

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.707.341>

Role of Glycine Betaine and Potassium Nitrate in Drought Tolerance using Proline Accumulation in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Nitish Sharma¹, A.K. Singh¹, Nikita Nehal^{1*}, Krishna Kumar Mishra² and Mayanker Singh¹

¹Department of Crop Physiology, ²Department of Post-Harvest Technology, NDU&T, Kumarganj, Faizabad-224229, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Present investigation was carried out in the rainout shelter at Student Instructional Farm (SIF), Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) during *Kharif* (wet season) 2015 and 2016. Experiments were laid out in randomized block design with 3 replications, one variety *i.e.* Swarna *Sub 1* and nine foliar treatments. Rice plants were exposed to drought at 60 DAT for 15 days by holding irrigation during drought treatment. During drought treatment soil moisture tension of the field was ranged from 60-80 kPa. Foliar application of different concentrations of glycine betaine (100 and 200 ppm) applied at 60 DAT and different concentrations of KNO₃ (2 and 3%) applied at 30 DAT and at 60 DAT as well as their combination increased chlorophyll, proline content in leaves. Increased proline accumulation with foliar treatments during drought provides resistance against reactive oxygen species and protected the quaternary structure of proteins thus prevented oxidative damage to membranes and enhanced Antioxidative defense system under osmotic stress and ultimately produces higher test weight as compared to control with distilled water treatment and exposed to drought. However, among all the treatments, T₇ (foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT) showed maximum chlorophyll content while maximum proline content and test weight found in T₉(foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT) during both the years. Thus, it may be concluded that combination of KNO₃ @ 2% applied at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm applied at 60 DAT can be used to improve test weight under drought at flowering stage in rice by enhancing proline accumulation.

Keywords

Rice, Biochemical, Yield, Drought, Glycine betaine and potassium nitrate

Article Info

Accepted:

20 June 2018

Available Online:

10 July 2018

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a major staple food crop in many parts of the world, feeding more than three billion people and providing 50-80 % of their daily calories intake (Khush, 2005). It is a drought susceptible crop exhibiting

serious deleterious effects when exposed to water stress at critical growth stages especially at reproductive stage (Suriyan *et al.*, 2010). Drought is one of the major abiotic stresses that's everely affect and reduce the yield and productivity offood crops worldwide up to 70% (Kaur *et al.*, 2008; Thakur *et al.*, 2010;

Akram *et al.*, 2013). The response of plants to drought stress is complex and involves changes in their morphology, physiology and metabolism. Reduction of plant growth is the most typical symptom of drought stress (Sairam and Srivastava, 2001).

Increased production of compatible solutes (known as osmolytes) in response to drought stress is generally observed in a variety of plants. These compatible solutes are highly soluble organic compounds, with nontoxic nature at higher concentration and carry no net charge at physiological pH. Further, these are accumulated in form of betaines, polyols, oligosaccharides, aminoacids etc. in higher plants. Glycinebetaine (GB) is one such osmolyte whose association with tolerance to abiotic stresses has been supported by a number of publications (Manaf, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2010; Park *et al.*, 2007). The researches on manipulation of GB biosynthetic pathway by genetic transformation and exogenous application are in continuous progress. However, exogenous foliar application of GB represents a short and simple approach for mitigating the adverse effects of stress.

According to IPIOUAT-IPNI Intern Symposium (2009) mineral-nutrient status of plants has major role in its adaptation to stress. K plays a vital role in improving the plant resistance. K regularizes physiological processes like photosynthesis, translocation of cations into sink organs, regulation of turgor pressure and enzymes activation (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). During stress condition, ROS formation was induced and oxidative damage to cells occurred and requirement for K was increased (Foyer *et al.*, 2002). This enhanced need for K by plants suffering from drought stress showed that K is required for photosynthetic and CO₂ fixation, because water deficit caused stomatal closure and decreased the CO₂ fixation. Mengel and Kirkby (2001) observed that due to low K

concentration, ROS production was induced during water deficit which caused disturbance in stomatal opening. Low grain yield resulting from water deficit could be overcome by increasing K supply (Damon and Rengel, 2007). Results reviewed in this section indicate that under water limited conditions, yield losses can be minimized by the sufficient supply of K.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out in the rainout shelter (25 m length and 7.5 m width) of the Student Instructional Farm (SIF), Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) during *Kharif* (wet season) 2015 and 2016. Experiments were laid out in randomized block design with three replication and one variety *i.e.* Swarna *Sub I*. Twenty five days old seedlings were transplanted in the rainout shelter. At 60 DAT plants were exposed to drought by holding irrigation for 15 days and rainout shelter was properly covered with the polythene to avoid the rainwater during the drought treatment. During 15 days of drought treatment soil moisture tension was measured and it was ranged from 60-80 kPa, after 15 days of drought treatment field was reirrigated to release drought. The treatments comprised of T₁ (Control- Distilled water spray), T₂ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT), T₃ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 3% at 30 DAT), T₄ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 2% at 60 DAT), T₅ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 3% at 60 DAT), T₆ (foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT), T₇ (foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT), T₈ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT) and T₉ (foliar spray of KNO₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT). Chlorophyll and proline were recorded at before and after drought and test weight was recorded after harvesting. Chlorophyll content of leaf was directly

