

## Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.705.005>

## Studies on Effect of Different Plant Spacing with Respect to Growth, Yield and Quality of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*. L) under North Gujarat Conditions

T. Tejaswini\*, L.R. Varma, P. Verma, D.M. Thakur and F.B. Vani

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, SD Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar – 385506, Gujarat, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

Broccoli, Spacings, Growth, Growth attributes, Yield and quality parameters

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
04 April 2018  
Available Online:  
10 May 2018

The present investigation was carried out at Horticulture Instructional Farm, CP College of Agriculture, SD Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat to find out the effect of different plant spacing on growth, yield and quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*. L). Three plant spacings viz., S<sub>1</sub> – 30 cm × 30 cm, S<sub>2</sub> – 45 cm × 30 cm and S<sub>3</sub> – 45 cm × 45 cm was analyzed statistically on growth, growth attributes, yield and quality parameters of broccoli and are summarized below. The results revealed that the treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm x 30 cm) attained significantly maximum plant height at transplanting (21.90 cm), at 45 DAT (39.35 cm) and at harvest (57.67 cm), minimum number of days taken for head initiation (61.48) and head harvest (81.37), maximum yield per plot (5.73 kg) and yield per hectare (219.36 q). Whereas significantly maximum plant spread E-W (50.36 cm) & N-S (49.91 cm) at 45 DAT & at harvest E-W (62.15 cm) & N-S (62.12 cm), highest head diameter (14.10 cm), fresh weight of head (275.53 g), total dry matter content of the head (19.81 %), crude protein content (2.91%), potassium content (464.2 mg) and calcium content (42.65 mg) were recorded with the wider plant spacing treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm x 45 cm).

### Introduction

Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) is an important fancy and highly nutritive exotic vegetable. It is a member of cruciferous family having many important vegetables such as Cauliflower, Cabbage, Knol-khol, Brussels sprout, Kale and Chinese cabbage. It is also known as winter broccoli or heading broccoli or Italian broccoli. It is considered to be originated from wild cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* var. *oleracea* (syn. *Brassica oleracea*

var. *Sylvestris* L.), which is found growing wild along the Mediterranean Sea. Broccoli is a rich source of sulphoraphane which is associated with reducing the risk of cancer (Guo *et al.*, 2001). The primary inflorescence was characterized by higher levels of dry matter, total nitrogen, vitamin-C, chlorophylls, β-carotene, carotenoids and by lower levels of nitrates.

Nutritionally, it is rich in vitamin-A (2500 I.U.), vitamin-C (113 mg), protein (3.6 g),

carbohydrates (5.9 g) and minerals like calcium (103 mg), iron (1.1 mg), phosphorous (78 mg), potassium (382 mg) and sodium (15 mg) per 100 gm of edible portion (Rana, 2008). After harvesting the head, its green leaves are also a good source of nutritious green fodder and serves in acute shortage in winter season (Kumar *et al.*, 2007).

In India, it is being used as a fresh vegetable, where as in USA and European countries it is used as fresh as well as frozen form. It is usually boiled or steamed but may be eaten raw as salad and is liked in soups. The anti-cancer benefits of broccoli are greatly reduced if the vegetable is boiled. However, other preparation method such as steaming, microwaving and frying had no significant effect on the constituent compounds (Jeffery, 2005).

There are two types of broccoli, heading and sprouting. Most common broccoli is of the heading type which is closely related to cauliflower and forms a large central head. Sprouting or Italian broccoli form many florets or small heads but they do not produce a solid head. Its edible portion consists of immature, fully-differentiated flower buds and tender portions of the upper stem.

The growth, yield and quality of crop plants are mainly influenced by two principal factors viz., genetical and cultural or management factors. The first factor deals with the various breeding techniques for the improvement in crop varieties. The second factor deals with the horticultural practises viz., plant population, date of planting, fertilizer dose, irrigation, and weed control etc. Among them plant population per unit area is very important.

