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**A B S T R A C T**

The protective effect of quercetin on doxorubicin induced testicular tissue damage was investigated using histopathological and ultra structural pathology approaches. A total of 48 male albino rats (Wistar strain) were randomly divided into 4 groups consisting of 12 in each group. Group 1-Control, Group 2-Doxorubicin treated @4mg/kg b.wt intraperitoneally weekly once. Group 3-treated with quercetin @ 80mg/kg b.wt, orally daily. Group 4-treated with doxorubicin @ 4 mg/kg b.wt, intraperitoneally weekly once and quercetin @ 80mg/kg b.wt, orally daily. The histopathological studies of group 2 showed loss of germ cells with vacuolation in seminiferous tubules, coagulative type of necrosis and edema in the interstitial spaces. In later stages severe atrophy of seminiferous tubules with loss of germ cells and giant cells were noticed. In group 4 spermatogenesis with several mitotic figures along with mild degenerative changes were noticed. The ultrastructural-studies of group 2 revealed margination of chromatin, swelling of mitochondria and vacuolar changes in cell cytoplasm and on 29th severity was increased with complete loss of cell integrity. In group 4 improvement was noticed with appearance of germ cells and sperm cells.
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**Introduction**

Anthracyclins are currently the most effective group of antineoplastic drugs used in clinical practice, among them Doxorubicin (DOX), is a key chemotherapeutic agent in cancer treatment, isolated from the soil fungus *Streptomyces peucetiuscaesius*. Doxorubicin, known as topoisomerase II (TOP2) poison, blocks the synthesis of DNA by intercalating into the DNA strand. Although doxorubicin is considered a very potent and efficient chemotherapeutic drug, it also kills healthy cells, especially those under rapid and constant proliferation. Therefore, DNA of rapidly dividing cells such as the testicular germ cells can be the preferential target of doxorubicin resulting in reproductive toxicity. DOX-induced organopathy involves the generation of free radicals which result in membrane and macromolecule damage by lipid peroxidation, DNA fragmentation and protein oxidation (Granados-Principal et al., 2010). Because of high concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids and low antioxidant capacity the mammalian
spermatozoa are more vulnerable to oxidative damage (Vernet et al., 2004). Dox causes an imbalance between free oxygen radicals (ROS) and antioxidants enzymes resulting in tissue injury.

Quercetin (3, 3´, 4´, 5, 7- pentahydroxy-flavones) is a plant pigment an important dietary flavonoid found in a variety of plant-based foods such as Red-onions, broccoli, apples, cherries, berries and tea. It is considered to be a strong antioxidant due to its ability to scavenge free radicals and bind transition metal ions (Hollman and Katan 1997; Sakanashi et al., 2008).

Hence the objective of this study was to assess the reproductive toxicity in male albino Wistar rats induced by doxorubicin and ameliorative effect of quercetin to overcome the doxorubicin induced testicular toxicity

Materials and Methods

A total of 48 male albino rats (Wistar strain) weighing 250-280g were procured from Sanzyme laboratories Ltd., Hyderabad and were randomly divided into 4 groups consisting of 12 in each group. Group 1-Control, Group 2-Doxorubicin treated @ 4mg/kg b.wt intraperitoneally weekly once. Group 3-treated with quercetin @ 80mg/kg b.wt, orally daily. Group 4-treated with doxorubicin @ 4 mg/kg b.wt, intraperitoneally weekly once and quercetin @ 80mg/kg b.wt, orally daily. The experiment was carried out according to the guidelines and prior approval of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC).

The animals were sacrificed at fortnight intervals. From each group, 6 rats were sacrificed on 15th day and remaining were sacrificed on 29th day. The abdominal cavity was opened through a midline abdominal incision to expose the reproductive organs. Then the testes were excised and trimmed of all fat. The gross pathology and testes weights of each animal were evaluated. The testes from all the groups were collected for histopathological and ultra-structural studies in suitable preservatives. For histopathological examination the testes samples were collected and fixed in 10% neutral buffer formalin (NBF) soon after sacrifice. The samples were processed, sectioned (5μm) and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) as per the standard procedure (Luna, 1968). To study the ultra-structural Pathology the testes samples were collected and preserved in 2.5% gluteraldehyde (PBS based EM grade) and processed for transmission electron microscopic (TEM) study asper the standard protocol (Bozzala and Russels, 1998).

Results and Discussion

Absolute testicular weights (g)

Testes weight in different groups on day 15 and 29 is shown in the table 1. Testes weights (g) were taken immediately after sacrifice and the weight was significantly (P<0.05) reduced in doxorubicin treated group (2.09) when compared with Group 1 (2.88), Group 3 (2.64) and Group 4 (2.43) on 15th day. Similarly on 29th day also a significant reduction in testicular weights was noticed in Group 2 (1.07) compared with control (2.71), Group 3 (2.60) and Group 4 (1.38). The testicular weights of Group 1 and Group 3 were comparable with each other.