measured in intact leaves with the help of microprocessor based plant efficiency analyzer model: X55/M-PEA. Third leaf from the top was taken for this purpose. Free proline content in leaves was estimated spectro-photometrically according to the methods of Bates *et al.*, (1973). 1000-grains were counted from the samples of each treatment. These counted grains were weighed and recorded as test weight at 15% moisture level.

Results and Discussion

Data pertaining to chlorophyll content in leaf, influenced by foliar spray of different concentrations of glycine betaine and KNO₃ (Osmoprotectants) alone as well as their combination applied at different stages on rice plants exposed to drought stress at flowering stage (60 DAT) recorded at different growth stages have been presented in Table 1. At 60 days after transplanting (before drought treatment), among all the treatments significant increase in chlorophyll content was recorded in T₃ followed by T₂, T₈ and T₉ in year 2015-16 while rest of the treatments *viz.*, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇ showed non-significant effect over T₁. Likewise in year 2016-17, T₃ registered significant increase in chlorophyll content followed by T₉, T₂ and T₈ while rest of the treatments *viz.*, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇ showed non-significant effect over T₁. At termination of drought (*i.e.* at 75 days after transplanting) show significant increase in chlorophyll content during both the years. However in year 2015-16 among the treatments, maximum chlorophyll content was recorded in T₇ followed by T₈, T₆, T₄ and T₂ while minimum was noted in T₁. Similarly in year 2016-17, maximum chlorophyll content was recorded in T₇ followed by T₈, T₉, T₅, T₃, T₆, T₄ and T₂ while minimum was noted in T₁. Chlorophyll is one of the major components to determine the yield as it is a photosynthetic pigment and helps in the net photosynthesis process. Under drought stress reduction in chlorophyll content

is common. In the present study, the effect of drought stress on chlorophyll content is mitigated with the foliar application of glycine betaine and potassium nitrate. The result indicates that foliar spray of glycine betaine and KNO₃ maintained higher chlorophyll content under drought, might be because of the role of solute in protecting the photosynthetic machinery from oxidative damage Cha-um *et al.*, (2013). Similar results were also found by Shallan *et al.*, (2012) who reported that exogenous application of solutes like glycine betaine, putrescine etc. ameliorate the negative effect of drought by preventing photosynthetic machinery.

Data regarding proline content, influenced by the foliar spray of different concentrations of glycine betaine and KNO₃ (Osmoprotectants) alone as well as their combination applied at different stages on rice plants exposed to drought stress at flowering stage (60 DAT) recorded at different growth stages have been presented in Table 2. Data obtained at 60 days after transplanting (before drought treatment) showed that among all the treatments, maximum proline content was recorded in T₃ followed by T₉, T₈, T₂ while rest of the treatments *viz.*, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇ showed non-significant effect over T₁ in year 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. Moreover, proline content recorded at termination of drought (*i.e.* at 75 days after transplanting) showed that all the treatments significantly increased the proline content during both the years (2015-16 and 2016-17). However in both the year among the treatments, maximum proline content was recorded in T₉ followed by T₈, T₇, T₅, T₃, T₆, T₄, T₂ and while minimum was noted in T₁. Similarly in year 2016-17 among the treatments, maximum proline content was recorded in T₉ followed by T₈, T₇, T₅, T₃, T₆, T₂ and T₄ while minimum was noted in T₁. Proline has been assigned the role of cyst solute, a storage compound or a protective agent for cytoplasmic enzymes and cellular

structure (Pandey and Ganapathy, 1985). Hanson and Hits (1982) suggested that proline accumulation is a consequence of stress induced damage to cells. In plants, the role of proline may not be restricted to that of compatible osmolytes, but proline synthesized during water deficit and salt stress may serve as an organic nitrogen reserve that can be utilized during recovery (Trotel *et al.*,

1989). These results are in agreement with the findings of Farooq *et al.*, (2008), Anjum *et al.*, (2012) and Zhang *et al.*, (2013) who reported that exogenous application of glycine betaine and potassium increased the proline content which can be utilized during recovery and thereby helps to reduce damage to plant cells and to maintain membrane integrity.

