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Abstract

Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease primarily characterized by a bilateral symmetrical polyarticular arthritis, which is often erosive. The last 5 years have seen the emergence and establishment of antibodies to citrullinated antigens as the diagnostic marker for rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Initially, these were detected using a synthetic peptide, which has undergone a number of modifications to give a diagnostic test with a sensitivity of 65–80% and a specificity of >95%. Antibodies to citrullinated vimentin were first described in 1994 as a highly specific marker for RA (anti-Sa). However, no easily performed assay for these antibodies has been available. Among them, antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptides (CCP) are useful for diagnosing RA. Antibodies to mutated citrullinated vimentin (MCV) were described recently in RA. The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic values of ACCP compared to anti-MCV in rheumatoid arthritis patients. This study included 92 patients with Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) an 35 matching healthy controls. Blood samples were obtained from patients and controls for erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C reactive protein (CRP), rheumatoid factor (RF). Anti-CCP2 and anti-MCV were determined using ELISA technique. RA group was significantly higher than control group as regard ESR, CRP, RF, Anti-CCP, and Anti- MCV. It was concluded, compared to ACCP, anti-MCV has approximately the same accuracy for the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease primarily characterized by a bilateral symmetrical polyarticular arthritis, which is often erosive. It is probably the most common autoimmune disease (about 1% of the world population), affects three times as many women as men, and usually appears in middle age.

Although the etiology of RA remains unknown, it is widely accepted that multiple accumulative/compounding genetic and environmental ‘hits’ are required between the initiation of self-peptide recognition, subsequent loss of tolerance, and the development of autoimmunity. Disease onset is often insidious, initially affecting the small joints of the hands (proximal
interphalangeal joints), feet, and wrists. Clinical course of the disease varies between individuals but may be mild, relapsing–remitting, or progressive. The systemic complications and the severity of articular manifestations (with associated functional impairment) may have a debilitating and disabling impact on the patient, causing significant morbidity, reduced quality of life, and even premature mortality. It is therefore important that the disease is diagnosed and treated early to slow/stop joint damage, increase/maintain joint function, establish remission, and maximize quality of life.

Considering the aggressive nature of the disease process with the significant residual disability, the International Societies of Rheumatology potentially recommended early aggressive treatment for tight control of the inflammatory process aiming to prevent joint destruction and preserve function. Rheumatoid factors (RFs) were the first biological markers discovered for RA and remain the only laboratory criterion included in the American College of Rheumatology criteria for RA classification (Arnett et al., 1988). The currently laboratory diagnostics of RA particularly early RA, is based on a highly specific marker of the disease such as antibodies against citrullinated proteins. The positive test for anti-cyclic citrullinated protein (ACCP) antibody is now used as a classification criterion of RA(3).

ACCP positivity predisposes individuals to more advanced course of the disease, with extensive bony erosions, accelerated atherothrombotic disease and worse overall prognosis (5).

Anti-mutated citrullinated vimentin (Anti-MCV) is another anti-citrullinated antibody reacting with mutated citrullinated vimentin (6). Vimentin is an intermediate filament that is widely expressed by mesenchymal cells and macrophages and easy to detect in the synovium. Modification of the protein occurs in macrophages undergoing apoptosis, and antibodies to citrullinated vimentin may emerge if the apoptotic material is inadequately cleared (Vossenaar et al., 2004). Recently, citrullinated vimentin, a protein highly released in synovial microenvironment, has been identified as potential autoantigen in the pathophysiology of RA and an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of Antibodies directed against a mutated citrullinated vimentin (anti-MCV) was developed (Bartoloni et al., 2012).

The objective of this work was to investigate the seropositivity to antibodies against modified citrullinated vimentin antibodies (anti-MCV) in comparison with anti-CCP2.

Materials and Methods

This case control study was conducted on RA patients attending the outpatient clinic of orthopaedics of M.L.B. Medical College and Hospital, in the period between Jan 2012 to September 2013. Ninety two patients (66 females and 26 males) fulfilling the 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for a diagnosis of RA were studied, thirty five healthy age and sex matched control subjects (23 females, 12 males) were included for comparative assessment of the investigated serological disease markers.

At enrollment caretakers provided informed consents and the following data were collected: age, gender, duration of RA, treatment and hospital admission. The RA group Comprised 92 patients of age ranged from 18-60 years. They were 66 females and 26 males. Their disease duration ranged from 6 months to 22 years. Diagnosis of RA
was based and confirmed according to (ACR)/(EULAR) 2010 criteria.

**Methods**

Six mL of peripheral venous blood were withdrawn aseptically from each patient and from each control subject. Two mL blood were left to clot for 15 minutes then centrifuged and sera were put into aliquots and stored at -20°C until assayed for anti-MCV and anti CCP2 antibodies for both patients and controls. The remaining 3 ml were used for other investigations done to patients:

a) Complete blood picture CBC was performed on The CELL-DYN 3700 automated hematology analyzer.

b) Renal and liver function tests were performed on Autoanalyzer Bechman Synchron cx5 system.

c) Measurement of ESR by the Westergren method.

d) Serum CRP concentrations were determined by immuno-nephelometry methods on a Turbox nephelometer (Orion Diagnostica, Finland). Thetiter of 6 mg/l were considered positive for CRP.

e) Rheumatoid factor IgM isotype was analyzed using the ELISA kit for RF IgM quantitation (Orgentec Diagnostika GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The titer of 20 IU/ml was regarded as positive(18).

