

## International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 7 Number 06 (2018)

Journal homepage: <a href="http://www.ijcmas.com">http://www.ijcmas.com</a>



## **Original Research Article**

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.404

# Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield and Economics of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda

Vandana Dwivedi<sup>1\*</sup> and Santosh Agnihotri<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Environmental Biology, A.P.S. University, Rewa-486 001 (M.P.), India <sup>2</sup>Govt. Model Science College, Rewa (M.P.), India

\*Corresponding author

#### ABSTRACT

## Keywords

INM, Growth and Yield Mgt, Guava

**Article Info** 

Accepted: 25 May 2018 Available Online: 10 June 2018 A field experiment was conducted in the guava orchard of a progressive farmer, Barehi, Allahabad Road, Rewa (M.P.) during 2015 and 2016 to study the integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth, yield and economics of guava. The INM treatment  $T_{13}$  (50% NPK (RDF) + 25 kg FYM + 5 kg vermicompost /tree gave maximum plant and canopy height, spread (E-W and N-S), plant girth, leaf length and width and tree volume. This was closely followed by  $T_7$  [100% NPK +  $Z_1$  (0.5%) + B (0.2%)] + Mn (1%) foliar spray twice to organic mulching 10 cm thick) and then  $T_4$  (100% NPK + organic mulching). Maximum number of fruits per tree, fruit weight and yield per tree were recorded under the same treatment  $T_{13}$ , followed by  $T_7$  and then  $T_4$ . All the treatments were significantly superior to control. The treatment  $T_{13}$  recorded maximum fruit yield (91.8 q/ha), as well as net income up to Rs.172520/ha with B: C ratio 4.03. This was equally followed by  $T_7$  and  $T_9$  (88.6 to 89.4 q/ha and Rs.165020.00 and Rs.169220.00/ha).

## Introduction

Guava is one of the most promising fruit crops of India and is considered to be one of the exquisite nutritionally valuable and remunerative crops (Singh et al., 2000). In Rewa region, guava is grown in an area of 277.0 ha with a production of 2019.33 tones and productivity 7.29 tones/ha. The stagnation and decline in the productivity of guava in Rewa region is due to decline in the soil organic matter, over withdrawal of nutrients reserve, loss of nutrients and non-availability of cost effective fertilizers. Research evidences are encourageous towards the integrated use of organic + inorganic +

biofertilizers which may improve the soil productivity and crop yield with better quality (Singh *et al.*, 2011). Vermicompost also contains plant growth regulating materials like humic acid, auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins. Biofertilizers offers economically attractive and ecologically sound modern tool for augmenting nutrient supply to the growing plants. Such information was lacking for Rewa region hence the present work was taken up.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was carried out in the guava Orchard of a Progressive Farmer, Barehi, Allahabad Road, Rewa (M.P.) during 2015 and 2016. The experiment was conducted on clay-loam soil which was neutral in reaction (pH 7.3), high in available nitrogen (341 kg/ha) and phosphorus (26.8 kg/ha) and medium in available potash (288 kg/ha). The experiment consisted of 16 treatments (Table 1) keeping two plants in each treatment, providing total ninety six plants in the experiment. The treatments were arranged in randomized block design and replicated thrice. The guava variety Allahabad Safeda was taken as the test variety. The whole of the FYM and vermicompost was applied as a basal dose on the onset of monsoon. Required doses of fertilizers were applied in two split doses in the month of July and August and then biofertilizers were applied one week after each application of inorganic fertilizer.

#### **Results and Discussion**

## **Vegetative growth**

The vegetative growth characters of 6 years old Allahabad Safeda trees have been evaluated after applying IPNM treatments by recording the observations on plant height, canopy height, circumference of rootstock, plant spread (E-W and N-S), leaf length and width and volume per tree. These vegetative growth parameters i.e. plant height, canopy height and plant girth were influenced upto significant extent due to treatments but it gave non-significant effect on leaf width.

