Evaluation of Physical and Chemical Composition of Mango Varieties for Nectar and pana
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ABSTRACT

Mango is acknowledged as the king of fruit and one of the best fruits in the world market because of its great utility, excellent flavour, attractive fragrance, and beautiful shades of colour, delicious taste and healthful value. The nutritive value of fruit beverage is better than synthetic ones. Looking at the demand there is a great scope in the country for production of fruit juices and other fruit based beverages. Ten varieties of mango were chosen and were processed followed by statistical analysis done. Maximum fruit weight and pulp weight was recorded 380g and 266.76g, respectively, from Kakna (local) which was 70.2 per cent of the total fruit weight. The seed weight and non-edible waste in mango fruit were recorded 74.17g and 155.52g respectively. The calculated value of these two parameters are 19.51 per cent and 40.92 per cent, respectively of total fruit weight, whereas pulp:seed ratio was calculated 4.38 maximum for Dashehari. Data with respect to chemical composition of fruits revealed that maximum value of total soluble solids (TSS) was recorded 22⁰Brix from Mallika. The maximum value of total sugar and non-reducing sugar content was recorded 17.81 per cent, 13.81 per cent respectively from Mallika The maximum value of ascorbic acid content was recorded 56.34 mg/100ml from Langra. Whereas maximum titrable acidity was recorded 0.35 per cent from totapari, pH value was recorded maximum 3.75 in Totapari. A little attention has been made so far in the field of fruit processing technology in Chhattisgarh region. Hence, there is an urgent need to evaluate different varieties of mango for different preserved products of mango.
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INTRODUCTION

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is known as the king of fruits and belongs to the family Anacardiaceae. Mango is well adapted to Chhattisgarh with wide genetic variation. Most of the area of Chhattisgarh is rain fed and vast acreage has an immense potential to
improve mango production. Mango is the most popular fruit among millions of people of country and is considered to be the best of all the indigenous fruits in India. It is considered as one of the best fruits in the world market because of its great utility, excellent flavour, attractive fragrance, beautiful shades of colour, delicious taste, healthful value and high nutritional values. Carbohydrate content in ripe mango pulp is 16.9%. Mango helps to prevent many deficiency diseases because it is a rich source of vitamins, minerals, acid, total soluble solids. If fruit juices will be sustained for synthetic products it will be beneficial to consumers as well as to the fruit growers. Careless and improper handling of fruits reduce the market value and keeping quality, ultimately causing enormous losses to both growers and consumers. Besides these quantitative losses, the loss suffered in quality before actual consumption can hardly be estimated. The spoilage could be prevented during the glut season at the producing centers by converting them into new categories of processed products. Looking at the demand there is a great scope in the country for production of fruit juices and other fruit based beverages. Keeping the above points in view, an experiment have been conducted to study the physico-chemical composition of nectar and pana.

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was conducted in the Horticulture Processing Laboratory Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Raipur (C.G.) during the year 2017-18. Total ten varieties were studied Amrapali, Amin, Chausa, Dashehari, Kakna, Krishnbhog, Langra, Mallika, Sundri and Totapari. Mature mangoes were washed in running tap water to remove dirt and dust particles. They were sliced into small pieces. The fruits were then cooked in boiling water for 15min. The cooked fruits were peeled manually and carefully to minimize pulp loss with the peels. The pulping was done using the domestic mixer grinder and the stone was collected separately. The beverage contained 20 per cent pulp for nectar and 10 per cent pulp for mango beverage (pana) was taken. The volume of the final product was maintained by adding water to each recipe combination in each replication. A calculated amount of sugar was added in the pulp to adjust the total soluble solids as 18 per cent in the recipe for nectar and as 15 per cent for RTS. The acidity was maintained to 0.3 per cent in the final product by the addition of required amount of citric acid. The product was poured into hot, sterilized crown bottles of 200 ml capacity and corked air-tight. The filled bottles were pasteurized in boiling water till the temperature of product reaches 100ºC. It took about 15 minutes to attain required temperature. The bottles of nectar and pana beverages were kept at ambient condition for further studies up to 90 days (Fig. 1).

**Results and Discussion**

**Physical composition**

A critical analysis of data on physical composition of mango fruits revealed that maximum fruit weight and pulp weight was recorded 380g and 266.76g, respectively, from Kakna (local) which was 70.2 per cent of the total fruit weight (Table 1).

**Chemical composition**

Data with respect to chemical composition of fruits revealed that maximum value of total soluble solids (TSS) was recorded 22ºBrix from Mallika (Table 2).