Plant population per unit area plays an important role in growth and development of the crop. Optimum plant spacing is one of the

important factors in increasing the yield and quality of crops. Therefore, present studies were aimed at promotion of high valued broccoli by identifying and standardization of optimum plant spacing to obtain better growth, yield and quality of broccoli is important for North Gujarat.

## **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was executed at Horticulture Instructional Farm, CP College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat during the year 2015-16. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications. The experimental area was divided into plots of 2.70 m x 1.80 m size. The experiment was carried out with three different plant spacing (S<sub>1</sub>- 30 cm × 30 cm, S<sub>2</sub>- 45 cm × 30 cm and S<sub>3</sub>- 45 cm × 45 cm). To raise the crop recommended package of practices was followed. The date of seed sowing in nursery bed was on 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2015 and date of transplanting on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2015 during Rabi season. The effect of different plant spacing treatments was studied and data recorded on germination, plant height (at transplanting, 45 days after transplanting, at harvest), number of leaves (45 days after transplanting, at harvest), plant spread (45 days after transplanting, at harvest), days taken for head initiation, days taken for harvesting, yield and quality parameters. The mean data were subjected to statistical analysis following analysis of variance technique (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Growth parameters**

#### **Days taken for germination**

Data on number of days taken for germination recorded from different plant spacing are

furnished in table 1 and was found to be not significant.

### **Plant height at the time of transplanting, at 45 days after transplanting and at harvest (cm)**

Influence of different plant spacing with respect to height of the seedlings at the time of transplanting, 45 days after transplanting and at harvest are presented in table 1. The effect of different plant spacing on height of seedlings at the time of transplanting was found not significant.

The effect of different plant spacing with respect to plant height at 45 DAT (cm) was found significant. The significantly maximum plant height (39.35 cm) was found with the treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm).

The minimum plant height (33.99 cm) was recorded with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). This might be due to more terminal increase in closer spaced plants than wider spaced plants, where lateral growth is more. These findings are in close conformity with the findings of Rahman *et al.*, (2007) in cauliflower, Saikia *et al.*, (2010) and Gurjeet *et al.*, (2016) in broccoli.

The effect of different plant spacing with respect to plant height at the stage of head harvest was found significant. The significantly maximum plant height (57.67 cm) was found with the treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). The treatment S<sub>1</sub> was statistically at par with treatment S<sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm) (56.07 cm). The minimum plant height (51.19cm) was obtained with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). This may be due to closer plant spacing leads to more terminal growth than the wider plant spacing. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Saikia *et al.*, (2010), Solunke *et al.*, (2011), Gogoi *et al.*, (2016) and Vinod *et al.*, (2017) in broccoli.

### **Number of leaves at 45 DAT and at harvest**

Effects of different plant spacings with respect to number of leaves at 45 DAT and at harvest was found to be not significant and are presented in table 2.

### **Plant spread (E-W and N-S) at 45 DAT and at harvest**

Influence of different plant spacings with respect to plant spread (E-W) and (N-S) cm at 45 DAT and at harvest are presented in table 2. The effect of different plant spacings with respect to plant spread (E-W) and (N-S) at 45 DAT was found significant. The significantly maximum plant spread [E-W (50.36 cm) and N-S (49.91 cm)] was found with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The minimum plant spread [E-W (42.92 cm) and N-S (39.62 cm)] was recorded with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm).

Wider plant spacing leads to good growth and development, less competition for the uptake of nutrients, water and sunlight, which leads to more lateral growth and spread. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Munro *et al.*, (2007), Kumar *et al.*, (2007), Saikia *et al.*, (2010) and Bhangre *et al.*, (2001) in broccoli.

