

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.605.323>

## Effect of Irrigation Scheduling and Nitrogen Application on Yield, Grain Quality and Soil Microbial Activities in Direct-Seeded Rice

Anita Kumawat, Seema Sepat, Dinesh Kumar, Surender Singh, Dinesh Jinger\*,  
Shanti Devi Bamboriya and Anil Kumar Verma

ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out to study the response of irrigation scheduling and split application of nitrogen on yield, grain quality parameters and soil microbial activities of direct-seeded rice (DSR) during the kharif season 2015 at New Delhi. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 12 treatments combination of irrigation scheduling viz; 0 kPa, 10 kPa, 20 kPa and 40 kPa irrigation scheduling threshold was maintained between tillering to flowering stages in main plot and application of N @ 120 kg/ha as control (N<sub>0</sub>), half recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) as basal + one-fourth RDN at week 2 + one-fourth RDN at week 5, and one-fourth RDN as basal + one-fourth RDN at week 2 + one-fourth RDN at week 5 + one-fourth RDN at week 9 after sowing in sub plot with three replications. The results bring to light that irrigation scheduling at 0 kPa recorded the highest yield, grain quality parameters and soil microbial activities. As regards of N application the yield, grain quality parameters and soil microbial activities were significantly influenced by split application of N. With increase in the number of splits application along with basal application found more effective over control.

#### Keywords

Hulling,  
Head rice recovery,  
milling,  
Protein content,  
Soil microbial  
activities

#### Article Info

Accepted:  
26 April 2017  
Available Online:  
10 May 2017

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the staple food for more than half of the world's population, and more than 90% of the world's rice is produced in Asia (FAO, 2009). However, the high water requirement of conventional, continuously flooded puddled transplanted rice (PTR) has become a major threat to the sustainability of rice production in regions facing current or future water scarcity. This is especially the case in the northwest Indo-Gangetic plains (IGP) of India, where the production of irrigated rice and wheat is

critical for food security of the country (Humphreys *et al.*, 2010). The steady decline of ground water has led to general acceptance of the need to find ways to reduce irrigation water input while maintaining yield (Yadav *et al.*, 2011). One way to reduce water input to rice is by improved irrigation management such as reduction in ponded water depth (Kukul and Aggarwal, 2002), use of saturated soil culture (Borrell *et al.*, 1997) and direct-seeding of rice (Bhushan *et al.*, 2007). Direct-seeded rice (DSR) is the technology which is

water, labour and energy efficient along with eco-friendly characteristics and can be a potential alternative to conventional PTR (Kumar and Ladha, 2011). Here, sowing of rice is done under non-puddled conditions and the crop is not subjected to transplanting stress (Singh *et al.*, 2008). Crop-weed competition, iron deficiency and nematodes are the major problems in DSR (Kreye *et al.*, 2009). Nonetheless, the shifting from TPR to DSR technologies can save resources in long run. Likewise, the nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in rice is very low ~ 33% as applied N is lost through various processes. The different moisture conditions in DSR as compared to PTR lead to temporal variability of crop response to N (Cassman *et al.*, 1996). Greater fertiliser NUE in rice can be achieved by using Nefficient varieties, improving timing and application methods and better incorporation of basal N fertiliser application without standing water (Ali *et al.*, 2007). In the light of the above context the present study was undertaken to investigate the effect of water and nitrogen management practices to improve the yield, grain quality and soil microbial activities of DSR.

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season 2015 at the research farm of ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. The farm was situated at latitude of 28°40' N and longitude of 77°12' E, altitude of 228.6 m above the mean sea level. The soil was sandy clay loam with low in organic C and available N, medium in available P and high in available K with pH 7.9 at the experimental site. The total rainfall received during the period of experimentation was 748.5 mm. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 12 treatments combination of irrigation scheduling (0 kPa; 10 kPa, 20 kPa and 40 kPa irrigation scheduling threshold was maintained between tillering to flowering stages) in main plot and

