

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.081>

Crop Establishment Methods and Zinc Fertilization Affects Nutrients Content and Their Uptake of Direct-Seeded Rice

Sushil Kumar* and S.K. Verma

Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi- 221 005, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Crop establishment methods, Direct-seeded rice, Nutrient content, Nutrient uptake, Zinc fertilization

Article Info

Accepted:
07 November 2018
Available Online:
10 December 2018

An experiment consisting of three crop establishment methods in the main plots and five zinc fertilization in the sub-plots was undertaken in a split-plot design with three replications at Varanasi during *Kharif* season of 2016-17 and 2017-18. Data on N, P, K and Zn content (grain and straw) and their uptake were recorded. Crop establishment methods significantly influenced Zn content, and N, P, K and Zn uptake whereas Zn fertilization influenced significantly N, P, K and Zn content and their uptake. Results revealed that among crop establishment methods, conventional till-wet direct seeded rice estimated higher N, P, K and Zn content and their uptake. In the case of Zn fertilization, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) was proved superior over rest of the treatments for N, P and K content and their uptake. However, with respect to Zn content and its uptake, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) was adjudged better as compared to other treatments. Based on the results, it is said in the conclusion that conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) was found better for N, P and K content and their uptake.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple food for about 60% of the world population and plays a prominent role in the economic and social stability of the world. Across the world, it is cultivated in 161.30 million hectare (mha) area with total production and productivity of 486.30 million tonnes (mt) and 4510 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Among rice-growing countries, India is the second largest producer of rice after China (USDA, 2017). In India, rice is grown on about 43.38 mha area having production of 104.32 mt with productivity

2404 kg ha⁻¹. Among the state, Uttar Pradesh is the second largest rice growing state after West Bengal having 5.85 mha area with production and productivity of 12.50 mt and 2132 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2017). Rice cultivation is in crisis across the globe due to shrinking area, fluctuating annual production, stagnating yield and exacerbating inputs (seed, fertilizers and labour) price. Hence, the cost of cultivation of paddy is alarmingly increasing. Furthermore, labour and water scarcity is making the rice cultivation an uphill task. Therefore, it is the need of the hour to devise

alternate ways to cultivate rice with less water and inputs.

Direct-seeded rice (DSR) is the technology in which less water, labour and energy are required and hence it can be a potential alternative to conventional puddled transplanted rice (PTR) (Kumar and Ladha, 2011). The sowing of rice in DSR is done under puddled and non-puddled conditions (Joshi *et al.*, 2013) so that the crop is not subjected to transplanting stress (Singh *et al.*, 2008). DSR has several advantages including early maturity of crop and it also produces almost at par yield with the transplanted crop (Awan *et al.*, 2006). As per the need of the hour, DSR is being practiced with various modifications of tillage/land preparation and crop establishment (CE) to suit site-specific requirements but has not yet gained popularity, even though many research studies suggest its benefits over TPR. Tillage practices (TPs) such as zero-tillage (ZT) and un-puddled sowing have been shown to be beneficial in terms of improving soil health, water use, crop productivity and farmers' income (Singh *et al.*, 2009). Globally, among micronutrients, zinc (Zn) deficiency is one of the important abiotic factors limiting rice productivity in addition to being a major nutritional disorder affecting human health (Alloway, 2009). The problem of low availability of Zn in soils dominated by rice production is well known throughout the world, but it is more severe in India, Pakistan, China, Australia, Turkey and USA (Singh and Shivay, 2015). Fertilization of rice crop with Zn has a positive impact on rice yield (Shivay *et al.*, 2015) and also improves Zn content in rice grain which helps in alleviating Zn deficiency, which rank fifth among the most important health risk factor in developing countries and eleventh globally (Palmgren *et al.*, 2008). Thus, keeping the above points in mind, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of crop establishment

