Studies on Development and Sensory Quality of Natural Food Colors Incorporated Soup Mix
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A B S T R A C T

The present work was aimed to prepare a soup mix incorporated with natural food colors. Onion, ground nut oil, garlic, ginger, sugar, pepper, cloves and vegetables were used to formulate soup mix and sensory evaluation was performed. Sensory analysis is the study of how consumers' senses perceive food. In soup mix prepared by incorporating NFCs synthesized from tomato and beet root T3 and T2 scored highest for color attribute in tomato and beet root respectively. Among all T3 scored highest i.e., 20% NFC was most acceptable to the panelists. In soup mix the quantity of NFCs used (10%, 15% and 20%) for sensory evaluation was high. Thus these NFCs can be used in preserved products which have longer shelf life for slow release of color.
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Introduction

Colorants become the most sensitive part of any commodity not only for its appeal but also, it enhances consumer acceptability (Clydesdale, 1993). The demand for natural colors is increasing day by day because of awareness of positive health benefit out of natural compounds (Chattopadhyay et al., 2008). The organic color stuffs are obtained from roots, stems, leaves, barks, flowers and berries of various plants and from certain insects and shellfish. The inorganic pigments are insoluble salts which are precipitated (Paul et al., 1996). There is a worldwide trend towards the use of natural additives and food colorant in food applications (Ghorpade et al., 1995). With reference to food, color is a means of identification, a method of judging quality and a base for aesthetic value. Color being the first quality attribute perceived by the senses. The general objective of adding color to foods was to make them appealing and recognizable (Sampathu et al., 1981).

Different traditional soups are indigenous to the different ethnic and cultural society. Soups are rich in micronutrient naturally within different ethnic groups so as to reduce occurrences of some micronutrient deficiencies (Kayode et al., 2010).

The adult RDA for iron is 10 mg/day for men and 15 mg/day for women indicating that the selected stews and soups will be able to meet the daily dietary iron requirements (Wardlaw, 1999).
Materials and Methods

Procurement materials

The vegetables (tomato and beet root) were procured from local market. Chemicals used in this experimentation and analysis were of food grade, purchased from standard Indian companies.

Preparation of natural food colors

Two vegetables tomato and beetroot were selected as these vegetables are rich sources of natural colors such as tomato (lycopene) and beetroot (betalains). One kg of each selected vegetables were thoroughly washed in hot water and were cut into thin pieces. These pieces are placed in separate trays and were subjected to Infrared (IR) drying. After drying, the samples were cooled and ground in a conventional grinder into fine powder. These dehydrated powders are used for synthesis of Zinc nano particles using oxalate decomposition method.

Preparation of soup mix

Vegetable soup mix was prepared by the method described by Mathew, 2001. Quantities of raw materials for vegetable soup mix are taken are given in the table 1.

Soup mix preparation

First vegetables were cleaned in running water and made into small pieces, and boiled for 5-6 minutes at 121°C. Then grounded and fried in the frying pan using ground nut oil. To this vegetable mixture onion, garlic and ginger powder were added and boiled for 2 minutes on slow heat with 200 ml water. Then salt, sugar, cloves and pepper were added. At the end 10% color was added. Procedure was repeated with the addition of 15% then 20% of the color separately. The prepared products were kept for sensory evaluation and evaluated for sensory attributes like color, flavor, appeal and overall acceptability. The preparation methods used for the selected recipes are those earlier established by cookery and recipe books (Food specialties, 1988; FIIRO, 2006). Flow chart for the preparation soup mix is given in figure 1.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed for difference of significance by ANOVA used CRD and CD values are presented.

Results and Discussion

Sensory evaluation was carried out for the soup mix incorporated with NFCs synthesized from selected vegetables (tomato and beetroot). The experiment comprised of preparation of soup mix with different concentrations of NFCs synthesized from selected vegetables (tomato and beetroot) and evaluating sensory scores for color, flavour, appeal and overall acceptability of the prepared products.

Soup mix prepared by incorporating different concentrations of NFCs from selected vegetables

The mean scores for sensory evaluation of soup mix is given in table 2 and figure 2.

Color

Color scores of the treatments showed significant variations (P < 0.05) in which T₃ (4.50%) scored highest followed by T₂ (4.40%) and lowest score was observed for T₁ (3.10%) in NFC with tomato.