The treatment  $T_{13}$  resulted significant increase in the plant and canopy height, girth, plant spread in both the directions (N-S and E-W) and leaves observations and volume of tree over control and other treatments (Table 1). The second and third best treatments were  $T_7$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. The most beneficial effect of these treatments might be due to plant growth promoters and improvement in the physical, chemical and biological

properties of the soil in long-term on repeated applications. It might have also stimulated soil micro-biological activities.

In fact, leaf is the factory for the conversion of solar energy into the chemical energy by the process of photosynthesis. The adequate supply of multinutrients, resulted in their utilization in the process photosynthesis due to increase in the leaf number and leaf size i.e. photosynthetic area. increased production Thus. the photosynthates (food material) brought about increase in the vegetative growth parameters. Leaf is the principal site of plant metabolism and the changes in nutrients supply are reflected in the composition of leaf.

The present findings corroborate with those of Athani *et al.*, (2007), Naik and Babu (2007), Ram *et al.*, (2007), Ram and Pathak (2007), Kumar *et al.*, (2007), Dutta *et al.*, (2009), Patel *et al.*, (2009), Shukla *et al.*, (2009), Dwivedi (2013) and Agnihotri *et al.*, (2013) who found that vermicompost with FYM and inorganic fertilizers resulted increase in the vegetative growth.

## **Yield parameters**

The fruits/tree and fruit weight as well as the yield of fruits per tree and per hectare were influenced significantly in T<sub>13</sub> (50 per cent dose of recommended fertilizer + 25 kg FYM + 5 kg vermicompost), followed by T<sub>4</sub> (100% NPK + 10 cm thick organic mulching), T<sub>7</sub> (100% dose of recommended fertilizer + Zn + B + Mn + organic mulch), T<sub>9</sub> (75% NPK + micronutrients + organic mulching) and  $T_{14}$ (50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + 250 g Pseudomonas florescence). It might be due to nutritional environment application of organic matter improve the soil health by improving physico-chemical and biological activities and also stimulate soil microbiological activity.

**Table.1** Vegetative growth and yield of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda as influenced by integrated nutrient management (Mean of two years)