A critical analysis of data on physical composition of mango fruits revealed that
maximum fruit weight and pulp weight was recorded 380g and 266.76g, respectively, from Kakna (local) which was 70.2 per cent of the total fruit weight. The seed weight and non-edible waste in mango fruit were recorded 74.17g and 155.52g respectively. The calculated value of these two parameters are 19.51 per cent and 40.92 per cent, respectively of total fruit weight, whereas pulp:seed ratio was calculated 4.38 maximum for Dashehari. Roshan et al., (2013) reported that 'Moovandan' had the greatest breadth (7.52 cm), circumference (19.9 cm), fruit weight (231.3 cm), volume (228.4 ml) and juice content (49.0 ml). The highest pulp percentage (67.1%), the lowest stone percentage (16.3%), and peel percentage (22.5%) were recorded with Vellaicolamban'. Chopra (2014) reported that the skin colour of Dashehari was attractive greenish-yellow. The cultivars differed significantly in terms of fruit weight (110.0-574.5 g), length (7.18-14.59 cm), breadth (5.23-9.07 cm), specific gravity (0.953-1.061) content of flesh (58.18-79.90 %), peels (9.10-22.52 %) and stone (11.05-20.55 %). Manchekar et al., (2011) observed Cluster I, of Alphonso clone consists of the clones DPL-I, RTNI, DEV-1, VEN-1, DWR-I, DWD-II, DWD-III and BGM-II had the highest length of fruit (14.37 cm), stone thickness (2.24 cm), percentage of pulp (71.58), pulp to stone ratio (4.75:1), pulp to peel Ratio (5.21:1) and shelf life (19.85 days). Anila and Radha (2003) evaluated the physical, morphological and biochemical characters of four varieties made under Kerala conditions. It was observed that Ratna fruits had the maximum length, breadth, weight, volume and circumference. All this differ from our findings which could be due to the geographical distribution of mango varieties.

Data with respect to chemical composition of fruits revealed that maximum value of total soluble solids (TSS) was recorded 22°Brix from Mallika. The maximum value of total sugar and non-reducing sugar content was recorded 17.81 per cent, 13.81 per cent respectively from Mallika. The maximum value of ascorbic acid content was recorded 56.34 mg/100ml from Langra. Whereas maximum titrable acidity was recorded 0.35 per cent from totapari, pH value was recorded maximum 3.75 in Totapari.

Jain et al., (1997) conducted the studies to evaluate the performance of 6 early maturing mango cultivars (Amin, Bombay green, Dashehari, Kurukkan, Ranipasand and Sunderja) in the Chhattisgarh region of eastern Madhya Pradesh for the preparation of mango nectar and RTS (ready to serve) beverages. During storage of nectar and RTS, the TSS, acidity and viscosity remained unchanged, but the ascorbic acid content was reduced, and reducing sugars increased. The quality of the mango RTS and nectar during storage remained acceptable for 3 and 4 months, respectively.

Rabbani and Singh (1989) studied seven sucking mango varieties and these were assessed for flavour, total soluble solids, acidity, reducing and non reducing sugar, vitamin A and C. The fruits were processed as a ready to serve beverage 10% juice, 14% TSS, 0.3% acidity, nectar (20% juice, 14%, TSS, 0.3%, acidity).

Roy et al., (1972) have standardized preparation of mango nectar with 20 per cent mango pulp, 20°brix and 0.3 percent acidity. According to Shetty et al., (1978) canned mango nectar was prepared taking 20 per cent mango pulp, 15 per cent total soluble solids with 0.3 percent acidity.

Singh and Dhawan (1983) reported that the ideal recipe for preparation of nectar for mango contains 20 per cent pulp, 14 per cent TSS and 0.3 percent acidity.
Table 1. Physical composition of mango varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Varieties Name</th>
<th>Fruit weight (g)</th>
<th>Seed weight (g)</th>
<th>Peel weight (g)</th>
<th>Pulp weight (g)</th>
<th>Wt. of non edible waste (g)</th>
<th>Pulp: Seed ratio</th>
<th>% of total fruit weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Amrapali</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Amin</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chausa</td>
<td>282.8</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>186.7</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Dashehari</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Kakna (local)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>266.7</td>
<td>155.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Krishnbhog</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Langra</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Mallika</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Sundri</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Totapari</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Chemical composition of mango varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Varieties Name</th>
<th>TSS</th>
<th>Acidity(%)</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid(mg/100ml)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Reducing sugar (%)</th>
<th>Non-reducing sugar (%)</th>
<th>Total sugar (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Amrapali</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Amin</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chausa</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>29.62</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Dashehari</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Kakna (local)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Krishnbhog</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Langra</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>56.34</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Mallika</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>17.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Sundri</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Totapari</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 Preparation of *Pana* and Nectar beverages

**FLOW CHART**

- Selection of fruits
- Washing and peeling
- Crushing and blending (1 part pulp + 1 part water)
- Pulpy juice extraction
- Filtration
- Preparation of syrup
  - Water + Sugar
  - Boiling
  - Addition of citric acid
  - Filtering
  - Syrup
- Mixing
- Boiling
- Filtration
- Bottling
- Pasteurization
- Storage (ambient condition)
Ramdevputra et al., (2009) reported that the RTS beverage of 10% blended mango juice with lime and cardamom (10:2:0.006)+12% TSS+0.3% acidity retained significantly highest score for colour, taste, flavour, appearance, product setting at bottom and overall acceptance up to four months.

In conclusion, different varieties for nectar and pana can be exploited for commercial use after concrete recommendations. Research work should be intensified for the processing of beverage based on locally available raw material as well as mango fruit.

A little attention has been made so far in the field of fruit processing technology in Chhattisgarh region. Hence, there is an urgent need to evaluate different varieties of mango for different preserved products of mango.

References


How to cite this article: