

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.603.081>**Mobile Phones in Healthcare Setting: Potential Threat in Infection Control**

P.C. Ganapathy Shakthivel, G. Velvzhi*, G. Sucilathangam and C. Revathy

Department of Microbiology, Tirunelveli Medical College, Tirunelveli - 627 011,
Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding author

A B S T R A C T**Keywords**Mobile phones,
Healthcare workers,
Micrococci,
E.coli, MRSA.**Article Info****Accepted:**

15 February 2017

Available Online:

10 March 2017

Mobile phones are used in close contact with the body and, as for most non-medical electronic equipment, there are no cleaning guidelines available that meet hospital standards, and the hygiene risk involved in using mobile phones has not yet been determined. The present study was undertaken to investigate bacterial contamination of the mobile phones of the healthcare workers employed in a tertiary care hospital and also to assess the usefulness of simple cleaning with 70% isopropyl alcohol for their decontamination. A total of 50 health care workers of a tertiary hospital were selected randomly. Growth of bacteria was identified by studying colony morphology, gram staining and by standard biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity testing of isolates was done by modified Kirby-Bauer method. Mobile phones of the doctors mostly harboured commensal bacteria [*Coagulase Negative Staphylococci* 3 (30%), *Micrococci* 5(50%), aerobic bacterial spores 1(10%)] and the mobile phones of the hospital workers mostly harboured pathogenic bacteria *Micrococci* 3(30%), *E.coli* 2(20%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* 2 (20%) and aerobic bacterial spores 1(10%). The isolated *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were resistant to Cefoxitin (MRSA). The two *Klebsiella oxytoca* isolates were found to be multi drug resistant. Mobile phone decontamination efficacy of 70% isopropyl alcohol in our study was about 86.6%. To prevent the potential spread of infections through mobile phones, training of the health care personnel about strict infection control practices, hand hygiene, environmental disinfection and routine decontamination of mobile phones with alcohol should be advocated to prevent the spread of infection in the hospital settings.

Introduction

Hospital acquired infections are a problem in both developed and developing countries despite overall progress. It significantly increases the patient's length of stay in hospital resulting in higher hospital costs. Source of infection may be exogenous such as from the air, medical equipment, hands of surgeons and other staff or endogenous such as the skin flora in the operative site, or rarely from blood used in the surgery (Ducel *et al.*, 2002). The hands of healthcare workers

play an important role in transmission of Nosocomial pathogens.

With recent advances in the source of information, mobile phone use has become indispensable in hospitals (Gurang *et al.*, 2008). The use of cell phones often occurs in hospital halls, laboratories, and/or intensive care units when dealing with severe illnesses. Mobile phones may transmit more than just information in today's busy hospitals. They

may also be involved in the transmission of infections in the healthcare systems (Rawia *et al.*, 2012; Kabir *et al.*, 2009).

Mobile phones are used in close contact with the body and, as for most non-medical electronic equipment, there are no cleaning guidelines available that meet hospital standards, and the hygiene risk involved in using mobile phones has not yet been determined. To address this issue, this study was undertaken to investigate bacterial contamination of the mobile phones of the healthcare workers employed in a tertiary care hospital and also to assess the usefulness of simple cleaning with 70% isopropyl alcohol for their decontamination.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

A total of 50 health care workers of a tertiary hospital were selected randomly. After obtaining their consent, they were asked to answer the questionnaire - their profession, whether they use their phones between patients, usage of phones with gloved hands and whether routine cleaning of phones had been done were recorded. The study was undertaken after obtaining approval from institutional ethical committee. Mobile phones of doctors, nurses, laboratory technicians, nursing assistants & hospital workers were included in the study over a period of 2 months, from 1st July 2015 to 31st August 2015.