Table.1 Effect of foliar spray of glycine betaine and KNO₃ on chlorophyll content (SPAD Value) in leaves of rice plants exposed to 60-80 kPa drought stress at 60 DAT

Stage → Treatments ↓Year →		60 DAT		75 DAT	
		2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
T ₁	: Untreated	12.31	13.47	10.22	11.20
T ₂	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT	14.44	15.27	11.17	12.09
T ₃	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 30 DAT	15.17	15.91	11.74	12.65
T ₄	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 60 DAT	12.77	13.09	11.30	12.27
T ₅	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 60 DAT	12.34	13.71	12.09	12.71
T ₆	: Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT	11.97	12.99	11.87	12.64
T ₇	: Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT	12.78	13.09	13.65	14.02
T ₈	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT	14.11	15.07	12.82	13.27
T ₉	: Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT	13.92	15.57	12.74	12.96
SEm±		0.26	0.31	0.26	0.28
CD at 5%		0.79	0.94	0.79	0.84

Table.2 Effect of foliar spray of glycine betaine and KNO₃ on proline content($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ fresh weight) in leaves of rice plants exposed to 60-80 kPa drought stress at 60 DAT

Stage → Treatments ↓	Year →	60 DAT		75 DAT	
		2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
T ₁ : Untreated		341.69	356.77	449.83	468.50
T ₂ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT		385.67	404.74	490.56	514.10
T ₃ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 30 DAT		412.05	428.38	544.95	551.44
T ₄ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 60 DAT		347.98	360.33	491.40	504.71
T ₅ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 60 DAT		345.46	354.26	547.79	559.07
T ₆ : Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT		349.23	347.98	523.73	540.64
T ₇ : Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT		356.77	356.77	551.11	577.80
T ₈ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT		388.18	409.53	561.42	582.21
T ₉ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT		392.05	414.66	592.34	621.70
SEm±		7.30	7.83	11.43	11.90
CD at 5%		21.89	23.46	34.25	35.69

Table.3 Effect of foliar spray of glycine betaine and KNO₃ on test weight (g) of rice plants exposed to 60-80 kPa drought stress at 60 DAT

Treatments ↓	Year →	Test weight (g)	
		2015-16	2016-17
T ₁ : Untreated		15.80	16.37
T ₂ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT		17.11	17.91
T ₃ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 30 DAT		17.32	18.24
T ₄ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 60 DAT		17.57	18.39
T ₅ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 3% at 60 DAT		17.89	18.84
T ₆ : Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT		18.12	19.09
T ₇ : Foliar spray of glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT		18.97	19.47
T ₈ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 100 ppm at 60 DAT		19.54	19.94
T ₉ : Foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 2% at 30 DAT and glycine betaine @ 200 ppm at 60 DAT		19.82	20.22
SEm±		0.40	0.41
CD at 5%		1.19	1.22

It is clear from the data presented in Table 3 that all the foliar spray of different concentrations of glycine betaine and KNO₃ (Osmoprotectants) alone as well as their combination applied at different stages on rice plants exposed to drought stress at flowering stage (60 DAT) significantly increased the test weight under drought stress. Data reveal that all the treatments significantly increased test weight under flowering stage drought during both the years (2015-16 and 2016-17). However among the treatments, maximum test weight *i.e.* 19.82 and 20.22 g was recorded in T₉ followed by T₈, T₇, T₆, T₅, T₄, T₃, T₂ while minimum test weight was found in T₁ in year 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. Glycine betaine and potassium nitrate treatment might have improved yield performance of rice under drought stress possibly by better net photosynthetic assimilation (Gupta and Thind, 2015; Kausar *et al.*, 2014).

