

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.094>

## Yield and Economic Impact of Different Crop Establishment Methods and Weed Management Practices on Rice

A. Ravi Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Madhavi<sup>2</sup>, G. Pratibha<sup>3</sup> and T. Ram Prakash<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad-500030, India

<sup>2</sup>AICRP on weed management, WTC, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad-500030, India

<sup>3</sup>Division of Crop Science, CRIDA, Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad-500030, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, during *kharif*-2016. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The treatments consisted of three establishment methods of rice *viz.*, transplanted rice, direct seeded rice and aerobic rice, five levels of weed management practices *viz.*, Farmers method (Hand weeding 20 and 40 DAT/DAS), bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 9.3% EC 62 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + 2, 4-D 80% WP 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, penoxsulam+ pendimethalin 25% SE 25 g + 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup>PE at 4-7 DAS/DAT, pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT/ DAS and unweeded control. The results from present investigation depicts that the direct seeded rice and transplanted rice recorded highest grain yield, straw yield and harvest index. Pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS/T, though it was at par with hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS/T produced significantly more grain yield, straw yield and harvest index as compared to other treatments and the maximum gross and net return was recorded under crop raised through direct seeded rice in puddled condition in combination with application of pretilachlor as PE fb HW at 20and 40 DAS/T over rest of the treatments. The benefit: cost ratio was found highest underdirect rice in puddled condition followed by transplanted rice with the application of pendimethalin +penoxsulam as PE at 4-7 DAS/T over rest of the treatments.

#### Keywords

Transplanted,  
Direct wet seeded,  
Aerobic rice,  
Economics, Yield

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
04 May 2018  
Available Online:  
10 June 2018

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important cereal crop and a staple food for one third of the world population. It is necessary to increase its production and productivity in order to meet the growing demand of rice by our increasing population. The total area of

rice in India is 44.1 million hectares, with a production and productivity of 105.3 million tonnes and 2.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, 2015-16). Telangana contributes 14.15 lakh hectares area annually with a production of 45.45 lakh tons, with an average productivity of 3211 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2015-2016.

(Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, 2015-16). In India, transplanting is the common method of establishing rice crop. However, this method is not much profitable due to several reasons such as labour shortage, power crisis and water shortage due to late release of water into the canals, higher cost of cultivation and delayed monsoon showers. This forced to identify alternate methods of rice cultivation without reduction in yield in addition to saving energy, water and time. Further, rice production under current inputs and technology fails to meet the projected demand thus, there is an urgent need to increase rice productivity per unit area in the world. Direct seeding is one of the alternative methods to transplanting, as it reduces labour requirement and performs as good as transplanting method at many places. Uncontrolled weed growth in transplanted rice causes 45-51% loss in yield (Veeraputhiran and Balasubramanian, 2013), whereas weed growth under direct seeded rice causes yield losses up to 80% (Jabran *et al.*, 2012). Several herbicides have been proved successful to control weeds in transplanted rice. Most of the herbicides in rice are used to control grassy weeds effectively, but sedges and broad leaved weeds are also the major weeds to reduce the yield. So change in rice cultivation methods and devise efficient weed management practice to cater the future needs of the rice production is the need of hour.

## Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at College Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The farm is geographically situated at 17°19' 16.4" North latitude and 78° 24' 43" East longitudes and at an altitude of 542.3 m above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture with pH 7.85, low in available nitrogen (213.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high phosphorus (36.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (379.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three

replications. The treatments consisted of three establishment methods of rice *viz.*, transplanted rice, direct seeded rice and aerobic rice, five levels of weed management practices *viz.*, Farmers method (Hand weeding 20 and 40 DAT/DAS), bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 9.3% EC 62 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + 2, 4-D 80% WP 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, pendimethalin + penoxsulam 25% SE 25 g + 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> PE at 4-7 DAS/DAT, pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT/ DAS and unweeded control. In aerobic rice, seeds were sown at 20 cm apart.

While, in direct seeded rice (under puddled condition) sprouted seeds were sown in line manually at 20 cm. The recommended nursery area (20 cents ha<sup>-1</sup>) was puddled, leveled and the sprouted seeds (40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were broadcasted uniformly with a thin film of standing water. The nursery was managed well with irrigation. Uniform dose of 150, 60 and 60 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea, SSP and muriate of potash. Nitrogen was applied in three equal splits at transplanting, maximum tillering stage and panicle initiation stage. The recommended dose of phosphorous was applied as basal dose at the time of transplanting and potassium was applied in two splits at transplanting and panicle initiation stage.

To work out the economics of different crop establishment methods and weed control treatments information on the existing market price of different herbicides and inputs was used. Labour units required for hand weeding and herbicide application was considered in addition to regular components of the cost of cultivation. Cost of labour was calculated by taking into account the prevailing labour wages at the time of investigation. Harvest index (%), gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio (expressed in rupees) were worked out by using the following formulae.

$$\text{HI (\%)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Biological yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

Where, biological yield = Grain yield + Straw yield

Gross return = Grain yield x market rate of grain + straw yield x market rate of straw.

Net returns = Gross returns – total cost of cultivation

$$\text{Benefit Cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross returns}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}}$$

## Results and Discussion

### Effect on yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index

Different methods of establishment significantly influenced the grain and straw yield. Data depicted in the Table 1 show that the highest grain and straw yield was recorded in direct seeding and it was on par with transplanted rice. These two treatments were significantly superior to aerobic rice. This might be due to submerged conditions in direct wet seeded rice and transplanted rice that facilitated availability of more mineralized form of N, P and K uptake than that of aerobic rice which encouraged tiller production and contributed to higher dry matter production and grain yield. Similar type of findings was reported by Parameswari and Sreenivas (2014). Weed management practices also exerted significant influence on grain and straw yield. Pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS/T, though it was at par with hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS/T produced significantly more grain yield, straw yield and harvest index as compared to other treatments. This might be due to weed free environment created from early stage to till harvest which led to less competition by weeds and

minimum nutrient removal by weeds which might have increased the capacity of nutrient uptake and enhanced the source and sink sizes which in turn increased the yield attributes. The results are in agreement with the findings of Nandal *et al.*, (1999), Singh *et al.*, (2006) and Sunil *et al.*, (2010).

Interaction between crop establishment methods and weed management practices was significant with regard to grain yield and straw yield. Pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT/ DAS being on par with farmer's method (HW at 20 and 40 DAS/DAT) and pendimethalin + penoxsulam as PE resulted significantly higher grain yield and straw yield than other weed management practices under all the rice establishment methods. Higher grain and straw yield obtained due to interaction of establishment methods and weed management practices could be due to effective control of weeds and higher number of panicles and diversion of resources towards sink and source. Similar results were also reported by Ganesh (1999) and Khare *et al.*, (2014).

### Economics

The data presented in the Table 2 depicts that among various rice establishment methods, the highest gross return was obtained under WSR (86898 ₹. ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with transplanted rice (84604₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior over aerobic rice (58833 ₹. ha<sup>-1</sup>). Under different weed management practices, gross returns were higher under pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice was on par with farmers method and both were significantly superior over bispyribac sodium as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + 2, 4-D and pendimethalin + penoxsulam as PE. The maximum gross returns was received under the treatment combination of crop raised through sprouted seeds under puddled condition with crop kept in weed free situation followed by hand weeding twice.

**Table.1** Effect of establishment methods and weed management practices on grain yield, straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Harvest Index (%) in rice

Treatments		Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest Index	
Establishment methods	Weed management practices				
M <sub>1</sub> -Transplanted rice	S <sub>1</sub>	5868	6903	47.56	
	S <sub>2</sub>	5067	6279	44.23	
	S <sub>3</sub>	5741	6837	45.33	
	S <sub>4</sub>	5906	7070	49.33	
	S <sub>5</sub>	3100	4021	41.22	
M <sub>2</sub> -Direct seeded rice (In puddled condition)	S <sub>1</sub>	5227	6321	46.42	
	S <sub>2</sub>	5150	5907	43.71	
	S <sub>3</sub>	5138	6249	45.06	
	S <sub>4</sub>	5872	6627	48.10	
	S <sub>5</sub>	3909	4799	42.42	
M <sub>3</sub> -Aerobic rice	S <sub>1</sub>	3924	4812	43.82	
	S <sub>2</sub>	3807	4565	41.44	
	S <sub>3</sub>	3820	4593	42.42	
	S <sub>4</sub>	3940	4845	42.82	
	S <sub>5</sub>	1935	2524	38.44	
<b>MEAN</b>					
<b>Establishment methods (Main plots)</b>					
M <sub>1</sub> -Transplanted rice		5060	5980	46.85	
M <sub>2</sub> -Direct seeded rice (In puddled condition)		5136	6222	47.21	
M <sub>3</sub> -Aerobic rice		3486	4268	41.92	
<b>Weed management practices (Sub plots)</b>					
S <sub>1</sub>		5007	6012	46.14	
S <sub>2</sub>		4676	5584	45.51	
S <sub>3</sub>		4900	5893	45.94	
S <sub>4</sub>		5239	6180	46.75	
S <sub>5</sub>		2981	3781	42.29	
		<b>SE</b> (m)±	<b>CD</b> (P =0.05)	<b>SE</b> (m)±	<b>CD</b> (P =0.05)
<b>Establishment methods</b>		<b>153.9</b>	<b>604.2</b>	<b>152.2</b>	<b>597.6</b>
<b>Weed management practices</b>		<b>102.8</b>	<b>300.2</b>	<b>115.7</b>	<b>338.1</b>
<b>SUB AT MAIN</b>		338	592	283	586
<b>MAIN AT SUB</b>		214	474	280	662

