

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.710.103>

Performance of Frontline Demonstrations on Productivity and Profitability of Black Gram (*Vigna mungo*) Through Improved Technologies under Rainfed Conditions

K.V. Sahare¹, B.K. Tiwari^{2*}, K.P. Tiwari¹, R.R. Singh¹, K.S. Baghel² and Smita Singh²

¹JNKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Umaria, Madhya Pradesh-484661, India

²JNKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh-486001, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Front line demonstration, Black gram, Yield, BC ratio

Article Info

Accepted:
10 September 2018
Available Online:
10 October 2018

The present study was carried out the evaluating the performance of improved varieties with scientific package and practices on production, productivities and profitability of black gram. Front line demonstrations were conducted during 2009-10 to 2013-14 (five consecutive years) with evaluation of the performance of different varieties of black gram (PU-35, IPU 94-1 and T-9) in five different villages namely Lorha, Choti pali, Chandia, Dogargawa and Tali of the district. The results showed that the average mean yield of black gram under front line demonstrations was 8.07 q/ha as compared to 5.06 q/ha recorded in farmer's practices, average yield increase of 60% and additional return of Rs 7774/ha. It was observed that the benefit cost ratio (B: C ratio) of recommended practice was 2.28 as compared to 1.72 in farmer's practice as per the mean of five consecutive years i.e. 2009-10 to 2013-14. The average extension gap 3.01 q/ha and average technology gap 3.93 q/ha was recorded. Therefore, the results clearly indicates that the use of improved varieties and package and practices with scientific intervention under front line demonstration programme contribute to increase the productivity and profitability of pulses in the district.

Introduction

Grain legumes are being cultivated in India since time immemorial. They have high protein content (20-26%) and can be considered as a natural supplement to cereals. After fish (dry) which provides 335 g protein per kg, grain legume provides 220-250 g protein per kg. Hence legumes are considered as a "poor man's meet". The green revolution has not increased the productivity of pulses; instead its emphasis on cereals has often led to

decreased legume production. Therefore in the present context of our economic development exploitation of legumes in the diet in combination with cereals to make it nutritionally balanced appears to be the only feasible approach to eliminate "Protein calorie" malnutrition in the near future.

Black gram is an important pulse crop grown during the month of June in almost area of Madhya Pradesh. Mostly it has grown in rainfed conditions, after its harvest farmers

mostly growing wheat under irrigated conditions while fallow in rainfed conditions. The productivity is very poor (300-400 kg/ha). The poor yield of black gram is mainly attributed to the use of poor quality seeds, poor germination of seeds, water stress at flowering stage, no fertilizer application, no YMV management and no weed management. Umari district has the low productivity (220 kg/ha during 2009). The irrigated area of Umari is only 25% and more than 50% soils are sandy-light soils, poor water holding capacity, sloppy land etc. are augmenting the increasing the area of short duration, less water requiring crop like black gram in the district. The black gram crop has more importance in adverse soil and topographic area in rainfed conditions. The reason of low productivity may be attributed to non-adoption of improved production technology which includes the agronomic practices and socioeconomic conditions of the tribal peoples. The productivity of black gram in the district can be increase by following the appropriate agronomic practices along with high yielding black gram varieties. Farmers are growing local seeds; no seed treatment, broadcasting method of sowing, no weed management practices adopted, severe incidence of YMV, no nutrient management etc are the basic reasons of low productivity of black gram in the district. An effort made by the KVK scientists by introducing the new agro techniques of black gram production through front line demonstration on farmers field during five consecutive years of *khari*f seasons from 2009-10 to 2013-14.

Materials and Methods

Field demonstrations were conducted in Umari district of Madhya Pradesh under close supervision of Krishi Vigyan Kendra. Total 65 front line demonstrations under real farming situations were conducted during *khari*f seasons of 2009-10 to 2013-14 (five

consecutive years) at five different villages namely; Lorha, Chhotipali, Chandia, Dogargawa and Taali, respectively under Krishi Vigyan Kendra operational area. The area under each demonstration was 0.4 ha. The soil was sandy-loam in texture with low water holding capacity, low to medium in organic carbon (0.030-0.052%), low in available nitrogen (110.2-206.7 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (11.9-17.4 kg/ha), low to medium in available potassium (211.4-292.3 kg/ha) and soil pH was slightly acidic to neutral in reaction (6.5-7.1). The treatment comprised of recommended practice vs farmers practice. The treatment comprised of recommended practice (Improved varieties ie PU-35, IPU 94-1 & T-9), seed treatment with thiamethoxam 30% FS @ 10 ml/kg seeds, integrated nutrient management-@ 20:50:20:20 kg NPKS/ha + *Rhizobium* + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed, seed treatment with *Trichoderma viridae* @ 5 g/kg seed etc. vs. farmers practice. Crop was sown between 7 July to 13 July with a seed rate was 15 kg/ha.