N application @ 120 kg/ha (control (N<sub>0</sub>), half RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 and one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one fourth at 9 week after sowing) in sub plot with three replications. The rice variety 'Pusa Basmati 1509' was sown with the help of multi-row crop planter at 22.5 cm row to row spacing on June 24, 2015. The seed rate was 30 kg/ha. The recommended dose of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> through single super phosphate and 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha through *Muriate of potash* was applied basal. A pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 0.75 kg/ha followed by post emergence application of bispyribac @ 0.025 kg/ha was done for effective weed management. Irrigations were given as per irrigation scheduling in different plots during the crop season. Data on yield, grain quality parameters and soil microbial activities were analysed as per the standard procedures. The grain yield was calibrated after the produce was sun dried for three days. The weighing for yield was done when the moisture content in grain was 12.5%. The physical characters of grain were recorded using standard procedures as per the details given below:

$$\text{Hulling (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of brown rice (g)}}{\text{Weight of rough rice (g)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Milling (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of milled rice (g)}}{\text{Weight of rough rice (g)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Head rice recovery (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of whole milled rice (g)}}{\text{Weight of rough rice (g)}} \times 100$$

## Results and Discussion

### Yield and grain quality

The outcomes of the study showed that scheduling of irrigation at various thresholds and split application of N significantly influenced the yield, rice grain quality

parameters (Table 1) and microbial activities (Table 2) of DSR. The highest yield was observed with irrigation scheduling at 0 kPa i.e. in saturated condition which was found at par with maintaining water threshold in soil through irrigation at 10 kPa. Thereafter irrigation scheduling at higher threshold i.e. 20 kPa and 10 kPa throughout the growing season except 40 kPa during tillering to flowering recorded a decline in grain yield of DSR during the growing season. Though, the treatments 10 kPa throughout the growing season except 40 kPa during tillering to flowering was found comparable with 20 kPa during the experimentation season. The similar findings also reported by Mahajan *et al.*, 2006 and Maheswari *et al.*, 2007. Regarding N application, the yield was significantly improved by increasing number of splits of N fertilizer over control. The lowest values of yield were recorded in

control (N<sub>0</sub>) plots which were significantly lower than the N application treatments. The highest yield was observed with split application of RDN as one-fourth basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one-fourth at week 9 after sowing was found comparable with one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5. The variation in partitioning of photosynthates in grain and vegetative organs of different treatments possibly caused a significant variation in yield (Jat *et al.*, 2014). The significant differences were recorded in the quality parameters of rice grain along with different irrigation scheduling thresholds and nitrogen. The highest value was observed with irrigation scheduling at 0 kPa which was found at par with 10 kPa in terms of quality parameters such as hulling, milling, head rice recovery, protein content and protein yield in DSR.

**Table.1** Effect of irrigation scheduling and N application on yield and Rice grain quality parameters of direct seeded rice

Treatment	Grain yield (t/ha)	Hulling (%)	Milling (%)	Head rice recovery (%)	Protein content (%)	Protein yield (kg/ha)
<i>Irrigation scheduling</i>						
0 kPa	4.83	70.3	64.7	54.7	7.72	376.9
10 kPa	4.68	68.0	63.7	52.7	7.64	361.6
20 kPa	4.24	67.3	63.3	50.7	7.50	324.2
40 kPa*	3.96	67.0	62.0	48.8	7.24	291.9
SEm ±	0.13	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.10	11.71
LSD (P=0.05)	0.46	2.11	NS	2.58	NS	40.51
<i>Nitrogen application</i>						
Control (N <sub>0</sub> )	3.60	65.0	61.3	48.7	6.70	229.3
N <sub>1</sub> **	4.62	67.7	63.0	50.7	7.76	359.6
N <sub>2</sub> ***	5.06	71.7	66.0	55.8	8.12	427.0
SEm ±	0.06	0.53	0.50	0.40	0.07	8.35
LSD (P=0.05)	0.18	1.58	1.50	1.21	0.20	25.04

\*10 kPa throughout the growing season except 40 kPa during tillering to flowering;

\*\*Half basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5

\*\*\*One-fourth basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one-fourth at week 9