methods and Zn fertilization on nutrients content and their uptake by the DSR.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment involving three crop establishment methods *viz.*, conventional till-direct seeded rice (CT-DSR), conventional till-wet direct seeded rice (CT-WDSR) and zero till-direct seeded rice (ZT-DSR) as main plot treatments and five zinc fertilization *viz.*, Control (no Zn application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application), 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) and 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) as subplot treatments in a split-plot design with three replications at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, situated at 25°15'26.9" N Latitude, 82°59'17.1" E longitude and at an altitude of 74.4 meters above the mean sea level during *Kharif* season of 2016-17 and 2017-18. The site of the experiment was homogeneously fertile with uniform textural make-up and had slightly slopy topography. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture with moderate fertility had low organic carbon (0.46%) and available nitrogen (204 kg ha⁻¹), and medium available phosphorus (22 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (222 kg ha⁻¹) but was found deficient in Zn (0.53 ppm). In addition to the above, soil also indicated slightly alkaline behaviour with pH 7.5. The experimental site received 1094.8 and 641.4 mm total rainfall in 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively with the highest amount of rainfall in the month of July during both the years of cropping period. The maximum and minimum temperature was ranged between 29.2-37.1°C and 15.3-26.9°C in 2016-17 and 30.1-37.6°C and 16.8-27.8°C in 2017-18, respectively. The experimental field was prepared as per treatments specification and sowing of the crop using seed rate @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ was done with the help of zero till seed drill and drum seeder at the

row-to-row spacing of 20 cm on June 30 and 24 in 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively. Rice variety (HUR-105) known for its promising performance under irrigated conditions of Varanasi region of the Eastern Uttar Pradesh was used as test crop for the investigation. A uniform dose of 120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ was applied in all the treatments through urea (46% N), DAP (46% P₂O₅) and muriate of potash (60% K₂O), respectively. Half of the total N and full dose of P and K were applied as the basal application just before sowing. However, remaining half dose of N in the form of urea was top dressed in two equal splits at active tillering and panicle initiation stages, respectively during both the years. Zinc sulphate fertilizer was applied as per treatments specification as basal and two equal foliar splits (0.25 and 0.5% Zn solution) at 15 and 30 DAS. The source of Zn fertilizer was ZnSO₄.H₂O with 33% Zn content. For weed management, two herbicides (pendimethalin @ 1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 DAS and bispyribac-Na @ 25 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 20 DAS) was sprayed using knapsack sprayer with the flat fan nozzle. The need-based irrigations were also given to fulfil the water requirement of crop and to keep the crop in vigorous condition during both the years of investigation. At maturity, the crop was harvested manually with the help of sickle on November, 15 and 9 in 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively. The representative plant samples (grain and straw) from harvested produced were collected and analyzed for N, P, K and Zn contents. Similarly, N was estimated by using modified Kjeldahl method as described by (Jackson, 1973), P by Vanadomolybdate phosphoric yellow colour method as described by (Jackson, 1973), K by Flame photometer as described by (Jackson, 1973) and Zn by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) as per (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978) and subsequently their uptake by grain, straw and total uptake (grain + straw) was worked out as per following equations:

$$\text{Nutrient uptake by grain (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Nutrient content (\%)} \times \text{grain yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$$

$$\text{Nutrient uptake by straw (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Nutrient content (\%)} \times \text{straw yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$$

$$\text{Total nutrient uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Nutrient uptake (Grain)} + \text{Nutrient uptake (straw)}$$

The statistical analysis of data was done using analysis of variance as described by (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) and the comparisons were made at 5 per cent level of significance.

