

Original Research Article

<http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2016.512.094>

## *In vitro* Cultivation of Potato Plants

Ibrahim A. Ibrahim\*, H.A. Emara, A.A. Nower and A.Y. Abodiab

Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute  
University of Sadat City, Egypt

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

The *in vitro* growth and development of potato plants are determined by a number of complex factors: the genetic make-up of the plant, nutrients: water, macro- and micro-elements, and sugars as well as physical growth factors: light, temperature, pH, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and Some organic substances. Two potato cultivars namely, Santana and Innovator were used to study the effect of MS salt strength, gelling agent (agar and gelrite), calcium pantothenate as well as silver thiosulfate (STS) and light intensity as physical growth factors on *in vitro* growth and development of potato meristem and shoot tip necrosis. There is no significant difference in plant length of two cultivars under different MS salt strength but the significantly effect was appeared in number of leaves at MS full salt strength. The opposite effect was occurred in root formation where 1/4 MS recorded the best result for root formation with significant difference among the treatments. Shoot tip necrosis was affected by MS salt strength, calcium pantothenate and STS. Nutrient medium supplemented with 2.5 g/l agar + 1g/l gelrite recorded the best growth for shoot formation estimated as plant length, shoot number and number of leaves as well as root number. The best medium for *in vitro* growth of potato cultivars (Innovator and Santana) was MS basal medium at full salt strength solidified with 2.5 g/l agar + 1 g/l gelrite+ 2 mg/l calcium pantothenate + 0.5 mg / STS at 3000 Lux and 22 °C which reduced shoot tip necrosis and improved *in vitro* growth. Potato plantlets produced *in vitro* were transferred to plastic pots (6 cm diameter) filled with peat moss, perlite and washed sand at equal volume for acclimatization under controlled green house. The survival percentage was more 80% after four weeks and the survival potato plants were transferred to plastic pots (25 cm diameter )for more growth and mintuber formation where potato plants grow well in the greenhouse

### Keywords

*Solanum tuberosum*, MS salt strength, gelling agent (agar and gelrite) light intensity, calcium pantothenate, Silver thiosulfate (STS).

### Article Info

#### Accepted:

25 October 2016

#### Available Online:

10 December 2016

## Introduction

Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) are the most important vegetable crop in the world and in Egypt as well. Potato belongs to the family *Solanaceae* which includes tomato, eggplant, and peppers.

It is an annual herbaceous and short duration crop that produces large amount calories in a short period of time. The edible part of the plant is the tuber, which is formed at the end of underground stems called stolon. It is

used as cheap food, industrial raw material, animal feed, and seed tuber. It is the most important cultivated food after wheat, rice and maize, and the most important dicotyledonous crop (Khatun *et al.*, 2003 and Abdel Alleam, 2015). Potato crop is susceptible to many of viral, fungal and bacterial diseases in addition to pest infestation under local conditions. This infection and infestation by insects affect dramatically on quantity and quality of potato production. Control measurement of diseases and pests traditionally apply hazard chemicals which have negative impact on the environment and farmer and customer health. It well known that Egypt imported potato seeds for production of table potato. This production for local consumption and for exportation to Europe and Arab market (about 250 000 ton annually). Recently for summer season (2015) Egypt imported about 150 000 ton of potato seeds from Europe. This huge amount of seeds have negative influence on national economy and national hard currency. The alternative available approach for production of certified potato seeds is only way to solve the problem using micro-propagation *in vitro* technology. Biotechnology can contribute to solution of these problems and provide great benefits to potato farmers. The regeneration of plants from cell and tissue culture represent an essential component of biotechnology and have the potential not only to improve the existing cultivars, but also for the generation of novel plants in a comparatively short period of time compared to conventional breeding method (Abdelaleem, 2015).

The *in vitro* growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plants are determined by a number of complex factors: the genetic make-up of the plant, nutrients: water, macro- and micro-elements, and sugars as well as physical growth factors:

light, temperature, pH, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and Some organic substances (Pierik, 1987).

Minerals are the next most important group of nutrient materials after sugars for *in vitro* growth. There is a large choice of combinations of macro- and micro-salt mixtures. The Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium is very popular, because most plants react to it favorably. However, it should be appreciated that this nutrient solution is not necessarily always optimal for growth and development (Cohen, 1995). Nutrients are essential for the growth and development of the plant: without water and mineral nutrients a plant cannot live *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Sugars must also be added to the culture medium, since plants (or parts of plants) in this condition, are not completely autotrophic. The importance of physical factors in growth and development *in vivo* is just as applicable *in vitro*. Potato plants need more light intensity and low temperature to improve the growth *in vitro* and overcome shoot tip necrosis.

