Introduction

Tinea infections are considered among the most common dermatologic conditions all over the world. India being a tropical country, many people are affected. Though it has been found to have perennial presentation, but the spikes are seen during rainy season.

Studies on the prevalence of dermatophytes have been carried out in different regions of India from time to time, and Trichophyton rubrum has been found to be the predominant species in most of the regions. Some of the studies have been listed in Table 1. Here in a
tertiary care centre in Western U.P., *Trichophyton interdigitale* (formerly *Trichophyton mentagrophyte*), has been found to be the predominant dermatophyte species.

According to a study carried out here recently, *Trichophyton interdigitale* was the commonest dermatophyte species isolated 98.5%, *Trichophyton rubrum* 0.5% and *Trichophyton violaceum* 1.28% (unpublished data).

The causative agent of dermatophyte can belong to any of the three genera: *Trichophyton*, *Epidermophyton* or *Microsporum*, and their species, which have specific geographical distribution.

According to the different body sites involved, the clinical diagnosis can be named as tinea faciei, tinea corporis, tinea genitalis, tinea cruris, tinea capitis, tines pedis and tinea unguium. Among these, tinea corporis is the commonest clinical type, followed by tinea cruris.

Due to unethical use of topical steroids, the lesion can be extensive, atypical and without central clearing. The clinical form, tinea faciei, once thought to be uncommon, is now being reported with increased frequency. Keeping this in mind, we conducted a study on the clinical profile of tinea faciei and its mycological aspect and mode of transmission.

We noticed five clinical pattern of tinea faciei according to its typical morphological features, which are given below:

Classical annular pattern with raised scaly margins and central clearing (Figure 1).

Circular erythematous plaques, studded with pustules and without central clearing (most common finding in children and females) (Figure 2).

Annular or serpiginous border only encircling either whole of the face or covering forehead and temple area (most common presentation in adult males) (Figure 3).

Erythematous plaques unilaterally or bilaterally distributed on the malar area of face (Figure 4).

Ill-defined scaly lesions anywhere on the face, without the signs of inflammation (Figure 5).

**Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at a tertiary care centre of Western U.P. in North India from July 2015 to June 2016. Permission to conduct the study was taken from the ethical committee of the institute.

**Inclusion criterion**

Clinically typical or clinically suspicious (atypical forms), but KOH positive and/or culture positive cases of tinea faciei of all ages and both sexes were included in the study.

Data was recorded in the form of age, sex, site on the face, duration, clinical presentation, treatment taken, dermatophyte species identification and the probable source of contact and mode of acquiring the disease. Non-bearded area of adult males were considered as tinea faciei and so included in the study.

Patients were sent to the Microbiology department of a tertiary care centre for the collection and processing of the samples.

**Methods**

The sample was collected after thoroughly scrubbing the suspicious area with 70% ethyl alcohol. The scales were collected from the
periphery with the help of sterile scalpel blade in a sterile petri dish. Skin scrapings or nail clippings were collected from other sites, if present and were processed separately. All the samples were examined for fungal elements in Potassium hydroxide (KOH) 20% mount under high power of the microscope. Both positive and negative samples were inoculated on Sabouraud Cycloheximide Chloramphenicol Agar (HiMedia). The plates were incubated at 25°C for a period of four weeks and were observed every week for growth. Culture positive plates were observed for colony characteristics both on surface and reverse. LactoPhenol Cotton Blue (LPCB) preparations by teased mount method and scotch tape method were prepared to study the microscopic structures in detail. Urease test and in vitro hair perforation tests were performed for the confirmation of *Trichophyton interdigitale* species. Also, other standard tests needed for the identification of dermatophytes were performed according to the description given in various textbooks, manuals, and journals.

**Results and Discussion**

A total number of 78 patients were enrolled for the study, out of which 48 (62.50%) patients were males and 30 (37.5%) were females.

Annular lesions without central clearing and pustules, was the most common presentation in 20 (25.64%) patients (Figure 2), followed by plaque like lesions in 16 (20.51%) patients (Figure 4). Ill-defined scaly lesions without signs of inflammation were seen in 15 (19.23%) patients (Figure 5), classical annular lesions were seen in 14 (17.95%) patients (Figure 1) and only raised serpiginous border without papules were present in 13 (16.67%) patients (Figure 3). Most common age group was between 21-30 years (Table 2). Lowest age in males was one year and in females was three years.

The most common site on the face was cheek in children and females and forehead and temple area in males.