S. No.	Treatments	Plant height (m)	Canopy height (m)	Plant girth (m)	Plant spread E-W (m)	Plant spread N-S (m)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Tree volume (m³)	Fruits/ tree	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (kg/ tree)	Fruit yield (q/ha)	Net income (Rs./ha)	B:C ratio
$T_1$	Control (no fertilizers)	2.48	2.08	0.26	2.13	1.89	4.96	2.24	166	128	192	20.2	46.3	73870	2.76
$T_2$	100% NPK (RDF) 500:200:500NPK/tree	3.62	2.65	0.28	3.50	3.36	6.41	3.02	396	181	233	26.8	79.5	147770	3.99
$T_3$	100% NPK + Zn(0.5%)+ B (0.2%)+ Mn(0.1%)	3.65	2.74	0.28	3.54	3.42	6.60	3.14	302	184	237	29.0	80.6	148520	3.80
$T_4$	100% NPK + organic mulching (10 cm thick)	3.77	3.03	0.31	3.76	3.49	6.88	3.05	316	192	243	29.7	87.9	165270	4.03
$T_5$	75% NPK (RDF)	3.61	2.76	0.28	3.65	3.44	5.81	2.91	274	175	221	25.3	76.7	141270	3.80
$T_6$	75% NPK + Zn + B + Mn	3.65	2.70	0.28	3.62	3.49	5.98	2.93	279	180	228	27.1	78.1	143570	3.78
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	100% NPK + Zn + B + Mn + organic mulching	3.86	2.94	0.31	3.79	3.62	6.70	3.31	364	195	252	32.9	88.6	165020	3.92
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NPK + organic mulching	3.72	2.82	0.29	3.67	3.42	6.12	3.04	286	190	229	30.6	79.7	146370	3.77
<b>T</b> <sub>9</sub>	75% NPK + Zn + B + Mn + organic mulching	3.77	2.83	0.30	3.83	3.39	6.43	3.16	306	193	241	32.1	89.4	169220	4.12
$T_{10}$	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + Trichoderma	3.73	2.91	0.28	3.71	3.52	6.11	3.06	300	179	230	28.6	87.5	156920	3.54
T <sub>11</sub>	50% NPK + 50 kg FYM + Azospirillum	3.82	2.94	0.30	3.74	3.55	6.29	3.18	299	176	228	29.1	86.6	154530	3.49
$T_{12}$	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + Azotobacter	3.76	2.76	0.31	3.76	3.60	6.49	3.23	295	174	231	29.6	85.5	151780	3.45
T <sub>13</sub>	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + 5 kg vermicompost	3.93	3.06	0.33	3.85	3.66	6.99	3.51	369	200	258	34.3	91.8	172520	4.03
$T_{14}$	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + Pseudomonas	3.76	2.88	0.31	3.68	3.58	6.15	3.26	302	186	233	27.1	87.0	153820	3.42
T <sub>15</sub>	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + Trichoderma+ Pseudomonas	3.87	2.91	0.28	3.70	3.55	6.37	3.32	334	187	236	28.6	88.1	156570	3.46
T <sub>16</sub>	50% NPK + 25 kg FYM + Aspergillus niger	3.84	2.86	0.27	3.66	3.58	6.00	3.07	318	179	228	26.7	84.3	147570	3.34
	S.Em <u>+</u>	0.21	0.17	0.009	0.33	0.33	0.408	0.30	8.41	4.45	9.70	1.46	2.45		
	C.D. at 5%	1.00	0.80	0.039	1.50	1.53	1.910	NS	39.40	20.83	45.24	6.86	11.47		

Athani *et al.*, (2007 a, b) reported that organic and inorganic fertilizers on guava cv. Sardar. Application of 75% RDF + 10 kg vermicompost was found significant in yield and fruit quality. Ram *et al.*, (2007) reported that the application of different fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizers improve the vegetative growth, number of fruits and yield of guava cv. Sardar. Similar findings have been reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2009), Dutta *et al.*, (2009), Patel *et al.*, (2009), Shukla *et al.*, (2009), Agnihorti *et al.*, (2013) and Dwivedi (2013).

Mulching is very beneficial. It reduces the loss of moisture from soil, enhances the rate of penetration of run water and control of growth of weeds, thus eliminating the competition between the weeds and the guava trees. It also encourage the development of better root system of young guava plants. Verma *et al.*, (2005) found that response of mulching materials method of P and K fertilizers in apple cv. Red Delicious. It was conspicuous and significant in yield and soil health.

Application of integrated fertilizers, organic manure and biofertilizers as in T<sub>7</sub> to T<sub>16</sub> also increased the yield in guava cv. Allahabad Safeda. It may be due to increased the rhizosphere microbial activity and larger quantity of nutrients of the soil. Ram *et al.*, (2007) found that application of different fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizer improve the vegetative growth, number of fruits and yield of guava cv. Sardar. The similar effect were found by Monga *et al.*, (2002), Mitra *et al.*, (2007), Agnihotri *et al.*, (2013) and Dwivedi (2013).

### Yield and economics

Yield attributes and therefore economics of different treatments were significantly influenced by the application of organic manures, inorganic fertilizes, biofertilizers and their combinations. The treatment  $T_{13}$ gave the highest yield (91.8 q), followed by  $T_7$  (89.4 q),  $T_{14}$  (88.1 q) and  $T_6$  (88.6 q/ha), whereas the lowest yield was obtained under T<sub>1</sub> (46.3 q/ha). Eventually the similar trend was also observed regarding the economics of different treatments. The maximum net profit per hectare was obtained from T<sub>13</sub> (Rs. 172520/ha), followed by T<sub>9</sub> (Rs.169220/ha) and then T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>, while it was minimum under T<sub>1</sub> control (Rs.73870/ha). Benefit: Cost ratios were also in the higher range in these treatments. The higher income was due to higher fruit yield in these treatments. Shukla et al., (2009) observed that the combined application of 50 per cent dose recommended NPK + 50 kg FYM + 250 g Azotobactor gave significantly higher yield per plant 28.95 kg with higher B: C ratio 2.53. Similar findings have been reported by Athani et al., (2007), Dwivedi et al., (2010) and Binepal et al., (2013).