The effect of different plant spacings with respect to plant spread [E-W and N-S] at harvest was found to be significant. The significantly maximum plant spread [E-W (62.15 cm) and N-S (62.12 cm)] was found with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The minimum plant spread [E-W (57.37 cm and N-S (54.00 cm)] was recorded with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). These might be due to wider plant spacing leads to the maximum plant spread which might be due to more availability of sunlight, nutrients and water. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Munro *et al.*, (2007), Kumar *et al.*, (2007), Saikia *et al.*, (2010) and Bhangre *et al.*, (2001) in broccoli.

**Table.1** Effect of different plant spacing with respect to days taken for germination, plant height at the time of transplanting, 45DAT and harvesting

Treatments	Days taken for germination	Plant height at(cm)		
		Transplanting	45DAT	Harvesting
S <sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm)	3.84	21.90	39.35	57.67
S <sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm)	3.75	20.95	34.96	56.07
S <sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm)	3.75	20.62	33.99	51.19
S.Em±	0.06	0.433	0.615	1.152
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	2.42	4.52
C.V. %	5.47	7.10	5.90	7.26

**Table.2** Effect of different plant spacing with respect to number of leaves per plant at 45 DAT and harvesting, plant spread at 45 DAT and harvesting

Treatments	Number of leaves per plant		Plant spread(cm)			
	45 DAT	Harvesting	45 DAT		Harvesting	
			(E-W)	(N-S)	(E-W)	(N-S)
S <sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm)	10.63	19.68	42.92	39.62	57.37	54.00
S <sub>2</sub> (45cm × 30 cm)	10.37	20.14	44.13	44.65	57.61	58.41
S <sub>3</sub> (45cm × 45 cm)	11.42	21.30	50.36	49.91	62.15	62.12
S.Em±	0.307	0.560	0.666	1.212	0.956	1.112
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	2.614	4.757	3.753	4.364
C.V. %	10.03	9.52	5.04	9.38	5.61	6.62

**Table.3** Effect of different plant spacing with respect to days taken for head initiation, days taken for head harvesting, head diameter, yield per plant (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (q)

Treatments	Days taken for head initiation	Days taken for head harvesting	Head diameter (cm)	Yield per plant (Fresh weight of head) (g)	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield per hectare (q)
S <sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm)	61.48	81.37	11.8	220.8	5.73	219.3
S <sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm)	65.53	86.27	12.8	236.3	2.99	127.0
S <sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm)	68.93	87.85	14.1	275.5	1.66	111.5
S.Em±	0.47	0.60	0.40	10.59	0.097	7.407
C.D. at 5 %	1.86	2.38	1.58	41.61	0.38	29.07
C.V. %	2.50	2.46	10.8	15.04	9.74	16.81

**Table.4** Effect of different plant spacing with respect to quality parameters

Treatments	Total dry matter content of the head (%)	Crude protein (%)	Potassium (mg/100gm)	Magnesium (mg/100gm)
S <sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm)	19.68	2.89	459.2	42.32
S <sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm)	19.80	2.85	460.8	42.62
S <sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm)	19.81	2.91	464.2	42.65
S.Em±	0.19	0.04	3.26	0.43
C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	3.39	5.38	2.65	3.54

### Days taken for head initiation

Influences of different plant spacings with respect to days taken for head initiation are presented in table 3.

Effect of different plant spacings with respect to days taken for head initiation was found significant. The significantly minimum days taken for head initiation (61.48 days) were recorded with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). The maximum number day taken for head initiation (68.93 days) was observed with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The significant difference in days taken for head initiation by different plant spacing might be due to closer plant spacing. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Sorensen and Grevsen (1994), Singh *et al.*, (2006), Rahman *et al.*, (2007) in cauliflower, Saikia *et al.*, (2010) and Bhangre *et al.*, (2011), Thirupal *et al.*, (2014) and Vinod *et al.*, (2017) in broccoli.

### Days taken for first head harvesting

Influences of different plant spacing with respect to number of days taken for first head harvest are presented in table 3.