NA\*-not analysed

S<sub>1</sub>- Farmers method (Hand weeding 20 and 40 DAT/DAS), S<sub>2</sub>-Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 9.3% EC 62 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 2, 4-D 80% WP 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>-Pendimethalin + Penoxsulam 25 g + 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> PE at 4-7 DAS/DAT, S<sub>4</sub>- Pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT/ DAS, S<sub>5</sub>- Unweeded control.

**Table.2** Effect of establishment methods and weed management practices on economics

Treatments		Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio		
Establishment methods	Weed management practices						
M <sub>1</sub> -Transplanted Rice	S <sub>1</sub>	42466	98973	60288	2.08		
	S <sub>2</sub>	38560	85934	51156	2.20		
	S <sub>3</sub>	37966	96946	62761	2.29		
	S <sub>4</sub>	41815	99787	61754	2.36		
	S <sub>5</sub>	34466	52854	22169	1.87		
M <sub>2</sub> -Direct seeded rice (In puddled condition)	S <sub>1</sub>	38684	88421	45954	2.56		
	S <sub>2</sub>	34778	84657	46096	2.47		
	S <sub>3</sub>	34184	86968	49001	2.84		
	S <sub>4</sub>	38033	98610	56794	2.62		
	S <sub>5</sub>	30684	64369	29903	1.72		
M <sub>3</sub> -Aerobic rice	S <sub>1</sub>	36236	65446	29209	1.81		
	S <sub>2</sub>	30330	64345	34015	2.12		
	S <sub>3</sub>	29736	64585	34848	2.17		
	S <sub>4</sub>	34585	66779	32193	1.93		
	S <sub>5</sub>	26236	33013	6776	1.26		
<b>MEAN</b>							
<b>Establishment methods (Main plots)</b>							
M <sub>1</sub> -Transplanted rice		39055	84604	45550	2.16		
M <sub>2</sub> -Direct seeded rice (In puddled condition)		35273	86898	51626	2.44		
M <sub>3</sub> -Aerobic rice		31425	58833	27408	1.86		
<b>Weed management practices (Sub plots)</b>							
S <sub>1</sub>		39129	84279	45151	2.15		
S <sub>2</sub>		34556	78312	43756	2.26		
S <sub>3</sub>		33962	82832	48870	2.43		
S <sub>4</sub>		38145	88392	50247	2.30		
S <sub>5</sub>		30462	50078	19616	1.62		
		<b>SE (m)±</b>	<b>CD (P =0.05)</b>	<b>SE (m)±</b>	<b>CD (P =0.05)</b>	<b>SE (m)±</b>	<b>CD (P =0.05)</b>
<b>Establishment methods</b>		NA*	NA	2454	9895	2454	9637
<b>Weed management practices</b>		NA	NA	1673	4914	1673	4885
<b>SUB AT MAIN</b>		NA	NA	2899	8462	2899	8462
<b>MAIN AT SUB</b>		NA	NA	2657	10401	2588	10401

NA\*-not analysed

S<sub>1</sub>- Farmers method (Hand weeding 20 and 40 DAT/DAS), S<sub>2</sub>-Bispyribac sodium 10% SC 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 9.3% EC 62 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 2, 4-D 80% WP 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>-Pendimethalin + Penoxsulam 25 g + 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> PE at 4-7 DAS/DAT, S<sub>4</sub>- Pretilachlor 50 % EC 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE fb Hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT/ DAS, S<sub>5</sub>- Unweeded control.