An entire dose of N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S were given as basal through Urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP), muriate of potash and elemental sulphur, respectively. The seeds were treated with *Trichoderma viridae* @5 g/kg seeds then inoculated by *Rhizobium* and phospho-solubilizing bacteria biofertilizers each 5g/kg of seeds. Application of Imazethapyr @100 g a.i./ha at 20-25 DAS for effective weed management; used flat fan nozzle. The demonstrations were conducted under rainfed conditions. The crop was harvested between 28th September and 10th October during all years of demonstration (2009-10 to 2013-14). Farmer's practice constituted growing unidentified local varieties seeds with higher seed rate (25-30 kg/ha), broadcasting method of sowing, no seed treatment, no biofertilizer inoculation, not apply the fertilizers, no weed management practices adopted etc.

Harvesting and threshing operations done manually; 5m x 3m plot harvested in 3 locations in each demonstration and average grain weight taken at 10% moisture. Similar procedure adopted on FP plots under each demonstration then grain weight converted into quintal per hectare (q/ha). Before conduct the demonstration training to farmers of respective villages was imparted with respect to envisaged technological interventions.

All other steps like site selection, farmers selection, layout of demonstration, farmers participation etc. were followed as suggested by Choudhary (1999). Visits of farmers and extension functionaries were organized at demonstration plots to disseminate the technology at large scale. Yield data was collected from farmers practice and demonstration plots; cost of cultivation, net income and benefit cost ratio were analyzed. To estimate the technology gap, extension gap and technology index, following formulae given by Yadav *et al.*, (2004) have been used.

Technology gap = $\frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{demonstration yield}}{\text{demonstration yield}}$

Extension gap = $\frac{\text{demonstration yield} - \text{farmer's practice yield}}{\text{farmer's practice yield}}$

Technology Index = $\frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{demonstration yield}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$

Results and Discussion

Grain yield

The yield performance and economic indicators are presented in Table 1. The data revealed that under demonstration plot, the performance of black gram yield was found to be higher than that under FP during five consecutive years of demonstrations (2009-10 to 2013-14). The yield of black gram under

demonstration recorded was 7.38, 7.11, 8.50, 8.96 and 8.40 q/ha during 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The yield enhancement due to technological intervention was to the tune of 46 % to 78% over FP. The cumulative effect of the technological intervention over five years, revealed on average yield of 8.07 q/ha, 60% higher over FP. The year to year fluctuations in yield and cost of cultivation can be explained on the basis of variations in prevailing social, economical and prevailing microclimatic condition of that particular village especially adverse climatic conditions. The yield attributing character of front line demonstration i.e. number of pods per plant was higher (56/plant) over farmer's practice (32/plant) on five years average. The above findings were accordance with Dubey *et al.*, (2010). Gurumukhi and Mishra (2003) have also reported that depending on identification and use of farming situation, specific intervention may have greater implications in enhancing systems productivity. Yield enhancement in different crops in front line demonstration has amply been documented by Sharma (2003), Tiwari *et al.*, (2003) and Tomar *et al.*, (2003).

Economics

Economic indicators i.e. gross expenditure, gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio of front line demonstration is presented in Table 2. The data clearly revealed that the net return from the recommended practice were substantially higher than FP plot during 2009-10 to 2013-14 (five consecutive years of demonstration). Average net returns from recommended practice were observed to be Rs. 14505/ha in comparison to FP plot i.e. Rs 6732/ha. On an average Rs. 7774/ha as additional income is attributed to the technological intervention provided in demonstration plots i.e. recommended practices.

Table.1 Productivity, yield parameters, technology gap, extension gap and technology index of black gram as affected by recommended practices as well as farmer’s practice under rainfed conditions

Year	Area (ha)	No. of farmers	Variety	No. of pods/plant		Grain yield (q/ha)			% increase over FP	Technology gap (q/ha)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
				RP	FP	Potential	RP	FP				
2009-10	5.0	13	PU-35	48	31	12	7.38	4.83	53	4.62	2.55	38.50
2010-11	5.0	13	IPU-94-1	41	25	12	7.11	4.51	58	4.89	2.60	4075
2011-12	5.0	13	PU-35	61	39	12	8.50	5.81	46	3.50	2.69	29.16
2012-13	5.0	13	PU-35	73	31	12	8.96	5.03	78	3.04	3.93	25.33
2013-14	5.0	13	T-9	57	36	12	8.40	5.12	64	3.60	3.28	30.00
Total/ Mean	25	65	--	56	32	12	8.07	5.06	60	3.93	3.01	32.74

Table.2 Economics of front line demonstration of black gram as affected by recommended practices as well as farmer’s practices under rainfed conditions