With regard to beet root, it was observed that the color scores among the treatments,
showed significant variations (P< 0.05) in which T₂ (4.30%) scored highest followed by T₃ (4.10%) and lowest score was observed for T₁ (2.60%).

**Flavor**

Significant (P > 0.05) difference was not observed in sensory scores for flavour.

However among the treatments T₃ (4.10%) recorded higher and lower score was observed for T₁ (3.90%) in NFC with tomato and T₃ (4.0%) recorded highest and lowest score was observed for T₁ (3.40%) in NFC with beet root.

**Appeal**

On sensory evaluation, significant variation (P < 0.05) was recorded in sensory scores for appeal. T₃ (4.0%) was found to have maximum score for appeal in comparison to T₂ (3.7%) and T₁ (2.9%) samples in NFC with tomato. However, in NFC with beet root T₃ (4.5%) and T₂ (3.9%) were found to have good appeal in comparison to T₁ (2.40%) samples.

**Table.1** Quantities of raw materials for vegetable soup mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground nut oil</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic &amp; Ginger</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>300 gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>200 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper &amp; cloves</td>
<td>2:3 ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table.2** Mean scores for sensory evaluation of soup mix prepared by incorporating NFCs from vegetables (tomato and beet root)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Vegetables</th>
<th>Tomato</th>
<th>Beet root</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>T₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Acceptability</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 5%; NS- Non significant

T₁ - 10% NFC; T₂ - 15% NFC; T₃ - 20% NFC
**Fig. 1** Flow diagram for the preparation of soup mix

1. Vegetables
2. Cleaning & cutting
3. Cooking (121°C/5-6 minutes)
4. Grinding
5. Addition of corn flour → Frying oil ← Chopped Onion, garlic and ginger
6. Frying oil
7. Slow heating
8. Boiling for 2 minutes
9. Addition of salt, sugar, pepper & cloves
10. Addition of NFCs
11. Vegetable soup mix

**Fig. 2** Mean sensory scores for soup mix prepared by incorporating NFCs from vegetables (tomato and beet root)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Flavour</th>
<th>Appeal</th>
<th>Overall Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>T</em>&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; (10% NFC)</td>
<td>3.1 4.45</td>
<td>3.9 4.1</td>
<td>2.9 3.7 4.5</td>
<td>2.6 4.2 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T</em>&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (15% NFC)</td>
<td>2.6 4.5</td>
<td>4.1 3.4</td>
<td>2.4 3.9</td>
<td>2.5 3.9 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T</em>&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (20% NFC)</td>
<td>4.3 4.1</td>
<td>3.4 3.7</td>
<td>3.7 4</td>
<td>2.5 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*T*<sub>1</sub> - 10% NFC; *T*<sub>2</sub> - 15% NFC; *T*<sub>3</sub> - 20% NFC
Overall acceptability

The overall acceptability scores showed significant variation (P < 0.05) in which T3 (4.5%) scored higher followed by T2 (4.2%) and lowest score was observed for T1 (2.6%) in NFC with tomato and T3 (4.3%) scored higher followed by T2 (3.9%) and lower score was observed for T1 (2.5%) in NFC with beet root.

In soup mix the quantity of NFCs used (10%, 15% and 20%) for sensory evaluation was high because it was assumed that prepared particles (NFCs) could release the color into the food material over an extended period of time and could be considered as slow-release of the color.

Hence these NFCs can be used in preserved products for better retention of color.

Kakhki (2001) used the color extracted from saffron petals in acidic foods and beverages as red colorant.

Hence products in the present study were also prepared by incorporating NFCs and kept for sensory evaluation.

In soup mix prepared by incorporating NFCs synthesized from tomato and beet root T3 and T2 scored highest for color attribute in tomato and beet root respectively. Among all T3 scored highest i.e., 20% NFC was most acceptable to the panelists. In soup mix the quantity of NFCs used (10%, 15% and 20%) for sensory evaluation was high because it is assumed that prepared particles (NFCs) could release the color into the food material over an extended period of time which can be considered as slow-release of the color. Hence these NFCs can be used in preserved products which have longer shelf life for slow release of color.
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