## References

Agnihotri A, Tiwari R and Singh OP. 2013. Effect of crop regulators on growth, yield and quality of guava. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 15(1): 54-57.

Athani SI, Praburaj HS, Ustad AI, Swamy GSK, Patil PB and Kotikal YK. 2007 a. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, leaf, major nutrient and chlorophyll content and yield of guava cv. Sardar. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 735: 381-385.

Athani SI, Ustad AI, Praburaj HS, Swamy GSK, Patil PB and Kotikal YK. 2007 b. Influence of vermicompost on growth, fruit yield and quality of guava cv. Sardar. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 735: 386-388.

Binepal MK, Tiwari R and Kumawat BR. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on physio-chemical

- parameters of guava under Malwa plateau conditions of Madhya Pradesh. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 15(1): 47-49.
- Dutta P, Moji SB and Das BS. 2009. Studies on the response of biofertilizer on growth and productivity of guava. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 66(1): 39-42.
- Dwivedi DH, Rubee L and Ram RB. 2010. Effect of biofertilizers and organic manures on yield and quality of guava cv. Red fleshed. The Scientific Temper 193: 193-198.
- Dwivedi, V. (2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of guava. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 15(2): 149-151.
- Kumar P. and Rehalia AS. 2007. Standardization of micronutrient ranges in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) by orchard survey. The Asian Journal of Horticulture 2(1): 218-221.
- Mitra SK, Gurung MR and Pathak PK. 2007. Integrated nutrient management in high density guava orchards. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 849: International Symposium on Guava and other Myrtaceae.
- Monga PK, Kumar H, Vij VK and Aulakh PS. 2002. Effect of NPK on yield and fruit quality of sweet orange cv. Jobba. Indian Journal of Horticulture 59(4): 378-381.
- Naik MH and Sri Hari Babu R. 2007. Feasibility of organic farming in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 735: 365-372.

- Patel VB, Singh SK, Asrey R, Nain L, Singh AK and Singh L. 2009. Microbial and inorganic fertilizers application influenced vegetative growth, yield, leaf, nutrient status and soil microbial biomass in sweet orange cv. Mosambi. Indian Journal of Horticulture 66: 163-168.
- Ram RA and Pathak RK. 2007 b. Integration of organic farming practices for sustainable production of guava. A case study. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 735: 357-363.
- Ram RA, Bhringuvanshi SR, Garg N and Pathak RK 2007a. Studies on organic production of guava (*Psidium guava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS) 735: 373-379.
- Shukla AK, Sarolia DK, Kumari B, Kaushik RA, Mahawere LN and Bairwa HL. 2009. Evaluation of substrate dynamics for integrated nutrient management under high density planting of guava cv. Sardar. Indian Journal of Horticulture 66(4): 461-463.
- Singh G, Singh AK, and Verma A. 2000. Economic evaluation of crop regulation treatment in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Indian Journal of Agriculture Science 70: 226-230.
- Singh TK, Dwivedi V and Singh DB. (2011). Integrated nutrient management of guava. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences 45 (4):923-925.
- Verma ML, Bhadewaj SP, Thakur BC and Bhandari AR. 2005. Nutritional and mulching studies in apple. Indian Journal of Horticulture 62(4): 332-335.

## How to cite this article:

Vandana Dwivedi and Santosh Agnihotri. 2018. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield and Economics of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(06): 3449-3453. doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.404