Results and Discussion

Nutrient content

Data pertaining to the grain and straw N, P, K and Zn content are presented in Table 1. It is seen from the table that the crop establishment methods significantly influenced Zn content but not N, P and K content in grain and straw. However, Zn fertilization significantly (P=0.05) influenced the N, P, K and Zn content in grain and straw of DSR. Among crop establishment methods, conventional till-wet direct seeded rice estimated higher N, P, K and Zn content whereas, lower N, P, K and Zn content was recorded with zero till-direct seeded rice. The higher and lower N, P, K and Zn content estimated with conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice, respectively might be due to higher and lower dry matter accumulation. In case of Zn fertilization treatments, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) followed by 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) and control treatments, respectively estimated higher N, P and K content in both (grain and straw). However, with respect to Zn content, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) was found superior over rest of the treatments. The higher N, P and K content estimated with 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) might be due to higher dry matter accumulation. However, higher Zn content observed with the foliar

application was probably due to more retention of Zn in the plant system. Our results confirm the research findings of Kumar *et al.*, (2016); Ghoneim (2016); Kumar *et al.*, (2017) and Farooq *et al.*, (2018).

Nutrient uptake

Crop establishment methods and Zn fertilization significantly ($P=0.05$) influenced the N, P, K and Zn uptake by grain, straw and total of DSR (Table 2). Among crop establishment methods, conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice recorded higher and lower uptake of N by grain, straw and both. This might be due to higher and lower dry matter accumulation recorded with conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice, respectively. These results are in line with the research findings of Yadav *et al.*, (2014) and Meena *et al.*, (2016). With respect to Zn fertilization treatments, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal

application) followed by 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) observed higher N uptake by grain, straw and both of DSR might be due to higher dry matter accumulation. Our results are in conformity with the research finding of Kumar *et al.*, (2016).

With respect to P uptake conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice recorded higher and lower uptake by grain, straw and both might be due to higher and lower dry matter accumulation observed with conventional till-wet direct seeded and zero till-direct seeded rice, respectively. Results are in congruence with the research findings of Yadav *et al.*, (2014) and Meena *et al.*, (2016). Among Zn fertilization treatments, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) was proved superior and recorded higher P uptake by grain, straw and both might be due to higher dry matter accumulation. However, the lower P uptake was recorded with control treatment. Our results are in agreement with the research finding of Kumar *et al.*, (2016).

Table.1 Effect of crop establishment methods and Zn fertilization on N, P, K and Zn content of direct-seeded rice (mean data of two years)

Treatments	N content (%)		P content (%)		K content (%)		Zn content (mg kg ⁻¹)	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
Crop establishment methods								
Conventional till-direct seeded rice	1.15	0.53	0.235	0.123	0.316	1.58	27.96	49.83
Conventional till-wet direct seeded rice	1.16	0.55	0.242	0.128	0.322	1.61	29.47	51.39
Zero till-direct seeded rice	1.13	0.51	0.233	0.119	0.311	1.56	26.41	45.83
SEm ±	0.02	0.01	0.005	0.003	0.006	0.02	0.48	0.76
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.90	3.00
Zn fertilization								
Control (no Zn)	1.08	0.48	0.214	0.100	0.298	1.49	20.32	40.88
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	1.16	0.53	0.229	0.128	0.312	1.61	27.18	47.02
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	1.12	0.51	0.226	0.113	0.312	1.54	30.58	51.98
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	1.19	0.58	0.261	0.143	0.332	1.66	30.01	50.95
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	1.16	0.55	0.253	0.131	0.327	1.62	31.63	54.25
SEm ±	0.01	0.01	0.010	0.003	0.006	0.01	0.50	0.42
CD (P=0.05)	0.02	0.02	0.028	0.009	0.017	0.03	1.47	1.23

Table.2 Effect of crop establishment methods and Zn fertilization on N and P uptake of direct-seeded rice (mean data of two years)