Effect of different plant spacing with respect to number of days taken for first head harvest was found significant. The significantly minimum day taken for first head harvest

(81.37 days) was recorded with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). The maximum number of days taken for first head harvest (87.85 days) was observed with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The significant difference in days taken for first head harvest by different plant spacing might be due to early head initiation and development of small head. Wider spacing leads to large head size, which requires more number of days for food accumulation and to prepare photosynthetases. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Sorensen and Grevsen. (1994), Singh *et al.*, (2006), Singhal *et al.*, (2009), Saikia *et al.*, (2010) in broccoli, Moniruzzaman (2011) in cabbage and Bhangre *et al.*, (2011) in broccoli.

### Yield parameters

Influences of different plant spacings with respect to head diameter (cm), yield per plant, yield per plot and yield per hectare are presented in table 3.

### Head diameter

Effect of different plant spacing with respect to head diameter was found significant and was shown in the table 3. The significantly maximum head diameter (14.1 cm) was obtained with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The treatment S<sub>3</sub> was significantly at par with treatment S<sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm) (12.8 cm).

The minimum head diameter (11.8 cm) was obtained with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). This might be due to more food accumulation in the plant which was grown at wider plant spacing, therefore head weight will be more which further shows more head diameter. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Sharif (2008), Hossain *et al.*, (2011), Thirupal *et al.*, (2014), Gurjeet (2016) and Vinod *et al.*, (2017) in broccoli.

### **Yield per plant (fresh weight of head)**

The significantly maximum fresh weight of the head (275.53 g) was obtained with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The treatment S<sub>2</sub> (45 cm × 30 cm) was found statistically at par with treatment S<sub>3</sub>. The minimum fresh weight of head (220.8 g) was observed with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm).

The significant differences among different plant spacing with respect to fresh weight of head was due to good growth occurrence in wider plant spacing, more interception of light, less competition for moisture and nutrients which increases photosynthesis and accumulation of photosynthates in the main head. These finding are in accordance with the findings of Dev. H (2012), Bhangre *et al.*, (2011), Gurjeet *et al.*, (2015), Thirupal *et al.*, (2014) and Vinod *et al.*, (2011) in broccoli.

### **Yield per plot**

The significantly maximum head yield per plot (5.73 kg) was recorded with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). The minimum head yield per plot (1.66 kg) was obtained with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The variation in yield of head per plot at different plant spacings may be due to maximum plant density i.e. more number of plants per plot. This type of results was also reported by Sharma *et al.*, (2005) in Brussels sprout, Singh *et al.*, (2006) in cauliflower, Sharif *et al.*, (2008), Saikia *et al.*,

(2010) in Fabek *et al.*, (2011), Hossain *et al.*, (2011), Khatun *et al.*, (2011), Solunke *et al.*, (2011), Gogoi *et al.*, (2016) and Vinod *et al.*, (2017) in broccoli.

### **Yield per hectare**

The significantly maximum head yield per hectare (219.36 q) was obtained with treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm). The minimum head yield per hectare (111.59 q) was obtained with treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm). The main reason for maximum yield per hectare in closer plant spacing was due to higher plant population per unit area. These findings are in close accordance with the finding of Bhangre *et al.*, (2011), Saikia *et al.*, (2010), Fabek *et al.*, (2011), Hossain *et al.*, (2011), Khatun *et al.*, (2011), Solunke *et al.*, (2011), Gogoi *et al.*, (2016) and Vinod *et al.*, (2017) in broccoli.

### **Quality parameters**

Influences of different plant spacings with respect to total dry matter content of the head, crude protein, potassium and magnesium content are presented in table 4.

The plant density S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm × 45 cm) recorded the highest values for different quality parameters *viz.*, Total dry matter content of the head (19.81%), Crude protein (2.91%), Potassium (464.2 mg/100gm), Magnesium (42.65 mg/100gm) were shown in the table 4. These results were in conformity with the findings of Bhangre *et al.*, (2011), El-Magd *et al.*, (2006) and Islam *et al.*, (2015) in broccoli. Kalloo *et al.*, (2005) in vegetable pea, Lal (1996) in cabbage Uddain *et al.*, (2012) in Knol-khol and Chandan *et al.*, (2013) in broccoli.