**Table.2** Effect of irrigation scheduling and nitrogen application on soil Microbial activities in direct seeded rice

Treatment	Dehydrogenase activity ( $\mu\text{g TPF/g soil/day}$ )	Alkaline Phosphatase activity ( $\mu\text{g/g soil/hr}$ )	Microbial biomass carbon ( $\mu\text{g/g soil}$ )	Fluorescein diacetate activity ( $\mu\text{g/g soil/hr}$ )
<i>Irrigation scheduling</i>				
0 kPa	138.5	36.6	143.4	1.21
10 kPa	141.4	34.0	138.9	1.18
20 kPa	158.2	32.6	127.7	1.01
40 kPa*	161.1	31.6	113.4	0.94
SEm $\pm$	1.45	1.02	4.22	0.02
LSD ( $P=0.05$ )	5.02	NS	14.60	0.08
<i>Nitrogen application</i>				
Control ( $N_0$ )	124.1	26.0	105.6	0.88
$N_1^{**}$	138.8	34.3	134.0	1.14
$N_2^{***}$	186.5	40.7	153.1	1.24
SEm $\pm$	0.97	0.92	2.86	0.01
LSD ( $P=0.05$ )	2.92	2.75	8.59	0.03

\*10 kPa throughout the growing season except 40 kPa during tillering to flowering;

\*\*Half basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5

\*\*\*One-fourth basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one-fourth at week 9

Likewise, N management also significantly influenced the value of hulling, milling, head rice recovery, protein content and protein yield. Application of one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at 5 week + one fourth at week 9 after sowing recorded highest values followed by N application as one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5.

The higher values of grain quality parameters with irrigation scheduling and N fertilization might be due to increase in N concentrations

in rice grain and this attributed to increase in protein content which gave less breakage (Singh *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, higher protein content imparts strength to the grains resulted in greater head rice recovery (Kaushal *et al.*, 2010).

### Soil microbial activities

Soil microbial activities viz. dehydrogenase activity, microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and fluorescein diacetate activity (FDA) were significantly influenced by different irrigation

scheduling thresholds at flowering stage except alkaline phosphatase activity (APA). The maximum soil dehydrogenase activity was recorded in the treatments with irrigation scheduling at 10 kPa throughout the growing season except 40 kPa during tillering to flowering which was at par with 20 kPa. The higher dehydrogenase activity might be owing to availability of aerobic environment with higher levels of irrigation threshold (Saha, 2013).

However, the maximum activity of APA, MBC and FDA was observed with 0 kPa irrigation scheduling threshold which was statistically at par with 10 kPa. This could be due to optimum moisture availability which gave a favourable environment for soil habituating microbes (Jedidi *et al.*, 2004). The significant effect of split application of N was recorded on soil microbial activities over control. The soil microbial activities were higher with split application of one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one-fourth at week 9 after sowing recorded highest values followed by N application as one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5.

The microbial parameters indicated the biological redox system respiratory chain enzyme of soil microorganisms, which denoted that microbial activities were influenced with N application. The MBC is component of soil organic matter and it plays a vital role in nutrient cycling and stabilization of soil organic matter (Dhull *et al.*, 2004).

In conclusion, the study concludes that irrigation scheduling at 0 kPa i.e. in saturated condition recorded the highest productivity, grain quality parameters such as hulling, milling, head rice recovery, protein content and protein yield, and the soil microbial activities which were found on par with

maintaining water threshold in soil through irrigation at 10 kPa. Likewise, the highest yield, grain quality parameters and soil microbial activities were observed with split application of RDN as one-fourth basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5 + one-fourth at week 9 after sowing was found comparable with one-fourth RDN basal + one-fourth at week 2 + one-fourth at week 5.