Atypical forms, which were found to be both KOH and culture negative, were investigated further for the diagnosis of rosacea, seborrheic dermatitis, contact dermatitis, and lupus erythematosus by histopathological studies. Such atypical cases were not included in the study. Associated findings besides scaling and erythema were burning, itching and photosensitivity. None of them had vesicular presentation. Site of presentation was cheek, forehead, temple and chin in descending order, bilateral malar eminences, periorbital and ear area were also involved in some.

Body sites other than face were affected in 42 patients mainly as tinea corporis or tinea cruris. Tinea unguium of fingernails were seen in two patients, and tinea capitis was not seen in any child with tinea faciei.

History of topical steroid application was found in patients with atypical clinical forms of tinea faciei (Figure 2-5).

There were few patients of tinea incognito of face with clinical pictures of hypopigmented scaly plaques on nose, right cheek extending upto ear (Figure 6), ‘Ring-within-a-ring’ over right cheek (Figure 7) and ‘Double edged tinea’ over right cheek (Figure 8). They were all included in clinical pattern group 5.

**Mycological features**

Among 78 cases of tinea faciei, all were KOH positive, 58 (74.36%) were culture positive. On KOH examination, branching septate
hyphae were seen. *T. interdigitale* was isolated in 57 cases and *T. violaceum* was found only in one case and other species were not found. Colonies of *T. interdigitale* were powdery to fluffy, cream to white in obverse and yellow to brown on reverse (Figure 9). Lacto Phenol Cotton Blue mounts were prepared, which showed septate fungal hyphae, with numerous spherical microconidia arranged in grape-like clusters, cigar shaped macroconidia and spiral hyphae (Figure 10). Hot and humid climate of western Uttar Pradesh along with topical steroid abuse is possibly responsible for such a large number of dermatophyte infections. One third of daily OPD attendance consists of dermatophyte infection. Extensive and atypical tinea corporis with no central clearing is the most common clinical presentation, followed by tinea cruris, tinea genitalis, tinea unguium, tinea capitis and tinea pedis in descending order. Tinea faciei can pose a diagnostic problem, being on exposed area and application of cosmetics and steroid creams can mask some of the clinical features.
Figure 5. Irregular scaly plaque with involvement of ear and left side of face ‘ear sign’

Figure 6. Hypopigmented scaly plaques on right cheek, nose and right ear

Figure 7. Three annular hypopigmented lesion on right cheek. The one below eye has ‘ring-within-a-ring’ appearance

Figure 8. Ill-defined hypopigmented plaques on right cheek with ‘double-edged’ lines due to use of topical steroid

Figure 9. Mixed appearance of colonies; colony on the top with granular appearance and other two having cottony appearance

Figure 10. LCB mount T. interdigitale showing both cigar shaped macroconidia and microconidia in grape like clusters and some spiral hyphae
Table 1: Results of various studies in India on the prevalence of dermatophytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Place of Study</th>
<th>Predominant species</th>
<th>Second Predominant species</th>
<th>Other dermatophytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noronha et al. 2016</td>
<td>North Karnataka</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 48.3%</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 38.3%</td>
<td><em>T. violaceum</em> 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poluri LV. 2015</td>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 58.06%</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 22.58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan et al., 2015</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 79%</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surendran et al., 2014</td>
<td>Mangalore</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 67.5%</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatia VK, Sharma PC. 2014</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 63.5%</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 35.1%</td>
<td><em>M. canis</em> 3.2% <em>M. gypseum</em> 3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumana et al., 2004</td>
<td>Khammam Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 60%</td>
<td><em>T. violaceum</em> 26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grover &amp; Roy. 2003</td>
<td>North-East India</td>
<td><em>T. tonsurans</em> 20.5%</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 9%</td>
<td><em>M. ferrugineum</em> 5.8% <em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parwardhan et al., 1999</td>
<td>Aurangabad Maharashtra</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 28.12%</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karmakar et al., 1995</td>
<td>Western Rajasthan</td>
<td><em>T. violaceum</em> 55.76%</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 42.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al., 1993</td>
<td>Ludhiana</td>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> 42.42%</td>
<td><em>E. flocossum</em> 15.15%</td>
<td><em>T. mentagrophyte</em> 6.06%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age wise distribution of Tinea faciei

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>2(4.76%)</td>
<td>4(11.11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>14 (33.33%)</td>
<td>6(16.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>20(47.62%)</td>
<td>18(50.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>4(9.52%)</td>
<td>7(19.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>2(4.76%)</td>
<td>1(2.78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2$ (Chi Square) value = 4.690, df=4, p-value <0.321 (Not significant)
As depicted in Table 3, tinea faciei patients with classical annular lesions with raised scaly margins with central clearing, were not using topical or systemic steroids for the treatment, so can be categorized as typical forms but in later four categories, patients gave history of using steroids either in the form of injections, tablets or creams and were placed in atypical forms. So in these cases, clinical picture of tinea was lost and diagnosis was made after thorough history, clinical examination, KOH mount and culture.