In conclusion, from the above investigations it can be concluded that the treatment S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm × 30 cm) attained significantly maximum

plant height at transplanting (21.90 cm), at 45 DAT (39.35 cm) and at harvest (57.67 cm), minimum number of days taken for head initiation (61.48) and head harvest (81.37), maximum yield per plot (5.73 kg) and yield per hectare (219.36 q). Whereas significantly maximum plant spread E-W (50.36 cm) and N-S (49.91 cm) at 45 DAT and at harvest E-W (62.15 cm) and N-S (62.12 cm), highest head diameter (14.10 cm), fresh weight of head (275.53 g), dry matter percent of head (19.81 %), protein content (2.91 %), potassium content (464.2 mg) and calcium content (42.65 mg) were recorded with the wider plant spacing treatment S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm x 45 cm).

## References

- Bhangre, K.K., Sonawane, P. C and Warade, S. D. (2011). Effect of different varieties and spacing on growth and yield parameters of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var.italica) under Pune conditions, *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 6(1):74-76.
- Chandan, K., Raturi, H. C. and Uniyal, S.P. (2013). Performance of cabbage hybrids under rainfed mid-hill conditions of Uttarakhand. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 8(1):110-113.
- Dev, H. (2012) Standardization of planting time and spacing in broccoli cv Green Head for lower hills of northern India. *International Journal of Farm Science*. 2:36-42.
- El-Magd, M. M. A., El-Bassiony, A.M. and Fawzy, Z.F. (2006). Effect of organic manure with or without chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of some varieties of broccoli plants. *Journal of Applied Science Research*. 2(10):791-798.
- Fabek, S., Toth, N., Benko, B. and Peic, I. (2011). The effect of plant density on morphological traits and yield of broccoli. *Glasnik Zastite Bilja*. 34 (1):22-29.
- Gogoi, S., Millu, R., Das, P., Bora, N. and Das, B. K. (2016). Effect of sowing dates and spacing on broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) seed production. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 50(4):350-353.
- Guo, J. H., Lee, S., Chiang, F. and Chang, C. (2001). Antioxidant properties of the extracts from different parts of broccoli in Taiwan. *Journal of food and Dry Analysis*. 9:96-101.
- Gurjeet, K. (2016). Growth, yield and quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). As influenced by nitrogen and plant population under different dates of sowing. Thesis (unpublished). Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. (India).
- Hossain, M. F, Ara, N, Uddin, M.R, Dey, S and Islam, M.R. (2011). Effect of time of sowing and plant spacing on broccoli production. *Tropical Agricultural Research and Extension*. 14(4): 90-92.
- Jeffery, 2005. Maximizing the anti-cancer power of broccoli. *Science daily*, 5th, April, 2005. [www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/03/050326114810.htm](http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/03/050326114810.htm).
- Kaloo, G., Rai, M., Singh, J., Varma, A., Kumar, R., Rai, G.K. and Vishwanath (2005). Morphological and biological variability in vegetable pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Vegetable Science.*, 32(1): 19-23
- Khan, B., Shillong, A., Phillip, G., Brusewitz, H. and Mc New Ronald, W. (1991). Forceto shear the stalk, stalk diameter and yield of broccoli in response of nitrogen fertilization and within row spacing. *Journal of American Society Horticultural Science*. 116(2): 222-227.
- Khatun, K., Saha, S. R. and Mostrain, T. (2011). Growth and yield of broccoli as influenced by plant spacing.