The recent scientific work aimed to study the effect of MS salt strength, gelling agent (agar and gelrite) as well as calcium pantothenate and silver thiosulfate (STS) and light intensity as growth factors on *in vitro* growth and development of potato cultivars Santana and Innovator.

### **Materials and Methods**

This work was conducted in Tissue Culture Centre, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, University of Sadat City during the period from 2013 to 2016. Certified tubers of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cv. Santana and Innovator cultivars were used as plant material. The seed tubers were kindly obtained from Ministry of Agriculture

(French Project). These tubers have been tested for brown rot bacterial disease and black leg caused by fungal infection in addition to circular root rot disease. The tubers were tested also against potato virus x (PVX), potato virus y (PVY) and potato leaf roll virus (PLRV).

Tubers were sprouted in dark at room temperature ( $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). When the sprouts had 3-5 nodes (4-5 cm length), they were removed from the tubers and surface sterilized by 10% (v/v) Clorox (contained 5.25% of sodium hypochlorite) for 20 min, then rinsed three times in sterile distilled water. Under binocular, meristem tips were excised in length about 0.5 mm were placed into tubes ( $15.0 \times 2.5$  cm) which contained 20 ml of MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium supplemented with 0.10 mg/l Kinetin and 1 mg/l indole acetic acid (IAA). Medium was solidified with 2 g/l gelrite. Subculture of growing meristem to a fresh medium was carried out every four weeks.

The effect of MS (1/4, 1/2, 3/4 and full MS) salt strength as the main factor of nutrient medium affecting *in vitro* growth of two cultivars namely Innovator and Santana as well as gelling agent (agar and gelrite), was studied. Light intensity as a physical growth factors affecting the *in vitro* growth were also studied. The effect of calcium pantothenate and silver thiosulfate (STS) on *in vitro* growth and development of the previous two cultivars were studied too.

To improve the size of the leaves, the experiment was designed using sodium thiosulfate (STS) which prepared from silver nitrate and sodium thiosulfate according the method described by Elshobaky and Ibrahim (1997). In the experiment 0.25 – 0.50 and 1 mg/l were used to study the effect of STS on *in vitro* growth of potato plants.

## Statistical analyses

The randomized factorial design was used and data were subjected to analysis of variance. Separation of means among treatments was determined using LSD test at 5% (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of MS media salt strength on *in vitro* growth and development of potato

Data presented in Tables (1 and 2) clearly show the effect of MS salt strength on shoot and root formation of *in vitro* potato plants as well as shoot tip necrosis. The shoot tip necrosis is a phenomenon occurred in potato and some other plants during *in vitro* propagation and it could associated with media composition. That phenomena led to death of the plantlet shoot tips. We evaluated the necrosis effect as severe necrosis, strong or above moderate, moderate, below moderate and no effect.

### Innovator cultivar

Data in Table (1) show that, there is no significant difference in plant length of the cultivar under different salt MS strength but the significantly effect was appeared in number of leaves where MS at full salt strength recorded the highest number of leaves comparing to other treatments. The opposite effect was occurred in root formation where 1/4 MS recorded the best result for root formation with significant difference among the treatments. Shoot tip necrosis was affected by MS salt strength. Nutrient medium containing low concentrations of salts increased shoot tip necrosis. It may be due to calcium deficiency in nutrient medium. In this case the full MS salts could be recommended to avoid tip necrosis symptoms.

## **Santana Cultivar**

Data presented in Table (2) show significant effect of all parameters tested for *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets. Nutrient medium at full MS salt strength was the best for all shoot formation followed by 3/4 MS while nutrient medium containing 1/4 or 1/2 MS was the best for root formation with significant difference among the treatments. Genetic make-up or plant cultivar type for *in vitro* potato plants was affected *in vitro* growth and development as shown in Table 1 for Innovator and in Table 2 for Santana cultivars. The number of nodes potato cv. Agria in MS full strengths was higher than 1/2MS and 1/4 MS media (Kazemiani *et al.*, 2012).