Sample collection and processing

From each mobile phone two swabs were collected with help of sterile cotton swabs. The mobile phone was first held in the sterile gloved hand and the samples were collected by using sterile swabs moistened with normal saline rotating and streaking over the screen,

keypad, sides and external cover surface of the mobile phone. (Before decontamination swab). The mobile phone was then thoroughly cleaned with 70% isopropyl alcohol swab for 5 to 7 minutes and another swab was collected (After decontamination swab)

Laboratory procedure

The collected samples were immediately transferred to microbiology laboratory and inoculated on Blood agar, Nutrient agar and MacConkey agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and observed for the growth. Growth of bacteria was identified by studying colony morphology, gram staining and by standard biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity testing of isolates was done by modified Kirby-Bauer method in accordance to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

Results and Discussion

This study revealed that out of a total of 50 mobile phones screened for microbial colonization, 45(90%) were found to be positive comprising of various pathogenic bacteria and commensal bacteria.

The distribution of commensal bacteria were *Micrococci* 24 (48%), *Coagulase Negative Staphylococci* 6 (12%), and aerobic bacterial spores 9(18%) while the pathogenic bacteria isolated were *Escherichia coli* 2 (4%), *Staphylococcus aureus* 2 (4%) and *Klebsiella oxytoca* 2 (4%). Category wise distribution of bacterial isolates were shown in Table 1.

The antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the isolates revealed that *CONS* were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Vancomycin and Amikacin. Both isolated *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were resistant to Cefoxitin (MRSA). *Klebsiella oxytoca* isolates were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Amikacin and Gentamicin and

resistant to Ceftazidime, Ceftazidime with Clavulanic acid and Septran. *Escherichia coli* were sensitive to all the tested antibiotics.

The questionnaire analysis showed almost all cadres of healthcare workers use mobile phones in the hospital. Among them, about 20 (40%) use mobile phones while attending to the patients, only 5(10%) of them used to wipe their mobile phones with disinfectants regularly. About 6(12%) healthcare workers had the habit of washing their hands after using their mobile phones. About 25(50%) healthcare workers under the study had the habit of washing their hands before attending to the patients. Nearly 26(52%) healthcare workers agreed that mobile phones may act as vector for spread of nosocomial infections. About 42(84%) healthcare workers shared their mobile phones with their colleagues. About 44(88%) healthcare workers shared their mobile phones at home. This is depicted in Table-2.

The study also analysed the use of decontamination of mobile phones with 70% isopropyl alcohol. About 45(90%) mobile phones showed positive bacterial growth before decontamination. After decontamination with 70% isopropyl alcohol only 6(12%) mobile phones showed positive bacterial growth, thus the efficacy of 70% isopropyl alcohol in our study was about 86.6%. This is depicted in Table 3.

Mobile phones have become an essential commodity in man's day-to-day life. In India, mobile phones account for more than 88% of all telecom users. It is carried by the doctors during visits to the hospital and is extensively used within the hospital premises including areas like Operation theatres and Intensive care units. If proper infection control practices especially hand hygiene are not followed these device can serve as a reservoir of infection.

The present study showed that about 90% of the mobile phones screened were contaminated with pathogenic and commensal bacteria which is similar to the study conducted by Karabay *et al.*, (2007) who showed the rate of 90.98% mobile contamination. The studies conducted by Ulger *et al.*, (2009) Tambekar *et al.*, (2008) showed higher rates of contamination (94.5%, 95%). In contrary, studies conducted by Killic *et al.*, (2009) and Datta *et al.*, (2009) found contamination of mobile phones to be 61.3% and 72% respectively which are less than the rate of mobile phone contamination in the current study.

The incidence of gram positive organism mainly *Micrococci* (48%) is high as it is commonly found in the environment and it is a transient flora in the normally healthy skin. The other organisms that were isolated includes *CONS* (12%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (4%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (4%), and *Escherichia coli* (4%). These organisms may perhaps found their way through the skin and hands because mobile phones are seldom cleaned and often touched during or after examination of patients and handling of specimens, without proper hand wash.

The mobile phones of majority of doctors and staff nurse harboured *Micrococci* followed by aerobic bacterial spores and *Staphylococcus aureus*. The mobile phones of lab technicians and nursing assistants mainly showed growth of commensals like *Micrococci*, *CONS* and aerobic bacterial spores. The mobile phones of hospital workers harboured the gram negative bacteria *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* in addition to *Micrococci* and aerobic bacterial spores. Similar to this result, other studies have also demonstrated the presence of these bacteria as contaminants of healthcare workers' mobile phones (Sepehri *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, certain studies had also reported organisms like

Pseudomonas species, *Neisseria* species, and *Acinetobacter* species which were not isolated in this study (Chawla *et al.*, 2009; Gunasekara *et al.*, 2009). Even though the isolated strains

of *Staphylococcus aureus* were minimal in number their antibiotic sensitivity pattern showed resistance to Cefoxitin.

Table.1 Category wise distribution of bacterial isolates

Health Care Workers	CONS (%)	<i>Micrococci</i> (%)	Aerobic bacterial spores(%)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (%)	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (%)	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (%)
Doctors (n=10)	3(30)	5(50)	1(10)	1(10)	0	0
Staff Nurse(n=10)	0	7(70)	1(10)	1(10)	0	0
Lab Technicians(n=10)	2(20)	4(40)	3(30)	0	0	0
Nursing Assistants (n=10)	1(10)	5(50)	3(30)	0	0	0
Hospital Workers(n=10)	0	3(30)	1(10)	0	2(20)	2(20)

Table.2 Participants' response for questions related to the use of Mobile phones

Habits	Response of Health care workers (N=50)%	
	Yes	No
Using cell phones in the hospital (%)	50(100)	0
Using cell phones while attending the patients(%)	20(40)	30(60)
Using disinfectants to wipe their mobile phones(%)	5(10)	45(90)
Washing hands after using their phones(%)	6(12)	44(88)
Washing hands before attending patients(%)	25(50)	25(50)
Awareness about spreading infections through phones(%)	26(52)	24(48)
Sharing cell phones with - colleagues(%)	42(84)	8(16)
Sharing cell phones at home (%)	44(88)	6(12)

Table.3 Percentage of bacterial growth before and after decontamination of Mobile phones with 70% isopropyl alcohol

Cell phones(n=50)	Growth positive	Growth negative	Total
Before decontamination	45(90%)	5(10%)	50(100%)
After decontamination	6(12%)	44(88%)	50(100%)

Similarly the *Klebsiella oxytoca* strains that were isolated showed resistance to Ceftazidime, Ceftazidime with Clavulanic acid and Septran antibiotics. These *Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)* and multidrug resistant *Klebsiella oxytoca* are potentially dangerous organisms in the spread of nosocomial infections.

Decontamination of the mobile phones with 70% isopropyl alcohol proved to be effective. About 45 mobile phones (90%) showed positive bacterial growth prior to decontamination. About 39 mobile phones (78%) showed zero bacterial growth after decontamination with 70% isopropyl alcohol. The remaining 6 mobile phones (12%) showed decreased bacterial growth after decontamination. Thus the efficacy of 70% isopropyl alcohol in our study was about 86.6%. This result was higher than a study conducted elsewhere in the world which showed an efficacy of 47.8% (Mukhtar *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, a study conducted by Arora *et al.*, (Arora *et al.*, 2009) showed a higher decontamination efficacy of about 98% with 70% isopropyl alcohol.

Only 10 % of the healthcare workers had the habit of wiping their mobile phones with disinfectants regularly. If decontamination of mobile phones with 70% isopropyl alcohol is made as a regular practice among healthcare workers, the spread of nosocomial infections through mobile phones can be significantly reduced.

To conclude our study reveals that mobile phones of HCW's may get contaminated with pathogenic bacteria which can cause hospital infections and may serve as reservoirs and vehicle of transmission of infection to both hospital and community. To prevent the potential spread of infections through mobile phones, training of the health care personnel about strict infection control practices, hand hygiene, environmental disinfection and routine decontamination of mobile phones with alcohol should be advocated to prevent the spread of infection in the hospital settings.