Tinea faciei, unlike other types of tinea, has not gained much attention and has been often treated as part of tinea corporis. But according to a study ‘A 20-year survey of tinea faciei’ by Nicola et al., it has been strongly recommended that tinea faciei should be considered as separate entity and should be differentiated from other inflammatory facial dermatitis. According to various authors, it has been recommended that tinea faciei should be considered as distinct clinical form because of the facial anatomy and physiological characteristics, frequent washing, exposure to sun and application of cosmetics can lead to atypical clinical presentation, which may result in incorrect diagnosis.

Most of those adult male patients gave history of having visited salons for haircut and facial massage. In majority of children, history of tinea was positive in their family members. In cases of tinea corporis and tinea cruris, there can be involvement of face due to inoculation. But, in patients with exclusive tinea faciei, we could not get the exact source of infection.

Some of the outstanding and extensive studies carried out recently by Verma and Madhu (2017), who has great expertise in dermatophytosis, has mentioned about the inadvertent and unethical use of topical steroids, due to which dermatophytoses has acquired epidemic proportion and also tinea faciei is being reported with increased frequency. The scenario here in Western U.P. fits into his description except in this region, T. interdigitale predominates.

All the isolates of T. interdigitale from tinea faciei phenotypically appeared like anthropophilic strains and clinically also the lesions looked like due to anthropophilic strain. They were not inflammatory or ulcerative like in case of zoophilic infections. The anthropophilic species usually cause more chronic, less circumscribed infections, which result in less resistance to re-infection.

The colonies of anthropophilic strains are cottony in appearance and that of zoophilic strains have granular appearance. At times, the colony may have mixed appearance (Figure 9).

Limitation of the study is that we did not do the molecular typing of the isolates. It is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical pattern</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Classical annular</td>
<td>6(14.29%)</td>
<td>8(22.22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Erythematous plaques and pustules without central clearing</td>
<td>4(9.25%)</td>
<td>16(44.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Serpiginous border like</td>
<td>13(30.95%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Granulomatous plaques either U/L or B/L on malar eminences</td>
<td>4(9.525%)</td>
<td>12(33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ill-defined scaly lesions without signs of inflammation</td>
<td>15(35.71%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42(100%)</td>
<td>36(100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2$ (Chi Square) value= 39.256 at DF4, P-value <0.0001 (Highly Significant)
usually not possible to differentiate between the anthropophilic strains and zoophilic strains of *T. interdigitale* on morphological and biochemical basis alone.

Though, clinically, it is also seen that infection due to zoophilic strains is acute and inflammatory and at times, may heal with scarring. Infection due to anthropophilic strain is chronic and contagious.

But, molecular identification methods are needed for the differentiation of zoophilic strains of *T. interdigitale, T. mentagrophyte,* and *Trichophyton* anamorph of *Arthroderma benhamiae.*

Tinea faciei is a clinical condition which needs our special attention due to diagnostic challenges associated with it. At times, patient with tinea faciei may complain about the facial lesion, which can be just the tip of the iceberg and without mentioning about tinea cruris/genitalis and the patient could have acquired tinea faciei due to autoinoculation. Sample should be collected and processed from different lesions present in a patient.

There is rising incidence of tinea faciei recently. Multiple factors could be responsible like frequent visits to beauty parlours, and salons for facial massage in case of exclusive tinea faciei. Unethical mixing of potent topical steroids in cosmetics such as fairness cream when used by a patient of tinea faciei can worsen the condition and can lead to atypical clinical presentation (steroid modified tinea) along with adverse effects which are considered to be more on face and can result in tinea faciei incognito.

Inadvertent use of topical steroids and relative lack of local cutaneous immunity are probable factors for persistent nature of the disease, results in extensive and atypical lesions and delay the appropriate treatment.
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