### **Effect of gelling agent (agar and gellrite) on *in vitro* growth and development of potato**

Different gelling compounds usually added to solidify the medium in tissue culture techniques, it includes agar and brand name of gelrite. The source of agar are the algae in water. In contrast gelrite produced through fermentation of *Pseudomonase* bacteria. In this study both agent compound were compared.

Data in Tables (3 and 4) show the effect of agar + gelrite added to MS nutrient medium on *in vitro* growth of potato cultivars Innovator and Santana. Regarding innovator cultivar, there were significant differences among gelling agent treatments. Nutrient medium supplemented with 2.5 g/l agar + 1g/l gelrite recorded the best growth for shoot formation estimated as plant length, shoot number and number of leaves as well as root number as shown in Table (3 ). The same trend was found for Santana cultivar as presented in Table (4). Result and discussion are in accordance with Elshobakey *et. al.*,

1993; Elshobakey and Ibrahim (1993); Ibrahim, 1994 and 2016; Ebrahim and Ibrahim,2000).

### **Effect of light intensity on *in vitro* growth and development of potato**

Date in Tables (5 and 6) show the role of light intensity on *in vitro* growth of potato cv. Innovator and Santana. Data presented in Table (5) indicated that light intensity at 3000 lux recorded the best values of plant length (10.333cm) compared to 1000 and 2000lux (7.667and9.00 cm respectively). There is no significant difference among all light intensity treatments on shoot number. While 3000 lux treatment gave the highest of the leaves number, root number and root length (21.33, 22.00 and 11.33 cm respectively). There is no significant difference among all treatments on shoot tip necrosis.

Light intensity at 3000 lux recorded the best growth of Santana cultivar estimated as shoot number, number of leaves and root length with significant differences among the light intensities treatments as presented in (Table 6). Results under discussion are in line with Kitaya *et al.* (1995) From the previous results we can conclude that the best medium for *in vitro* growth of potato cultivars (Innovator and Santana) is MS basal medium at full salt strength solidified with 2.5 g/l agar + 1 g/l gelrite at 3000 Lux.

### **Effect of calcium pantothenate on *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets**

Data presented in Tables 7 and 8 clearly show the role of calcium pantothenate on shoot tip necrosis phenomena which affecting *in vitro* growth and prevent burning of shoot tip (shoot tip necrosis). Shoot tip necrosis increased number of shoots with weak shoots, so the addition of

calcium pantothenate prevented shoot tip necrosis and decreased number of shoots. it may be due to the balance between auxin and cytokinins where cytokinins increased and auxin decreased. The addition of calcium pantothenate improved the growth of potato plantlets as illustrated in photo (1). According to the importance of calcium pantothenate at 2mg/l, we used it to propagate all cultivars under study which improved the growth of potato plantlets for both purposes for microtuber formation or to acclimatization of potato plantlets.

Calcium pantothenate was found to overcome shoot tip necrosis and stimulate tissue proliferation of potato plantlets (Sha *et al.*, 1985; George and Sherrington, 1984; Pireik, 1987; Elshobaki and Ibrahim, 1997). Generally calcium pantothenate improved the growth of Innovator and Santana cultivars and reduced or prevent shoot tip necrosis as shown in tables 7 and 8 and illustrated in photo (1).

Plant tissue culture plays an important role in the production and conservation of plant species. Its application, however, is hindered

by some growth abnormalities such as shoot-tip necrosis (STN) caused by the culture conditions (Bairu *et al.* 2009).

**Effect of Silver Thiosulfate (STS )on *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets**

The size of potato leaves were affected by many factors affecting *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets during micropropagation stages. One of these factors is ethylene accumulation in test tube and prevent the uptake of calcium and subsequently the appearance of shoot tip necrosis and decrease the size of leaves. We try to improve the growth, prevent or reduce shoot tip necrosis by using calcium pantothenate as mentioned in the previous experiment.

To improve the size of the leaves, the experiment was designed using sodium thiosulfate (STS) which prepared from silver nitrate and sodium thiosulfate according the method described by Elshobaky and Ibrahim (1997). In the experiment 0.25 – 0.50 and 1 mg/l were used to study the effect of STS on *in vitro* growth of potato plants.