Relative testicular weights (g)

The mean values of relative testicular weight was significantly (P<0.05) reduced in Group 2 (0.95, 0.36) compared with Group 1 (1.11, 1.09), Group 3 (1.08, 1.00) and Group 4 (1.10, 0.64) on 15th and 29th day.
respectively. There was no significant difference between Group 1 and Group 3 on 15th and 29th day of experiment.

**Gross pathology**

On 15th and 29th day of experiment the testicular size of Group 2 decreased compared with Group 1, Group 3 and Group 4.

**Histopathology**

The examination of testicular sections of control group on day 15 and day 29 of the experiment showed normal seminiferous tubules with active spermatogenesis. Each tubule is bounded by a basal lamina, spermatogenic cells and sertoli cells. Spermatogenic cells were arranged in layers occupying space between basement membrane and lumen of tubule (Fig. 1). In between the tubules i.e. in interstitial space fibroblasts, collagen, blood vessel and Leydig cells are present. The histopathological sections of Group 3 testes showed normal seminiferous tubules with regular outlines as noticed in control. They were lined in 4-6 layers of germinal epithelium at different stages of spermatogenesis (Fig. 2) and the lining epithelium consisted of sertoli cells. On day 15 the testicular sections of Group 2 revealed disorganized, disrupted epithelium of affected tubules and shedding of germ cells in to the lumen. In most of the seminiferous tubules vacuolation was observed (Fig. 3). In some seminiferous tubules complete loss of cells and necrosis of germ cells was characterized by presence of large pale eosinophilic mass and few retained spermatids were noticed at the base of seminiferous tubules. In addition to changes in seminiferous tubules, the interstitial tissue was widened, edema and vacuoles were noticed. On day 29 the testicular sections of Group 2 showed disrupted spermatogenic cells in many seminiferous tubules. Most of the seminiferous tubules were devoid of germ cells with dilated lumen (Fig. 4). In few seminiferous tubules syncytia/giant cell formation was noticed. Almost all the seminiferous tubules showed severe atrophy as they were devoid of epithelium (Fig. 5).

In Group 4 the testicular sections on day 15 revealed nearly normal seminiferous tubules except for widening of interstitial space (Fig. 6). In Group 4 most of the seminiferous tubules exhibited spermatogenesis (Fig. 7) and several mitotic figures could be seen in spermatogenic cells. Most of the seminiferous tubule showed organized epithelium except in few tubules mild degenerative changes and disorganized arrangement of germ cells were noticed.

**Ultra Structure**

The ultrastructure examination of rat testis from control group and Group 3 showed normal seminiferous tubular structures surrounded by a thick basal lamina and myoid cells. The spermatogonia resting on basal lamina with large nucleus and centrally placed prominent electron dense nucleolus, the spermatocytes with spherical nucleus containing electron dense hetero and euchromatin masses distributed in the nucleoplasma and cytoplasm showed numerous light and dense mitochondria (Fig. 8). The ultrathin sections revealed the presence of numerous sperms at different stages with different sizes and shapes. The Group 2 sections on 15th day revealed variable degrees of degenerative changes in germ cells including thin basement membrane, numerous distorted spermatogenic cells, cytoplasmic vacuolation with swollen mitochondria. Few primary spermatocytes showed mild margination of chromatin material and presence of abnormal sperms were appears to be typical (Fig. 9).
Table.1 Absolute and relative testis weight (g) in different groups on day 15 and 29 of the experimental period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Absolute testis weight</th>
<th>Relative testis weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Mean ± SE (n = 6) One way ANOVA Means with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Fig.1 Group 1 showing the spermatogenic cells arranged in layers occupying space between Basement membrane and lumen of tubule. H&E 400
Fig. 2 Microphotograph of Group 3 showing normal seminiferous epithelium and interstitial tissue with active spermatogenesis and spermatozoa filled in lumen. H&E×400

Fig. 3 Microphotograph of Group 2 showing tubular vacuolation with loss of germ cells on 15th day. H&E×400
Fig. 4 Microphotograph of Group 2 showing widening of interstitial space, loss of germinal epithelium with dilated lumen of seminiferous tubule on 29\textsuperscript{th} day. H&E×400

Fig. 5 Microphotograph of Group 2 showing seminiferous tubules with severe atrophy and Devoid of germinal epithelium on 29\textsuperscript{th} day. H&E×100
**Fig. 6** Microphotograph of Group 4- showing widening of interstitial space and seminiferous Tubules were nearly normal with spermatogenesis on 15\textsuperscript{th} day. H&E×100

![Microphotograph of Group 4](image1)

**Fig. 7** Microphotograph of Group 4 showing seminiferous tubules exhibiting spermatogenesis on 29\textsuperscript{th} day. H&E×100

![Microphotograph of Group 4](image2)
**Fig. 8** TEM of Group 1 showing spermatogonia (sg) resting on basement membrane (bm), Primary spermatocytes (ps) and round spermatids (rs). UA & LC 2895x

**Fig. 9** TEM Group 2 showing mild margination of chromatin (arrow) and numerous abnormal Sperms (abs). UA & LC 2895x
Fig. 10 TEM Group 2 on 29th day showing loss of germ cells and severe swelling of Mitochondria (m). UA & LC 3860x