- International Journal of sustainable Agricultural Technology*. 7(12):7-12.
- Kumar, N., Praskash, V. and Srivastva, A.K. (2007). Effect of transplanting dates and geometries on broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) under mid hill conditions of North- West Himalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural sciences*. 77(7):448-450.
- Kunicki, E, Capecka, E, Siwek, P and Kalisz, A. (1999). The effect of plant spacing on the yield and quality of three broccoli cultivars in autumn growing. *Folia Horticulture*. 11(2): 69-79.
- Lal, G. (1996). Effect of nitrogen and spacing on yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*). *Annals of Biology*. 12(2): 242-244.
- Moniruzzaman. M. (2011). Effect of plant spacings on the performance of hybrid cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) varieties. *Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research*. 36(3): 495-506.
- Munro, D. C., Mackay, D. C. and Cutcliffe, J. A. (2007) Relation of nutrient content of broccoli and brussels sprouts leaves to maturity and fertilization with N, P and K fertilizer. *Canada Journal of Plant Sciences*. 58: 385-94.
- Rahman, M. U., IqbalJilani, M.S and Waseem, K. (2007). Effect of different plant spacing on the production of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) under the agro-climatic conditions of D.I. Khan. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 10 (24): 4531- 4534.
- Rana, M.K. (2008). Olericulture in India. *Kalyani Publishers*, New Delhi. Pp: 301
- Saikia Phookan, D.B. and Sanchita Brahma. (2010). Effect of time of planting and planting densities on growth, yield and economic production of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) cv. Pusa Broccoli KTS-1. *Journal of Hill Agriculture*. 1(2): 135-139.
- Sharif, A. A. (2008) Effects of spacing and potassium on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*. var. *italica* L.). M.sc. Thesis (unpublished). Sher-E-Bhanga Agricultural University, Dhaka.
- Sharma, A., Sonia, S., Sharma, J.J. and Kumar, R. (2005). Effect of Planting date, plant density and fertilizer levels on sprouts yield and yield attributing characters of brussels sprout (*Brassica oleracea* var. *gemmifera*) under high hill dry temperate conditions of north-west Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 75(5): 292-293.
- Singh, R., Chaurasia, S. N. S and Singh, S. N. (2006). Response of nutrient sources and spacing on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *Vegetable science*. 33:198-200.
- Singh, R.V. and Naik, L.B. (2006). Response of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) to plant spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus levels. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 45(3-4): 325-328.
- Singhal, B., Preeti. K., Srivastava, B.K., Singh, M.P. and Singh, P.K. (2009). Effect of date of planting and spacing on the performance of broccoli. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 66(1): 137-140.
- Solunke, B.G., Wagh, A.P., Dod, V.N. and Nagre, P.K. (2011). Effect of dates of planting and spacing on performance of different cultivars on growth and yield of broccoli. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 6(2): 294-296.
- Sorensen and Grevsen. (1994). Effect of plant spacing on uniformity in broccoli for once-over harvest. *Gartenbauwissenschaft*. 59(5): 102-105.
- Thirupal, D., Madhumathi, C. and Reddy, P. S. S. (2014) Effects of planting dates and plant spacing on growth, yield and quality of broccoli under Rayalaseema



- zone of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Plant Archives*. 14:1095-98.
- Uddain, J., Liton, M. M. U. A. and Rahman, M. S. (2012). Organic farming practices on different kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea* var. *gongylodes* L.) cultivars. *International Journal of Bio-resource and stress management*. 3(3).
- Vinod sutar; Aravindakshan, K. and Bola, P.K. (2017). Effect of sowing date and spacing on growth, yield and quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) cultivar. Green head. *Chemical science review and letters*. 6(21): 209-212.
- Yadav, M., Prasad, V. M. and Ahirwar, C.S. (2013). Varietal evolution of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) in Allahabad agro-climate condition. *Trends in biological science*. 6(1): 99-100.

**How to cite this article:**

Tejaswini, T., L.R. Varma, P. Verma, D.M. Thakur and Vani, F.B. 2018. Studies on Effect of Different Plant Spacing with Respect to Growth, Yield and Quality of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*. L) under North Gujarat Conditions. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(05): 34-42. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.705.005>