**Table.1** Effect of MS media salt strength on growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv.Innovator).

MS Salt strength	Plant length (cm)	Shoot number	Leaves number	Root number	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necrosis
1/4MS	10.667a	13.667ab	18.667bc	19.333a	8.667a	+++
1/2MS	9.667a	11.000ab	14.000c	16.000b	8.333a	+++
3/4MS	9.333a	10.000b	21.333ab	12.667c	6.333b	-
Full MS	9.333a	14.333a	27.333a	14.667b	7.667a	-
LSD at 5% level	NS	3.800	6.514	1.437	1.080	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3= ++Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis5= + + + + Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.2** Effect of MS media salt strength on in vitro growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* Cv. Santana)

MS Salt Strength	Plant length (cm)	Shoot number	Number of leaves	Root number	Root length(cm)	Shoot tip necrosis
1/4MS	5.667b	3.333b	20.333b	20.333a	9.333a	-
1/2MS	5.333bc	6.000a	22.667ab	13.667b	8.333b	+
3/4MS	4.667c	6.333a	28.333a	12.333bc	7.333b	+
MS Full	8.667a	7.000a	25.667ab	11.667c	7.333b	++
LSD at 5% level	0.8985	5.153	6.102	1.964	1.160	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3= ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= ++++ Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.3** Effect of gelling agent (agar and gelrite) on in vitro growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Innovator)

Gelling agent (g/l)	Plant length (cm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves	Number of roots	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necroses
Liquid	3.000b	1.000c	3.000c	4.333c	5.333c	-
2.5g/l agar+1g/l gelrite	10.000a	13.000a	27.333a	14.667a	7.333bc	-
3g/l agar+1g/l gelrite	9.000a	10.667ab	22.000b	12.667 b	9.333ab	+
3.5 g/l agar+1 g/l gelrite	9.000a	8.000b	22.000b	13.667ab	10.333a	++
LSD at 5% level	2.139	2.935	4.183	1.037	2.139	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3= ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= ++++ Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.4** Effect of gelling agent(agar and gelrite) on in vitro growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*cv.Santana).

Gelling agent (g/l)	Plant length (cm)	Shoot number	Number of leaves	Root number	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necrosis
Liquid	8.333b	6.333a	23.667a	8.333b	9.667a	-
2.5 g/l agar+1g/l gelrite	10.33a	5.333a	24.333a	11.667a	5.333b	-
3 g/l agar +1g/l gelrite	6.667c	5.667a	24.333a	8.000b	3.333c	+
3.5 g/l agar+1g/l gelrite	6.000c	3.667b	18.333b	4.333c	1.667d	++
LSD at 5% level	1.340	1.080	1.556	2.054	0.7915	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3= ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= ++++ Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.5** Effect of light intensity on in vitro growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Innovator).

light intensity (Lux)	Plant length (cm)	Shoot number	Number of leaves	Root number	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necroses
1000 Lux	8.000a	7.000c	17.000c	7.667b	5.667a	++
2000 Lux	5.667b	8.333b	22.333b	9.000b	5.333ab	++
3000 Lux	5.333b	14.667a	33.667a	14.000a	4.333b	-
LSD at 5% level	0.7694	1.087	2.772	2.263	1.255	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= + + + + Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.6** Effect of light intensity on in vitro growth and development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* ( cv. Santana)

light intensity (Lux)	Plant length (cm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves	Number of roots	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necrosis
1000 lux	7.667c	6.333a	11.000b	10.667c	8.333b	++
2000 lux	9.000b	8.667a	10.333b	14.667b	9.000b	+
3000 lux	10.333a	8.000a	21.333a	22.000a	11.333a	-
LSD at 5% level	0.7694	NS	2.625	2.263	1.255	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= + + + + Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.7** Effect of calcium pantothenate on in vitro growth and shoot tip necrosis of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Innovator)

Calcium pantothenate concentration mg/l	Plant length (cm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves	Shoot tip necrosis (STN)
Control 0.0 mg/l	8.833a	4.000a	11.667a	++
1mg/l	6.667b	3.667ab	12.667a	+
2mg /l	6.167bc	2.667bc	11.333a	-
3mg /l	3.667d	2.333c	9.333a	-
4mg /l	5.000c	2.667bc	14.00a	-
5mg /l	5.667bc	2.000c	10.333a	-
LSD at 5% level	1.331	1.317	NS	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis 2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis 5= + + + + Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.8** Effect of calcium pantothenate on in vitro growth of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Santana).

