, 66.67 per cent

and 91.34±4.11 days, respectively. The Kankrej cattle of G3 group had 83.17±1.83  $1.50\pm0.22$ , 50.00 percent days, 114.67<sup>b</sup>±3.48 respectively for post-partum estrus, number of service per conception, first service conception rate and service period. Whereas Kankrej cattle of G1 group had 102±3.91days, 2.17±0.30, 16.67 per cent and 147.5±7.36 days respectively for post-partum estrus, number of service per conception, first service conception rate and service period. The study revealed that BCS had significant (P<0.05) effect on all the reproductive traits under the study.

Similar findings were reported by Gillund *et al.*, (2001) in Norvegian cattle, Buckley *et al.*, (2003) in H.F. cows, Rochey *et al.*, (2009), in dairy cow, Bayram *et al.*, (2008) in Swedish Red and White cows, Rao and Anitha (2013) in buffalo and Stadnik *et. al.*, (2017) in Czech Fleckvieh cows.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thankfully acknowledged the financial support and facilities provided by RAJUVAS, Bikaner to carry out the research work.

#### References

- Bayram, B., Yanar, M. and Akbulut, O. 2008. Reproductive and milk production traits of Holstein Friesian cows in pre-organic and organic dairy husbandry in Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 7(7): 808-811.
- Buckley, F., Sullivan, K., Mee, J. F., Evans, R. D. and Dillon, P. 2003. Relationships among milk yield, body condition, cow weight, and reproduction in spring-calved Holstein-Friesians. *Journal of Animal Science*. 86(7): 2308-2319.
- Berry, D. P. Buckley, F. and Dillon, P. 2007. Body condition score and live-weight

- effects on milk production in Irish Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. *Animal*. 1(9): 1351-1359.
- Clark, C. E. F., Fulkerson, W. J., Nandra, K. S., Barchia, I. and Macmillan, K. L. 2005. The use of indicators to assess the degree of mobilization of body reserves in dairy cows in early lactation on a pasture-based diet. *Livestock Production Science*. 94: 199-211.
- Coenen, H. and Peter, M. S. 2014.
  Associations among body condition score, body weight, and milk weight in crossbred dairy cattle in a confined dairy operation. Tarleton State University.
- DeVries, M. J. and Veerkamp, R. F. 2000. Energy balance of dairy cattle in relation to milk production variables and fertility. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 83:62–69.
- Gillund, P., Reksen, O., Grohn, Y. T. and Karlberg, K. 2001. Body condition related to ketosis and reproductive performance in norwegian dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 84(6): 1390–1396.
- IBM SPSS STATISTICS VERSION; 20.
- Janus, E. and Borkowska, D. 2012. Changes of body condition of cows, daily milk yield and its composition during the course of lactation. *Rocz Nauk*. 1(1): 75-84.
- Konigsson, K., Savoini, G., Govoni, N., Invernizzi, G., Prandi, A., Kindahl. H. and Veronesi, M. C. 2000. Energy balance, leptin, NEFA and IGF-I plasma concentrations and resumption of postpartums ovarian activity in Swedish red and white breed cows. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*. 50(3): 1-7.
- Mushtaq, A. 2010. Effect of Physiological states on milk fatty acids profile In dairy cows and buffaloes. Ph.D. Thesis, (Livestock production and management), North-West Frontier

- Province, Agricultural University, Peshawar.
- Rao, K. S. and Anitha, A. 2013. Body Condition Score (BCS) system in Murrah buffaloes. *Buffalo Bulletin*. 32: 1290-1298.
- Roche, J. R., Friggens N. C., Stockdale, C. R., Baumgard, L. H., VanBaale, M. H. and Berry, D. P. 2009. Body condition score and its association with dairy cow productivity, health, and welfare. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 92(12): 5769–5801.
- Singh, V., Singh, V. K., Singh, S. P. and Sahoo, B. 2015. The effect of body score condition at calving on milk yield, milk composition and udder health status of dairy animals. *Journal of dairy, Veterinary and Animal Research*. 2(2): 22

- Stadnik, L., Atasever, S. and Duchacek, J. 2017. Effects of body condition score and daily milk yield on reproduction traits of Czech Fleckvieh cows. *Animal Reproduction*. 14(1): 1264-1269.
- Thomas, C. K. and Sastry, N. S. R. 2012.

  Dairy Bovine Production, 2nd revised
  Edn., Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi,
  India.
- Treacher, R. J., Roberts, C. J. and Tomas, J. 1986. Effect of body condition on reproductive performance in dairy cows. *Animal Production*. 79: 11-16.
- Veerkamp, R. F., Koenen, E. P. C. and DeJong, G. 2001. Genetic correlations among Body condition score, yield, and fertility in 1st parity cows estimated by random regression models. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 84: 2327-2335.

## How to cite this article:

Budhi Prakash Meena, Subhash Chander Goswami, Vijay Kumar, Arun Kumar Jhirwal, Vikramjit Singh and Vikas Sharma. 2019. Study the Relationship of BCS with Milk Composition and Reproductive Performance. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(01): 228-235. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.801.026">https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.801.026</a>