Year	No. of demonstration	Yield (q/ha)		% increase over FP	Gross expenditure (Rs/ha)		Gross returns (Rs/ha)		Net returns (Rs/ha)		Additional net return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	
		RP	FP		RP	FP	RP	FP	RP	FP		RP	FP
2009-10	13	7.38	4.83	53	10445	8657	23616	15456	13171	6799	6372	2.26	1.78
2010-11	13	7.11	4.51	58	10445	8657	22752	14432	12307	5775	6532	2.17	1.66
2011-12	13	8.50	5.81	46	10445	8657	27200	18592	16755	9935	6820	2.60	2.14
2012-13	13	8.96	5.03	78	12841	10665	28672	16096	15831	5431	10400	2.23	1.50
2013-14	13	8.40	5.12	64	12417	10665	26880	16384	14463	5719	8744	2.16	1.53
Total/ Mean	65	8.07	5.06	60	11319	9460	25824	16192	14505	6732	7774	2.28	1.72

Economic analysis of the yield performance revealed that benefit cost ratio of demonstration plots were observed significantly higher than FP plots. The benefit cost ratio of demonstration and FP plots were 2.26, 2.17, 2.60, 2.23, 2.16 and 1.78, 1.66, 2.14, 1.50, 1.53 during 2009-10 to 2013-14, respectively. Hence favorable benefit cost ratios proved the economic viability of the intervention made under demonstration and convinced the farmers on the utility of intervention. The data clearly revealed that the maximum increase in yield and benefit cost ratio observed was 8.96 and 2.60, respectively during 2012-13. The variation in benefit cost ratio during all the years may mainly on account of yield performance and input output cost in that particular years.

Extension and technology gap

The extension gap ranging between 2.55-3.93 q/ha during the period of study emphasized the need to educate the farmers through various means for the adoption of improved agricultural production to reverse the trend of wide extension gap (Table 1). The trend of technology gap ranging between 2.55-3.93 q/ha reflected the farmer's cooperation in carrying out such demonstration with encouraging results during 2009-10 to 2013-14. The technology gap observed may be attributed to the dissimilarity in weather conditions. The technology index showed the feasibility of the evolved technology at the farmer's field.

The lower the value of technology index, the more is the feasibility of the technology. As such, the reduction in technology index from 25.33% during 2012-13 to 40.75% during 2010-11 exhibited the feasibility of the demonstrated technology in this region. The similar observations were also obtained in blackgram crop by Bairwa *et al.*, (2013) and also Hiremath and Nagaraju (2010).

During the study period, Human Resources Development Components i.e. farmers/farm women trainings, radio talks, field days, CD shows, popular articles, Kisan Melas, popular articles, crop production literatures and Kisan Sangosthi were also taken to increase the farmers understanding and skill about the recommended practice on black gram production.

The result of front line demonstration convincingly brought out that the yield of black gram could be increased more with the intervention on varietal replacement i.e. HYV PU-35, IPU 94-1 and T-9 in the Umaria district. To safeguard and sustain the pulse security in India, it is quite important to increase the productivity of black gram under limited resources, especially water. Favorable benefit cost ratio is self-explanatory of economic viability of the demonstration and convinced the farmers for adoption of improved production technology of black gram. The technology suitable for enhancing the productivity of black gram and calls for conduct of such demonstration under the transfer of technology programme by KVKs.

References

- Bairwa, R.K., Verma, S.R., Chayal, K. and Meena, N.L. (2013). Popularization of Improved Black gram production technology through front line demonstration in humid southern plain of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Extension Education and R.D.* 21:97-101
- Choudhary, B.N.1999. Krishi Vigyan Kendra-A guide for KVK mangers. Publication, Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR; 73-78.
- Dubey S, Tripathi S, Singh P. and Sharma, R.K. (2010). Yield gap analysis of black gram production through front line

- demonstration. *J. Prog. Agric.* 1 (1): 42-44.
- Gurumukhi, D.R. and Mishra, Sumit, 2003. Sorghum front line demonstration-A Success story. *Agril. Ext. Rev.* 15(4): 22-23.
- Hiremath, S.M. and Nagaraju, M.V. (2010). Evaluation of front line demonstrations on the yield of chilli. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 23 (2):341-342.
- Sharma, O.P. (2003). Moth bean yield improvement through front line demonstration. *Agril. Ext. Rev.*, 15 (5):11-13.
- Tiwari, R.B., Singh, Vinay and Parihar, Puspa (2003). Role of front line demonstration in transfer of gram production technology. *Maharashtra J. Ext. Edu.*, 22(1):19.
- Tomar, L.S., Sharma, P.B. and Joshi, K. (2003). Study on yield gap and adoption level of potato production technology in gird region. *Maharashtra J. Ext. Edu.* 22(1): 15-18.
- Yadav, D.B., Kamboj, B.K. and Garg, R.B. (2004). Increasing the productivity and profitability of sunflower through front line demonstrations in irrigated agro-ecosystem of eastern Haryana. *J. Agron.* 20:33-35.

How to cite this article:

Sahare, K.V., B.K. Tiwari, K.P. Tiwari, R.R. Singh, K.S. Baghel and Smita Singh. 2018. Performance of Frontline Demonstrations on Productivity and Profitability of Black Gram (*Vigna mungo*) Through Improved Technologies under Rainfed Conditions. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(10): 930-935. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.710.103>