Calcium pantothenate Concentration (mg/l)	Plant length (cm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves	Shoot tip necrosis
Control	10.000ab	4.000ab	18.33ab	+
1mg /l	9.333b	2.333c	14.333b	+
2mg/l	11.667a	2.333c	15.333b	-
3mg /l	9.000b	4.000ab	21.000ab	-
4mg /l	9.333b	4.667a	18.000ab	-
5mg /l	3.667c	3.000bc	6.000c	-
LSD at 5% level	2.062	1.322	4.862	

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis5= ++ + Severe shoot tip necrosis.

**Table.9** Effect of silver thiosulfate (STS) on in vitro growth of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Innovator)

STS (mg/l)	Plant length (cm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves	Root number	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necrosis	Leaves growth
Control	9.667a	3.000b	12.000b	11.667b	11.667b	+	++
0.25	11.333a	7.333a	25.000a	15.333a	14.000a	-	++
0.50	9.333a	5.667ab	12.667b	8.333c	9.333c	-	+++
1.00	9.000a	6.000a	14.667b	10.000a	9.333c	-	+
LSD at 5% level	NS	2.919	4.238	2.377	1.694		

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis5= ++ + Severe shoot tip necrosis.  
Leaves growth were estimated as score:: 1= - No leaves growth 2= + Below moderate leaves growth 3= ++Moderate leaves growth 4= +++ excellent leaves growth

**Table.10** Effect of silver thiosulfate ( STS) on in vitro growth of potato plantlets (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Santana).

STS conc.ml/l	Plant length (cm)	Shoot number	Number of leaves	Root number	Root length (cm)	Shoot tip necrosis	Leaves growth
Control	8.333ab	13.333a	42.333a	12.667a	11.333a	+	+
0.25	7.667b	14.000a	35.333b	12.000a	6.333b	+	++
0.50	9.000a	10.000b	38.667ab	10.333a	6.667c	-	+++
1.00	8.667ab	10.000b	35.000b	10.000a	6.000b	-	+
LSD at 5% level	1.235	2.559	5.862	NS	1.694		

Shoot tip necrosis were estimated as score:1= - No shoot tip necrosis2= + Below moderate shoot tip necrosis. 3 = ++ Moderate shoot tip necrosis 4= +++ above moderate shoot tip necrosis5= ++ + Severe shoot tip necrosis.  
Leaves growth were estimated as score:1= - No leaves growth 2= + Below moderate leaves growth 3= ++Moderate leaves growth 4= +++ excellent leaves growth



**Photo.1** *In vitro* potato plantlets grown on MS medium supplemented with 2mg/l calcium pantothenate + 0.5 mg/l STS +30 g/l sucrose+2mg/l glycine +0.5 mg/l nicotinic acid +0.5 mg/l pyrodoxin+ 0.1 mg /l thiamin and solidified with 1g/l gelrite+ 2.5 g/l agar.



Data presented in Tables 9 and 10 show the effect of STS on *in vitro* growth and development of potato plants for Innovator and Santana cultivars. Silver thiosulfate at 0.5ml/l from the solution mentioned before improved the growth for both cultivars because STS reduced the production of ethylene which affect *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets where complex silver ions inhibit ethylene action (Elshobaky and Ibrahim, 1997). The increase of STS concentration negatively affected in potato plantlets growth due to the toxicity of silver ion at high concentration. As shown in tables 9 and 10.the concentration of 0.5 mg/l is better than 1 mg /l to improve the *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets as illustrated in photo (1).

In this respect Perl *et al.*, (1988) mentioned that ethylene release by potato shoots cultured in closed boxes was suppressed by the addition of silver thiosulfate to the culture medium. Shoots cultured in the presence of silver thiosulfate produced appreciably more tissue and the yield of protoplasts per unit tissue mass was vastly increased, resulting in an 8 fold increase of

protoplast yield per shoot. Exposure of pricked leaves to macerating enzymes facilitated ethylene generation. Leaves of shoots which were previously cultured in silver thiosulfate containing medium generated much less ethylene than leaves from control shoots and this generation could be further reduced by the addition of acetylsalicylic acid during maceration.