Treatments	N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total
Crop establishment methods						
Conventional till-direct seeded rice	43.56	29.90	73.46	8.95	7.01	15.96
Conventional till-wet direct seeded rice	46.24	32.55	78.79	9.69	7.57	17.25
Zero till-direct seeded rice	40.83	28.00	68.82	8.43	6.49	14.93
SEm ±	0.97	0.80	1.75	0.24	0.20	0.40
CD (P=0.05)	3.80	3.15	6.89	0.94	0.79	1.59
Zn fertilization						
Control (no Zn)	37.43	25.52	62.95	7.38	5.35	12.73
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	44.11	30.22	74.33	8.70	7.25	15.95
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	41.22	28.36	69.58	8.32	6.31	14.63
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	49.15	34.55	83.70	10.76	8.59	19.35
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	45.80	32.09	77.89	9.97	7.61	17.58
SEm ±	0.50	0.65	0.79	0.41	0.19	0.44
CD (P=0.05)	1.46	1.89	2.29	1.19	0.55	1.28

Table.3 Effect of crop establishment methods and Zn fertilization on K and Zn uptake of direct-seeded rice (mean data of two years)

Treatments	K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			Zn uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Grain	Straw	Total	Grain	Straw	Total
Crop establishment methods						
Conventional till-direct seeded rice	11.99	89.82	101.81	0.107	0.284	0.391
Conventional till-wet direct seeded rice	12.85	95.08	107.93	0.118	0.304	0.422
Zero till-direct seeded rice	11.26	84.99	96.25	0.096	0.250	0.346
SEm ±	0.27	1.72	1.84	0.003	0.007	0.010
CD (P=0.05)	1.06	6.76	7.21	0.012	0.028	0.038
Zn fertilization						
Control (no Zn)	10.31	79.16	89.48	0.070	0.217	0.288
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	11.88	91.70	103.58	0.104	0.268	0.371
3 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	11.45	85.33	96.77	0.113	0.289	0.402
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (basal application)	13.68	99.53	113.21	0.124	0.306	0.430
6 kg Zn ha ⁻¹ (foliar application)	12.86	94.09	106.95	0.125	0.316	0.440
SEm ±	0.23	1.07	1.07	0.002	0.004	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.66	3.14	3.13	0.006	0.011	0.012

In case of K uptake conventional till-wet direct seeded rice followed by conventional till-direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice, respectively were proved superior might be due to the difference in dry matter accumulation. These results support the research findings of Yadav *et al.*, (2014) and Meena *et al.*, (2016). Likewise, with respect to Zn fertilization treatments, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application) followed by 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) recorded higher K uptake by grain, straw and both might be due to higher dry matter accumulation. However, the lower K uptake was recorded with control treatment. Our results are in analogues with the research finding of Kumar *et al.*, (2016).

Among crop establishment methods, conventional till-wet direct seeded rice and zero till-direct seeded rice recorded higher and lower uptake of Zn by grain, straw and both. This was might be due to higher and lower dry matter accumulation recorded with conventional till-wet direct seeded and zero till-direct seeded rice, respectively. These results are in line with the research findings of Yadav *et al.*, (2014) and Meena *et al.*, (2016).

With respect to Zn uptake, 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) followed by 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (basal application), 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (foliar application) and control treatment, respectively were proved better. The higher uptake of Zn by different parts of DSR with foliar application of 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ was recorded might be due to the higher yield, dry matter production and Zn content. These results are in congruence with the research findings of Kandali *et al.*, (2015) and Kumar *et al.*, (2017).

Based on the above results it is suggested that conventional till-wet direct seeded rice proved to be better for N, P, K and Zn content and their uptake. In case of Zn fertilization, basal application of 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ found superior for N, P and K content and their uptake. However, with respect to Zn content and its uptake foliar application of 6 kg Zn ha⁻¹ adjudged superior.

