Performance of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Based Millets Intercropping System in Central Dry Zone of Karnataka
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**A B S T R A C T**

A field experiment was conducted during Kharif 2017 at Zonal Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Babbur farm, Hiriyur. Pure crop of groundnut is tested against intercropping of finger millet, little millet and foxtail millet at 5:2 and 6:1 row proportions. Intercropping of groundnut (G-2-52) + foxtail millet (HMT100-1) with 6:1 row proportion showed significantly higher groundnut pod yield (1,744 kg ha⁻¹) and groundnut equivalent yield (1,876 kg ha⁻¹). On the other hand groundnut + foxtail millet with 5:2 row proportion recorded significantly higher land equivalent ratio (1.16) and the highest mean of monetary advantage index (10,536 Rs. ha⁻¹).
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**Introduction**

In recent years, a trend in agricultural production system has changed towards achieving high productivity and promoting sustainability over time. Farmers are developing different crop production systems to increase productivity and sustainability since ancient times. This includes crop rotation, relay cropping and intercropping of major crops with other crops. However, several factors like cultivar, seeding ratios, planting pattern and competition between mixture components affect the growth of species in intercropping (Caballero *et al.*, 1995, Carr *et al.*, 2004). The major objectives of intercropping are to produce an additional crop, to optimize the use of natural resources and to stabilize the yield of crops and to overcome the risk. The intercropping systems involve smart risk protection combinations. Groundnut in particular provides more stability and ensures better monetary returns. However, to provide stability in the returns, it is always advisable that cereal or short duration compatible pulse crop is introduced as a component crop with groundnut to ensure dietary requirement in terms of quality. Groundnut crop can accommodate rapidly growing short duration crops like millets and would prove to be a viable intercropping system. Groundnut, one of the important
oilseed crop of tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world belongs to the family Leguminoceae and it is known as the ‘king of oilseed’ crops. Groundnut is also called poor man’s almond. It is the world’s fourth most important source of edible oil and third most important source of vegetable protein. It contains about 40-45 per cent oil, 25-30 per cent protein, 25 per cent carbohydrate in addition to the minerals and vitamins (Desai et al., 1999). Millets are hardy and resilient crops grown in diverse agro-climatic adverse condition, which have been contributing to the food security with important role in the livestock dependent communities for their livelihood. In recent years, there has been an increasing recognition of the importance of millets in India. The information available on the suitability of intercropping systems in groundnut with millets is meager in Central Dry Zone of Karnataka. Hence, an investigation was taken up to evaluate the productivity of groundnut with small millets at different row ratio on vertisols under rainfed condition.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural and Horticultural Research station, Babbur farm, Hiriyur during kharif 2017 under rainfed condition. The station is situated at 13° 57’ 32” North latitude and 70° 37’ 38” East longitude and an altitude of 606 meters above mean sea level (MSL). The soil of the experimental site is vertisol with slightly alkaline pH (8.10), organic carbon (1.90 g kg⁻¹), available nitrogen (258 kg ha⁻¹), available phosphorus (35 kg ha⁻¹) and available potassium (315 kg ha⁻¹). Intercropping of millets like finger millet (ML-365), little millet (Sukshema) and foxtail millet (HMT 100-1) with groundnut (G-2-52) in 5:2 and 6:1 row proportion on vertisols was studied under rainfed condition. The treatments included in the experiment were T₁: Sole groundnut, T₂: Sole finger millet, T₃: Sole little millet, T₄: Sole foxtail millet, T₅: Groundnut + finger millet (5:2), T₆: Groundnut + little millet (5:2), T₇: Groundnut + foxtail millet (5:2), T₈: Groundnut + finger millet (6:1), T₉: Groundnut + little millet (6:1) and T₁₀: Groundnut + foxtail millet (6:1). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The data on growth and yield attributes were recorded. Statistical analysis was done as per the methodology suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Results and Discussion

Groundnut pod yield obtained in sole and intercropping treatments varied significantly. Among various treatments significantly higher groundnut pod yield (1,862 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in sole groundnut. This could be due to higher plant population and competition free environment as compared to intercropped groundnut which resulted better growth and yield parameters. Similar results were reported by Shalim et al., (2003). Among the intercropping treatments, groundnut + foxtail millet (6:1) recorded significantly higher groundnut pod yield and groundnut equivalent yield (1,744 kg ha⁻¹, 1,876 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the groundnut + little millet (1,683 kg ha⁻¹, 1,822 kg ha⁻¹) and groundnut + finger millet (1,590 kg ha⁻¹, 1,809 kg ha⁻¹) under same row ratio. This might be due to higher yield of groundnut in the intercropping system and thereby envisages effective utilization of the resources along with millets. Similar findings have been reported by Shivakumar and Yadahalli (1996). All intercropping treatments recorded the higher land equivalent ratio than the sole crop (Willey and Mead 1980). The obvious reason for yield advantage in the intercropping system was due to the fact that the component crops differed in utilisation of growth resources and converting them more efficiently resulting in a higher yield per unit
area than that produced by the sole crops (Patil et al., 2010). Among the various treatments, groundnut + foxtail millet (5:2) recorded significantly higher land equivalent ratio (1.16) which was on par with the groundnut + foxtail millet and groundnut + finger millet with 6:1 row ratio (1.14 and 1.13 respectively). These results are in conformity with Ahmad and Prasad (1996) findings. Highest of monetary advantage index was recorded in groundnut + foxtail millet (10,536 Rs. ha$^{-1}$) followed by groundnut + foxtail millet (10,254 Rs. ha$^{-1}$) under 5:2 and 6:1 row ratio respectively. These results are in confirmation with the findings of Padhi et al., (2010) (Table 1).

Table 1 Pod yield, groundnut equivalent yield and yield advantage as influenced by the intercropping of groundnut and millets at different row proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Groundnut Pod Yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Millet Grain Yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Groundnut equivalent yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Land equivalent ratio</th>
<th>Monetary advantage index (Rs. ha$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1: Sole groundnut</td>
<td>1862</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1862</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Sole finger millet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: Sole little millet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: Sole foxtail millet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: Groundnut + finger millet (5:2)</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: Groundnut + little millet(5:2)</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>1569</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>6351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Groundnut + foxtail millet (5:2)</td>
<td>1486</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>10536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8: Groundnut + finger millet (6:1)</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9: Groundnut + little millet (6:1)</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>9330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10: Groundnut + foxtail millet (6:1)</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>10254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Em±</td>
<td>94.62</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>89.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD at 5%</td>
<td>285.8</td>
<td>186.19</td>
<td>264.97</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The present study clearly indicate that highest pod yield and groundnut equivalent yield was recorded in groundnut + foxtail millet (6:1). However, land equivalent ratio and monetary advantage index was found to be higher in groundnut + foxtail millet (5:2).

References


How to cite this article: