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**A B S T R A C T**

Surgical Site infections (SSI) are the most common nosocomial infections, resulting in increased mortality and morbidity in post-surgical patients. It represents an economical burden to healthcare systems. Sutures act as nidus for microorganisms to multiple at the operated site resulting in SSI, thus this study was undertaken to determine the use of antibacterial coated suture to decrease the incidence of SSI in comparison to use of non-coated sutures. Agar Diffusion test (ADT) was used to test the efficacy of antibacterial-coated and non-coated suture material. A good zone of inhibition was seen around the antibiotic coated suture against MRSA, MSSA, Proteus mirabilis, Proteus vulgaris and E. coli and no zone of inhibition against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Triclosan coated sutures showed good antibacterial activity in-Vitro growth and so may be considered its use as a routine practice.
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**Introduction**

The term ‘surgical site infection’ (SSI) was introduced in 1992 to replace the previous term ‘surgical wound infection’. Surgical site infections (SSIs) are defined as infections occurring up to 30 days after surgery (or up to one year after surgery in patients receiving implants) and affecting either the incision or deep tissue at the operation site.

SSI is a common complication comprising a major percentage of health care associated infection (HAI) worldwide. Because of its influence on quality of life, duration of hospital stay, requirement of additional treatment (antibiotics), financial burden; prevention and treatment of SSI is very important task. Onset of SSI is associated with various factors like malnutrition, DM, implanted foreign materials, type of surgery (clean, clean contaminated or contaminated), including type of suture material used. 66% of SSI occur at the site of incision as they act as nidus for attachment for organisms as shown in Figure 1. In view of this many studies have been done to avoid bacterial...
colonization on and around the suture material especially by the use of antibacterial-coated suture material. Even though antibacterial suture material reduces the colonization of bacteria on it, it doesn’t kill the bacteria or prevent them from adhering to the suture material once biofilm has been formed making it difficult to treat.\(^7,8,9,10,11\)

The most common organisms responsible for causing SSI are \textit{S. aureus}-MSSA, MRSA, \textit{S. epidermidis}, \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Enterococci} sp., \textit{Proteus} sp., \textit{Pseudomonas} sp., CONS etc.

In this study Triclosan coated suture material is compared with non-coated suture material in- vitro, to know their action on these common organisms causing SSI.

Triclosan was developed in 1960’s. It is a broad spectrum antibacterial and antifungal agent found in consumer products like toothpastes, detergents, hand wash antiseptic solutions, soaps, toys etc. \(2,4,4’\)-trichloro-\(2’\)-hydroxydiphenyl ether is its chemical nature and its possible mode of action being disruption of bacterial cell membrane; which is controversial.\(^12\) Newer studies have shown that they block the enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase enzyme (required for bacterial fatty acid synthesis) by targeting Fab I gene.\(^13,14,15\)

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

This study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology, J.N. Medical College, KLE University, Belgaum.

Agar Diffusion test (ADT) was used to test the efficacy of antibacterial-coated and non-coated suture material.

0.5 McFarland (which corresponds to 1.5 X \(10^8\) bacteria per ml) standard suspensions were prepared of each organism separately. MRSA, MSSA, \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, \textit{Proteus vulgaris} and \textit{Proteus mirabilis} lawn cultures were made on Muller Hinton Agar (Hi-Media).

4 cm each of antibacterial-coated suture material and non-coated suture material were cut with all aseptic precautions and then transferred onto the inoculated MHA plates on each halves.

These plates were then incubated at 37\(^0\)C overnight.

Zone of inhibition were measured perpendicular to mid-point of suture material.

Zone of inhibition around the antibiotic coated suture was compared with that of non-coated suture in millimeters.

\textbf{Results and Discussion}

After overnight incubation, a good zone of inhibition of 10-11 mm was seen around the antibiotic coated suture and no zone of inhibition was seen around uncoated suture material against MRSA and MSSA- as seen in Figure 1 and 2.

A zone of 2-4mm was seen around the antibiotic coated suture and no zone of inhibition was seen around uncoated suture material against \textit{Proteus mirabilis}, \textit{Proteus vulgaris} and \textit{E. coli}- as seen in Figure 3, 4 and 5.

And no zone of inhibition was seen against \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} as in Figure 6. \textit{Antibiotic} coated sutures inhibited the in-vitro growth of MRSA, MSSA, \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Proteus mirabilis} and \textit{Proteus vulgaris}.

Similar results were found in the study done by Rothenburger’s \textit{et al.}, who used triclosan coated polyglactin sutures to look for their action against MSSA, MRSA and \textit{S.epidermidis}.\(^16\)
**Fig. 1** 11mm zone of inhibition seen around the antibacterial coated suture and no zone around non-coated against MRSA

**Fig. 2** 11mm zone of inhibition seen around the antibacterial coated suture and no zone around non-coated against MSSA
**Fig. 3** 2mm zone of inhibition seen around the antibacterial coated suture and no zone around non-coated against *E. coli*

**Fig. 4** 4mm zone of inhibition seen around the antibacterial coated suture and no zone around non-coated against *Proteus mirabilis*
**Fig. 5** 2mm zone of inhibition seen around the antibacterial coated suture and no zone around non-coated against *Proteus vulgaris*.

**Fig. 6** No zone of inhibition seen around both antibacterial coated suture and non-coated against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. 
In a study done by Rozzelle et al., infection rate were seen to be reduced by the use of triclosan coated suture for the CSF shunt procedures in comparison to the use of conventional sutures from 21% to 4.3%.17

Skin is a dynamic home of large number of bacteria, with up to 3 million microorganisms on each square centimeter of skin.18 The common skin commensals like CONS, diptheroids etc. were the most common organisms associated with SSI.

Thus it might be assumed that use of antibacterial coated suture could significantly reduce SSI rate and thereby the cost and duration of patient’s hospital stay. The antibacterial coated sutures were found effective not only against the common skin commensals causing SSI but also against E. coli, MRSA and MSSA too.

In our study Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found to be resistant to both antibacterial coated and non-coated suture. Some of the bacteria such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter require high concentration of Triclosan for the bactericidal effect. In the study by Leaper D et al., it was evident that Pseudomonas aeruginosa exhibit innate resistance to Triclosan due to the presence of multi-drug efflux pumps in them that remove Triclosan from cell and also may be due to the presence of varied version of anoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase enzyme (ENR) required for fatty acid synthesis.19

The potential benefits of using an antibacterial coated suture may justify the slightly higher cost of these sutures, when compared with cost of managing SSI.

However the results of this in-vitro model must be validated in-vivo prior to its application in humans.

Our study has a limitation of antibacterial suture being not tested against other organisms like Klebsiella pneumonia, Acinetobacter, Strep pyogenes, Staph epidermidis and Enterococcus faecalis, which are known causative pathogens of SSI.
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