Anti MCV and Anti CCP testing was performed in an investigator blinded fashion. Anti CCP antibody reactivity was tested using a commercially available automated ELISA on automated analyser according to manufacturer recommendation. Value of 25.0U/ml or greater were considered to be positive.

Anti MCV antibodies were measured using a commercially available ELISA according to manufacturer instruction. Value of 20.0U/ml or greater considered to be positive.

Serum diluted 1: 1000 for anti mcv and 1:50 for anti CCP. Than incubated on coated plates with kit standard and control at room temperature for 30 min. than washed and added horseradish peroxidase labelled anti human IgG for 15 min. the reaction were revealed by the addition of TBM (3,3;5,5-tetramethylbenzidine) substrate and the colour intensity was measured at 450/620 nm.

**Results and Discussion**

Laboratory and serological assessment showed a mean serum Anti-CCP2 in patients with RA which was significantly higher than controls. The anti-MCV levels were also significantly higher in RA patients compared to healthy control subjects.

Of 92 patients with RA, 72 patients were positive for anti-MCV antibodies (78.26%), 70 patients were positive for anti-CCP antibodies (76.08 %), 54 patients were positive for RF (58.60%), any of them were positive in 89 patients (96.73%) and all of them positive in 34 patients (37%). By contrast, of 35 healthy controls, 1 person was positive for anti-MCV antibodies (97.14%), 2 persons were positive for anti-CCP antibodies (94.28%), 4 persons were positive for RF (77.15 %) any of them were positive in 11 subjects (68.57%) and all of them positive in no subjects (0%).

The main focus of our study was to
investigate the usefulness of anti-MCV for diagnosing and assessing severity of RA in comparison to anti-CCP. In recent years, many studies have evaluated the presence of anti-MCV, anti-CCP antibodies, in RA patients. In our study, at the cutoff values recommended by the manufacturer, the sensitivity and specificity of anti-CCP, and anti-MCV in diagnosing RA compared.

In most of the published works that we studied, the sensitivity of anti-MCV was somehow higher than ACCP but ACCP was more specific (7,9-11,14). The same results have been mentioned in some other studies that Ernest Wagner et al. referred to. They found that in RF negative patients, the sensitivity of anti-MCV is higher (43.8% versus 30%) (11).

The study showed that the levels of anti-CCP2 were significantly increased in the sera of patients with RA in comparison with the controls, which agrees with what has been reported in late studies by Zhu and Feng, 2013 in Chinese patients with RA, Saririediz et al. 2013 in Turkish patients amongst other multi-ethnic studies (15-18). In confirmation to what has been previously reported the study revealed a significantly higher serum anti-MCV antibody level in Egyptian RA patients when compared to healthy controls, supporting the hypothesis that citrullinated vimentin plays an integral role in triggering the inflammatory immune response in RA (18,19). This antigenic self-protein activates T lymphocytes by binding on HLA-DR4 on the surface of antigen presenting cells and may contribute to certain pathways in the pathogenesis of RA. Several late studies have demonstrated significant elevation in serum anti-MCV in RA patients versus controls which correlated with severity of inflammatory process as evidenced by the associated increase in the inflammatory biomarkers, and evidences of association of anti-mcv with higher incidence of radiographic progression in these patients (15-22), in contrast to this finding, Morbach et al. (22), found no significant difference.

The present study did not show the significant differences between sensitivity and specificity of ACCP and anti-MCV (sensitivity 85%, 81%, specificity 96% and 95%, respectively).

Liu et al., 2009 and Al-Shukaili et al., 2012 showed that the sensitivities of anti-MCV antibodies was the highest in comparison to anti-CCP antibodies and RF were (78.2% and 72%), (61.8% and 52%), and 72.4% and 57%), respectively. While a contradictory to Maraina et al., 2010, who stated that the sensitivity of RF was higher than the sensitivity of anti-CCP or anti-MCV antibodies. Also, contradictory to Bartoloni et al., 2012, who stated that, anti-MCV demonstrated lower sensitivity than anti-CCP.

Roland et al., 2008, and Damjanovska et al 2009, showed that, the specificity of anti-MCV antibodies was the highest in comparison to anti-CCP antibodies.

While a contradictory to, Soos et al., 2007, Sghiri et al., 2008 and Al-Shukaili et al., 2012, who stated that; the specificity of anti-CCP antibodies was higher than that of anti-MCV antibodies. Positive anti MCV was also reported in SLE, SJogran syndrome, psoriatic arthritis.

In conclusion, our study suggests that ACCP is an informative diagnostic test for RA. anti-MCV have slight additional value. This statement is based on the somehow more sensitivity and specificity and the results of kappa, indicating positivity of ACCP in patients positive for anti-MCV and vice versa.
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