## References

- Ali, M.A., Ladha, J.K., Rickman, J. and Lales, J.S. 2007. Nitrogen dynamics in lowland rice as affected by crop establishment and nitrogen management. *Journal of Crop Production* 20: 89–105.
- Bhushan, L., Ladha, J.K., Gupta, R.K., Singh, S., Tirol-Padre, A., Saharawat, Y.S., Gathala, M. and Pathak, H. 2007. Saving of water and labour in a rice–wheat system with no-tillage and direct seeding technologies. *Agronomy Journal* 99: 1288–1296.
- Borrell, A., Garside, A. and Fukai, S. 1997. Improving efficiency of water use for irrigated rice in a semi-arid tropical environment. *Field Crops Research* 52: 231–248.
- Cassman, K.G., Gines, H.C., Dizon, M., Samson, M.I. and Alcantara, J.M. 1996. Nitrogen-use efficiency in tropical lowland rice systems: Contributions from indigenous and applied nitrogen. *Field Crop Research* 47: 1–12.
- Dhull, S.K., Goyal, S., Krishan, K.K. and Mool, C.M. 2004. Microbial biomass carbon and microbial activities of soils receiving chemical fertilizers and organic amendments. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 50: 641–647.
- FAO, 2009. How to feed the world in 2050. [www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs)

- /docs /expert paper/ How to Feed the World in 2050 pdf.
- Humphreys, E., Kukal, S.S., Christen, E.W., Singh, B., Yadav, S. and Sharma, R.K. 2010. Halting the ground water decline in North West India—which crop technologies will be winners? *Advances in Agronomy* 109: 155–217
- Jadhav, A.S., Dhoble, M.V. and Chavan, D.A. 2003. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on yield and quality of Basmati rice. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities* 28(2): 187–188
- Jat, S.L., Shivay, Y.S. and Parihar, C.M. 2014. Effect of dual-purpose summer legumes and zinc fertilization on system productivity, economics and nutrient use-efficiencies of rice (*Oryza sativa*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 84(8): 942–950.
- Jedidi, N., Hassen, A., Van Cleemput, O. and Hiri, A. 2004. Microbial biomass in a soil amended with different types of organic wastes. *Waste Management Research* 22: 93–99.
- Kaushal, A.K., Rana, N.S., Singh, A. and Srivastav, A. 2010. Response of levels and split application of nitrogen in green manured wetland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2(2): 42–46.
- Kreye, C., Bouman, B.A.M., Castaneda, A.R., Lampayan, R.M., Faronilo, J.E., Lactaoen, A.T. and Fernandez, L. 2009. Possible causes of yield failure in tropical aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research* 111: 197–206.
- Kukal, S.S., Aggarwal, G.C. 2002. Percolation losses of water in relation to puddling intensity and depth in a sandy loam rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) field. *Agric. Water Manage.* 57: 49–59.
- Kumar, V. and Ladha, J.K. 2011. Direct Seeding of Rice: Recent Developments and Future Research Needs. *Advances in Agronomy* 111: 297.
- Mahajan, G., Sardana, V., Brar, A.S. and Gill, M.S. 2006. Effect of seed rates, irrigation intervals and weed pressure on productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 76: 756–759.
- Maheswari, J., Maragatham, N. and Martin, G.J. 2007. Relatively simple irrigation scheduling and N application enhances the productivity of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *American Journal of Plant Physiology* 2: 261–68.
- Saha, S. 2013. Effect of nitrogen nutrition and production techniques of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) on productivity, soil chemical and microbial properties, M.Sc. thesis submitted to IARI New Delhi.
- Singh, D.K., Pandey, P.C., Priyanker, Q.A. and Gupta, S. 2015. Nitrogen management strategies for direct seeded aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in mollisols of Uttarakhand (India). *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science in Agriculture* 1(7): 2394–5532.
- Singh, Y., Singh, V.P., Chauhan, B., Orr, A., Mortimer, A.M., Johnson, D.E. and Hardy, B. 2008. Direct seeding of rice and weed management in the irrigated rice-wheat cropping system of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Los Baños (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute, and Pantnagar (India): Directorate of Experiment Station, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, pp. 272.
- Yadav, S., Gill, G., Humphreys, E., Kukal, S.S. and Walia, U.S. 2011. Effect of water management on dry direct seeded rice and puddled transplanted rice Part 1: *Crop performance. Field Crops Research* 120: 112–122.

**How to cite this article:**

Anita Kumawat, Seema Sepat, Dinesh Kumr, Surender Singh, Dinesh Jinger, Shanti Devi Bamboriya and Anil Kumar Verma. 2017. Effect of irrigation scheduling and nitrogen application on yield, grain quality and soil microbial activities in direct-seeded rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(5): 2855-2860. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2017.605.323>