References

- Arora, U., Devi, P., Chadha, A., Malhotra, S. 2009. Cellphones a modern stay house for bacterial pathogens. *JK Sci.*, 11(3): 127–129.
- Chawla, K., Mukhopadhyay, C., Gurung, B., Bhate, P., Bairy, I. 2009. Bacterial “Cell” phones: do cell phones carry potential pathogens. *Online J. Health and Allied Sci.*, 8(1): 1–5.
- Datta, P., Rani, H., Chander, J., Gupta, V. 2009. Bacterial contamination of mobile phones of health care workers. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.*, 27: 279-281.
- Ducel, G., Fabry, J., Nicolle, L. 2002. Prevention of hospital-acquired infections. A practical guide, 2nd edition: World Health Organization, Department of Communicable Disease Surveillance and Response; pp 4-7.
- Gunasekara, T.D., Kudavidanage, B.P., Peelawattage, M.K. *et al.* 2009. Bacterial contamination of anaesthetists

- hands, personal mobile phones and wrist watches used during theatre sessions. *Sri Lankan J. Anaesthesiol.*, 17: 11–15.
- Gurang, B., Bhati, P., Rani, U., Chawla, K., Mukhopodhyay, C., Barry, I. 2008. Do mobiles carry pathogens. *Microcon*.
- Kabir OAkinyemi, Audu, D., Atapu, Olabisi, O., Adenota and Akitoye, O. Coker. 2009. The Potential role of mobile phones in the spread of bacterial infections. *J. Infect. Dev. Countries*, 3(8): 628-32.
- Killic, I.H., Ozaslan, M., Karagoz, I.D., Zer, Y., Da-vatoglu, V. 2009. The microbial contamination of mobile phones used by healthcare staff. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 12: 882-884.
- Muktar, G., Daniel, A., Zelalem, A. 2014. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Bacteria Isolated from Mobile Phones of Health Care Professionals Working in Gondar Town Health Centers, School of Biomedical and Laboratory Sciences, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, P.O. Box 196, Gondar, Ethiopia. Academic Editors: B. G. L'opez-Valc'arcel and A. R. Mawson. Copyright © 2014 Muktar Gashaw *et al.*
- Oguz, K., Esra, K., Mustafa, T. 2007. The role of mobile phones in the Spread of bacteria associated with nosocomial Infections. *J. Infect Developing Countries*, 1(1): 72-73.
- Rawia, I.B., Hatem, I.B., Nabil, M.A. 2012. Mobile phones and Nosocomial infections. *Int. J. Infect. Control*, 8: i2 1-5.
- Roth, R., Jenner, W. 1998. Microbial ecology of the skin. *Annual Rev. Microbiol.*, 42: 441-64.
- Sepehri, G., Talebizadeh, N., Mirzazadeh, A., Mir-Shekari, T.R., Sepehri, E. 2009. Bacterial contamination and resistance to commonly used antimicrobials of healthcare workers' mobile phones in teaching hospitals, Kerman, Iran. *The American J. Appl. Sci.*, 6(5): 806–810.
- Tambekar, D.H., Gulhane, P.B., Dahikar, S.G., Dud-hane, M.N. 2008. Nosocomial hazards of doctor's mobile phones in hospitals. *J. Med. Sci.*, 8: 73-76.
- Ulger, F., Esen, S., Dilek, A., Yanik, K., Gunaydin, M. and Leblebicioglu, H. 2009. Are we aware how contaminated our mobile phones with nosocomial pathogens? *Annals of Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrobials*, 8: 1476-1487.

How to cite this article:

Ganapathy Shakhthivel, P.C., G. Velvzhi, G. Sucilathangam, Revathy, C. 2017. Mobile Phones in Healthcare Setting: Potential Threat in Infection Control. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(3): 706-711. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2017.603.081>