The maximum net return was received under direct seeded rice (51626 ₹ . ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with transplanted rice (45550 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior over aerobic rice (27408 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>). Under different weed management practices, net returns were higher under pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice (50247 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with pendimethalin + penoxsulam as PE (48870 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior over Farmers method (45151 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and bispyribac sodium as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + 2, 4-D (43756 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>). The maximum net return was received under the treatment combination of crop raised through sprouted seeds under puddled condition and pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice.

The benefit: cost ratio was found the highest under direct seeded rice (2.44) which was followed by transplanted rice (2.16) and least benefit cost ratio was recorded under aerobic rice (1.86). Under different weed management practices, benefit: cost ratio was found the highest under pendimethalin + penoxsulam as PE (2.43) which was closely followed by under pretilachlor as PE fb hand weeding twice (2.30) and bispyribac sodium as PE fb fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + 2, 4-D (2.26). Farmers method (Hand weeding twice) (2.15) recorded a lower B:C ratio (1.89) which could be due to higher cost involved in engaging human labour for weeding, whereas unweeded control recorded significantly lowest B:C ratio (1.11) over other treatments. This is due to lower grain yield due to heavy weed competition. These results are in conformity with Veeraputhiran and Balasubramanian (2013), Hossain *et al.*, (2014) and Uma *et al.*, (2014).

In the present investigation, an attempt was made to study the effect of different establishment methods, herbicide mixtures and sequential application of herbicides on weed control, growth and yield of rice and the

following can be concluded that direct seeded rice under puddled condition or transplanting methods in combination with pre emergence application of pretilachlor 50% EC fb hand weeding or pendimethalin + penoxsulam 25% SE recorded highest grain yield, straw yield, harvest index and was economical which can be recommended for better weed control rice under solutions of labour scarce.

## References

- Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. 2015-16. *www.India stat.com*
- Ganesh, H. V. 1999. Evaluation of different establishment methods and system of cultivation on yield and water use in hybrid rice. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 33(4): 317-322.
- Hossain, A., Duary, B and Mondal, D. C. 2010. Effect of weed management under different methods of rice establishment in the lateritic soil of West Bengal. *Biennial Conference on "Recent Advances in Weed Science Research -2010"*, February 25-26, 2010, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (CG). 63p.
- Jabran, K., Farooq M., Hussain, E., Khan, M. B., Shahid, M and Janlee, D. 2012. Efficient weeds control with penoxsulam application ensures higher productivity and economic returns of direct seeded rice. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*. 14: 901-7.
- Khare, T.R., Sharma, R and Sobhana, V. 2014. Control of complex weed flora in direct-seeded and transplanted rice with early post emergence herbicide. *Oryza*. 51 (1): 96-99.
- Nandal, D.P., Hari Om and Dhiman, S. D. 1999. Management of weeds with herbicides in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 30(1&2): 75-77.

- Parameswari, Y. S and Srinivas, A. 2014. Influence of Weed Management Practices on Nutrient Uptake and Productivity of Rice under Different Methods of Crop Establishment. *Journal of Rice Research*. Vol. 7(1 & 2): 77- 86.
- Singh, P and Singh S.S. 2006. Effect of establishment method, fertility level and weed-management practices on aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 51(4): 288-292.
- Sunil C.M., Shekara B.G., Kalyanamurthy K.N and Shankaralingappa, B.C. 2010. Growth and yield of Aerobic as influenced by Integrated Weed Management Practices. *Indian Journal of Weed science* 42 (3&4): 180-183.
- Uma, G., Venkata Ramana, M., Pratap Kumar Reddy, A and Ram Prakash, T. 2014. Evaluation of low dose herbicides in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 5 (4): 96-101.
- Veeraputhira, R and Balasubramanian, R. 2013. Evaluation of bispyribac-sodium in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 45(1): 12–15.

**How to cite this article:**

Ravi Kumar, A., M. Madhavi, G. Pratibha and Ram Prakash, T. 2018. Yield and Economic Impact of Different Crop Establishment Methods and Weed Management Practices on Rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(06): 803-809. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.094>