References

- Akram, H.M., Ali, A., Sattar, A., Rehman, H.S.U. and Bibi, A. (2013). Impact of water deficit stress on various physiological and agronomic traits of three Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L) cultivars. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 23(5): 1415-1423.
- Anjum, S.A., Saleem, M.F., Wang, L.C., Bilal, M.F. and Saeed, A. (2012). Protective role of glycine betaine in maize against drought-induced lipid peroxidation by enhancing capacity of antioxidative system. *Aust J Crop Sci.*, 4: 576–583.
- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P. and Teere, V. (1973). Rapid determinations of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, 39: 205-207.
- Cha-um, S., Samphumphuang, T. and Kirdmanee, C. (2013). Glycine betaine alleviates water deficit stress in *Indica* rice using proline accumulation, photosynthetic efficiencies, growth performances and yield attributes. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, 7(2): 213-218.
- Damon, P. M. and Rengel, Z. (2007). Wheat genotypes differ in potassium efficiency under glasshouse and field conditions. *Aust J Agric Res.* 58: 816-823.
- Farooq M., Basra, S.M.A., Wahid, A., Cheema, Z.A., Cheema, M.A. and Khaliq, A. (2008). Physiological role of exogenously applied glycine betaine to improve drought tolerance in fine grain aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 194: 325–333.
- Foyer, C.H., Vanacker, H., Gomez, L.D. and Harbinson, J. (2002). Regulation of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in maize leaves at optimal and chilling temperatures: review. *Plant Physiol Biochem.* 40: 659-668.
- Gupta, N. and Thind, S.K. (2015). Improving photosynthetic performance of bread wheat under field drought stress by foliar applied glycine betaine. *J Agric Sci Technol.*, 17: 75-86.
- Hanson, A.D. and Hits, E.D. (1982). Metabolic responses of mesophytes to plant water deficits. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol.*, 33: 163-203.
- IPI-OUAT-IPNI Intern Symposium (2009). Potassium. In: Brar MS (ed) Role and benefits in improving nutrient management for food production, quality and reduced environmental damage. Symposium proceedings, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, India, 5.– 7. November 2009, Intern. Potash Institute, Horgen, Switzerland, inpress.
- Kaur, G., Kumar, S., Nayyar, H. and Upadhyaya, H.D. (2008). Cold stress injury during the pod-filling phase in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): effects on quantitative and qualitative components of seeds. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 194(6): 457–

- 464.
- Kausar, N., Nawaz., K., Hussain., K., Bhatti., K.H., Siddiqi., E.H. and Tallat. A. (2014). Effect of exogenous applications of glycine betaine on growth and gaseous exchange attributes of two maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars under saline conditions. *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 29(12): 1559-1565.
- Khush, G.S. (2005). What it will take to feed 5.0 billion rice consumers in 2030. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 59: 1-6.
- Manaf, H.H. (2016). Beneficial effects of exogenous selenium, glycine betaine and seaweed extract on salt stressed cowpea plant. *Ann Agric Sci.* 61: 41-48.
- Mengel K. and Kirkby, E.A. (2001). Principles of Plant Nutrition. 5th ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Pandey, R. and Ganapathy, P.S. (1985). The proline enigma NaCl *Cicer arietinum*. *Plant Science*, 40: 1.3-1.7.
- Park, E.J., Jeknic., Z., Pino., M.T., Murata. N. and Chen. T.H.H (2007). Glycine betaine accumulation is more effective in chloroplasts than in the cytosol for protecting transgenic tomato plants against abiotic stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30: 994-1005.
- Sairam, R.K. and Srivastava, G.C. (2001). Water stress tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): variations in hydrogen peroxide accumulation and antioxidant activity in tolerant and susceptible genotypes. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 186:63-70.
- Shallan, Magdy A., Hassan, Hazem M.M., Namich, Alia A.M. and Ibrahim, Alshaimaa A. (2012). Effect of sodium nitroprusside, putrescine and glycine betaine on alleviation of drought stress in cotton plant. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 12(9): 1252-1265.
- Suriyan, C., Yamgwech, S.Y. and K. Supaibulneatana, K. (2010). Water deficit stress in the productive stage of four indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Pakistan J. Bot.*, 42(5). 3387-3398.
- Thakur, P., Kumar, S., Malik, J.A., Berger, J.D. and Nayyar, H. (2010). Cold stress effects on reproductive development in grain crops: an over view. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 67(3): 429-443.
- Trotel, P., Bouchercu, A., Niograt, M.F. and Laher, F. (1989). Effect of osmo accumulated proline in leaf discs of rape (*Brassica napu* L.) incubated in a medium of low osmolarity. *Pl. Sci.*, 118: 31-45.
- Wang, G.P., Zhang., X.Y., Li, F., Luo. Y. and Wang.W. (2010). Over accumulation of glycine betaine enhances tolerance to drought and heat stress in wheat leaves in the protection of photosynthesis. *Photosynthetica*. 48: 117-126.
- Zhang, L., Gao, M., Zhang, L., Li, B., Han, M., Alva, A.K. and Ashraf, M. (2013). Role of exogenous glycine betaine and humic acid in mitigating drought stress-induced adverse effects in *Malus robusta* seedlings. *Turk J. Bot.*, 37: 920-929.

How to cite this article:

Nitish Sharma, A.K. Singh, Nikita Nehal, Krishna Kumar Mishra and Mayanker Singh. 2018. Role of Glycine Betaine and Potassium Nitrate in Drought Tolerance using Proline Accumulation in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(07): 2916-2922. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.707.341>