Fig. 11 TEM Group 4 showing numerous sperm cells (s) of different size and shapes. UA & LC 2895x
Uniform electron dense lipid bodies were also observed within germ cells. Severe cellular changes like indistinct cellular junctions, absence of spermatocytes and spermatids were observed on 29th day (Fig. 10) in addition to the changes noticed on 15th day in Group 2. The ultrathin sections of testis in Group 4 on 15th day revealed the presence of spermatogenic cells which appeared to be near normal except with swollen mitochondria. Some spermatogenic cells have showed initiation of acrosomal cap like structure in addition to increase in number of sperm cells (Fig. 11). On 29th day spermatogenesis was evident with presence of spermatogonia, spermatocytes, spermatids and sperm cells. Few germ cells showed mild to moderate degenerative changes like vacuolated cytoplasm, swollen mitochondria and electron dense bodies masked over nucleus.

The present study revealed DOX induced testicular damage as in Group 2 there was significant reduction in absolute and relative testicular weights compared to control. The observations in Group 2 were in accordance with the earlier reports of Kato et al., (2001), Atessahin et al., (2006), Saalu et al., (2009) and Badkoobeh et al., (2013). It is thought that severe parenchymal atrophy in the seminiferous tubules, reduced number of germ cells, atrophy of Leydig cells and lower rate of spermatogenesis after doxorubicin administration in rats causes reduction in testicular weights. The testicular weights of Group 4 were significantly higher than Group 2 indicating protective action of quercetin. Similar findings were reported by Altintas et al., (2015) where quercetin is used as ameliorative agent against docetaxel. Grossly the testicular size was markedly decreased in Group 2 compared with Group 1, which could be due to severe toxic action of DOX on seminiferous tubules. Similar findings were reported by Yeh et al., (2007). Testicular histopathology showed a detectable effect of DOX on spermatogenesis. On day 15 the testicular sections revealed disorganized, disrupted germinal epithelium and shedding of germ cells in to the lumen of tubules. This could be attributed to DOX induced oxidative stress and production of free radicals, this agrees with findings reported by earlier works (Bashandy and Amin, 2012). In most of the seminiferous tubules vacuolation and severe coagulative necrosis with loss of spermatogenic cells was observed, indicating the degeneration of germcells.

These findings are in accordance with the earlier scientists (kato et al., (2001), Patil et al., (2009), Bashandy and Amin (2012), and Ahmed et al., (2013). The interstitial tissue was widened due to severe edema and vacuoles were noticed, this could be attributed to endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress in the vascular wall as reported by Venderamani et al., (2010) and Brilhantae et al., (2011). On day 29 the testicular sections showed disrupted spermatogenic cells in many seminiferous tubules. Most of the seminiferous tubules were devoid of germ cells with dilated lumen, indicated that reserve and renewing spermatogonia were harmed (Brilhantae et al., 2011). In few Seminiferous tubules syncytitia/giant cell formation was noticed. Similar findings were also reported by Brilhantae et al., (2011).

Almost all the seminiferous tubules showed severe atrophy as they are devoid of epithelium. In Group 4 the testicular sections on day 15 and 29 revealed active spermatogenesis with several mitotic figures in spermatogenic cells. In few seminiferous tubules mild degenerative changes and interstitial edema were noticed. Similar findings were reported by Bashandy and Amin (2012). Thus quercetin showed cytoprotection due to its effect on prevention of free radical production in testicular cells,
so mechanical disruption of epithelium was less. These findings were supported by previous studies demonstrating that active compounds present in quercetin has protective effects against testicular damage caused by different toxic agents such as carbon tetrachloride (Sonmez et al., 2014), docetaxel (Altintas et al., 2015), TCDD (Ciftci et al., 2012) and FNT (Saber et al., 2015).

Ultra structurally Group 2 testes showed prominent sub cellular changes like thinning of basement membrane, distorted spermatogenic cells, peripheral margination of chromatin in spermatocytes, swollen mitochondria, vacuolation of cellular cytoplasm and presence of electron dense lipid bodies during 15th day of experiment. On 29th day severity was more with additional features like indistinct cellular junctions. These findings were in accordance with Prahalathan et al., (2006).

These sub cellular changes could be due to toxic action of doxorubicin on mitochondria, nucleus and cell membranes as a part of oxidative stress induced reaction which were positively correlated with histological parameters. Group 4 ultra-thin sections of testes on 15th and 29th day revealed regeneration and reconstruction of sub cellular structures. There was increase in spermatic cells of different shapes and sizes. Interestingly on 15th day acrosomal cap formation was evident which is indicative of a positive action of ameliorative agent. Besides this the other sub cellular changes like vacuolar changes in cytoplasm were present in most of the sections which are of lesser extent.

The present study indicates reproductive toxicity induced by DOX is related to increased oxidative stress and quercetin a potential antioxidant protects DOX induced testicular toxicity.
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