### **Protocol For Potato Propagation Through Tissue Culture**

From the previous results, we can conclude that to obtain good *in vitro* growth of potato plantlets (Photo,1) suitable to acclimatization and microtuber formation, the protocol must be conducted according to the following points:

Explant: meristem tip (virus detection to PVX-PVY-PLRV-PVA-PVM –PVS),  
Nutrient medium=MS+30g/l sucrose+2mg/l calcium pantothenate+0.5 ml/l Silver Thiosulfate( STS )+0.5mg/l nicotinic acid +0.5 mg/l pyrodoxin+0.1 mg/l thiamine+ 2 mg /l glycine and solidified with 2.5 g/l agar + 1g/gelrite

Physical growth factors: temperature= 22 °C  
light intensity = 3000 Lux

This protocol was conducted for all previous potato cultivars for mass production whether it was for potato acclimatization or microtubers formation and subsequently for minituber formation.

## References

- Abdelaleem, K.G. 2015. *In vitro* Organogenesis of (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Plant Cultivar Alpha through Tuber Segment Explants Callus. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 4(2): 267-276.
- Bairu, M.W., Stirk, W.A., Staden, J. 2009. Factors contributing to *in vitro* shoot-tip necrosis and their physiological interactions. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)*, 98: 239-248
- COHEN, D. 1995. The culture medium. *Acta Horticulturae*, 393: 15-24
- E1- Shobaky, S.A., Ibrahim, I.A.; and Ragab, M.A. 1993. Effect of gas exchange and sugar concentration on *in vitro* growth of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cv. Altcmtic. *Minia J. Agric. Res. &Dev.*, 15:497-504.
- E1- Shobaky, S.A. and Ibrahim, I.A. 1993. Influence of agar concentration on *in vitro* growth of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cv. Cara. *Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev.* 15:487-494.
- Ebrahim, M.K., Ibrahim, I.A. 2000. Influence of medium solidification and pH value on *in vitro* propagation of *Maraanta leuconeura* cv. Kerchoviana. *Scientia Horticultura*, 86: 211 -221.
- El-Shobaky, S.A. and Ibrahim, I.A. 1997. Effect of silver thiosulphate and indole butyric acid on potato growth through tissue culture techniques. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 24: 661-673.
- George, E.F. and P.D. Sherrington. 1984. Plant propagation by tissue culture. Exigeties Ltd, England.
- Ibrahim, I.A. 1994. Effect of gelling agent (Agar and Gelrite) and activated charcoal on the growth and development of *Cordyline terminalis* cultured *in vitro*. The first Conference of Ornamental Horticulture 1: 55-67, Cairo Egypt.
- Ibrahim, I.A. 2016. Production of Potato Seeds Using Biotechnological Methods in New Valley.1<sup>ST</sup> International Conference of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. Integrative Science and Biotechnology, Sharm El-Sheikh-Egypt.
- Kazemiani S., A. Motallebi-Azar, N. Mohaddes, F. Kiomarsy ,F. Yarmohammadi And F. Etedali. 2012. Effect of different concentrations of sucrose and bap on shoot proliferation on ms strength basal media in potato cv. Agria. *South Western J. Horticulture, Biol. Environ.*, 3(1): 63-72.
- Khatun, N., Bari, M.A., Islam, R., Huda, S., Siddique, N.A., Rahman, M.H., Mollah, M.U. 2003. Callus induction and regeneration from nodal segment of potato cultivar Diamant, *J. Biol. Sci.*, 3: 1101 1106.
- Kitaya, Y., Fukuda, O., Kozai, T. and Kirdmanee, C. 1995. Effects of light intensity and lighting direction on the photoautotrophic growth and morphology of potato plantlets *in vitro*. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 62(1): 15-24.
- Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue. *Physiol. Plant*, 15: 473-497.
- Perl, A., Aviv, D., Galun, G. 1988. Ethylene and *in vitro* culture of potato:

- suppression of ethylene generation vastly improves protoplast yield, plating efficiency and transient expression of an alien gene. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 7(6): 403-406.
- Pierik, R.L.M. 1987. *In vitro* culture of higher plants. Martinus Ny Hoff. Publ, Dardrecht.
- Sha, L., McCown, B.H., Peterson, L.A. 1985. Occurrence and cause of shoot-tip necrosis in shoot cultures. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.*, 110: 631–634.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics, a biometrical approach. Second Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, NY, pp 137-177.

**How to cite this article:**

Ibrahim A. Ibrahim, H.A. Emara, A.A. Nower and Abodiab, A.Y. 2016. *In vitro* Cultivation of Potato Plants. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 5(12): 858-868.  
doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2016.512.094>