References

- Alloway, B.J. 2009. Soils factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. *Environment Geochemical and Health*. 31, 537–548.
- Awan, T.H., Ali, I., Manzoor, Z., Safdar, I., and Ashraf, M. 2006. Economic effect of different plant establishment techniques on rice production. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 9, 580-588.
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics. 2017. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, Agricultural statistical data.
- Farooq, M., Ullah, A., Rehman, A., Nawaz, A., Nadeem, A., Wakeel, A., Nadeem, F., and Siddique, K.H.M. 2018. Application of zinc improves the productivity and biofortification of fine grain aromatic rice grown in dry seeded and puddled transplanted production systems. *Field Crops Research*. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2017.11.004.
- Ghoneim, A.M. 2016. Effect of different methods of Zn application on rice growth, yield and nutrients dynamics in plant and soil. *Journal of Agricultural and Ecology Research International*. 6(2), 1-9.
- Gomez, K.A., and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2 Edition. A Wiley Inter science Publication, New York, 657 p.
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil chemical analysis, Perntice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp.183.
- Joshi, E., Kumar, D., Lal, B., Nepalia, V., Gautam, P., and Vyas, A. K. 2013. Management of direct seeded rice for enhanced resource-use efficiency. *Plant Knowledge Journal*. 2(3), 119-134.
- Kandali, G.S., Basumatary, A., Barua, N.G., Medhi, B.K., and Hazarika, S. 2015. Response of rice to zinc application in acidic soils of Assam. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 17(1), 74-76.
- Kumar, D., Uppal, R.S., Ram, H., and Dhaliwal, S.S. 2016. Effect of N, Zn and Fe Application on N, P, K content and their

- total uptake in Parmal rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Progressive Agriculture*. 16(1), 71-76.
- Kumar, S., Dwivedi, A., Kumar, V., Ansari, M.Q., and Naresh, R.K. 2017. Effect of precision land levelling and Zinc bioavailability: Water use, productivity and input use efficiency in transition from flooded to aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 5(4), 110-118.
- Kumar, V., and Ladha, J.K. 2011. Direct seeding of rice: recent developments and future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy*. 111, 297.
- Lindsay, W.L., and Norvell, A. 1978. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 42, 421-428.
- Meena, H.N., Bohra, J.S., Jinger, D., and Rajpoot, S.K. 2016. Effect of tillage and crop establishment methods on productivity, profitability and quality of rice under rice-wheat cropping system. *Annals of Agricultural Research New Series*. 37 (4), 369-373.
- Palmgren, M.G., Clemens, S., Williams, L.E., Kramer, U., Borg, S., Schjorring, J.K., and Sanders, D. 2008. Zn biofortification of cereals: problems and solutions. *Trends in Plant Science*. 13(9), 464-473.
- Shivay, Y.S., Prasad, R., Singh, R.K., and Pal, M. 2015. Relative efficiency of zinc-coated urea and soil and foliar application of zinc sulphate on yield, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and iron biofortification in grains and uptake by basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 7(2), 161-173.
- Singh, A., and Shivay, Y.S. 2015. Zinc application and green manuring enhances growth and yield in basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 20(3), 289-296.
- Singh, U.P., Singh, Y., Kumar, V., and Ladha, J.K. 2009. Evaluation and promotion of resource conserving tillage and crop establishment technique in rice-wheat system of eastern India. (In: Ladha J.K., Singh Y., Erenstein, O., Hardy, B., (Eds.), Integrated crop and resource management in rice-wheat system of south Asia. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines. pp. 151-176.
- Singh, Y., Singh, V.P., Chauhan, B., Orr, A., Mortimer, A.M., Johnson, D.E., and Hardy, B. 2008. Direct seeding of rice and weed management in the irrigated rice-wheat cropping system of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Los Baños (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute, and Pantnagar (India): Directorate of Experiment Station, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, pp. 272.
- United States Department of Agriculture, World rice supply and utilization, 2017.
- Yadav, S., Evangelista, G., Faronilo, J., Humphreys, E., Henry, A., and Fernandez, L. 2014. Establishment method effects on crop performance and water productivity of irrigated rice in the tropics. *Field Crops Research*. 166, 112-127.

How to cite this article:

Sushil Kumar and Verma, S.K. 2018. Crop Establishment Methods and Zinc Fertilization Affects Nutrients Content and their Uptake of Direct-Seeded Rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(12): 655-661. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.081>