Importance of EDTA in the Detection of Metallo Beta Lactamase from Imipenem Resistant Gram Negative Bacilli
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A B S T R A C T

Gram negative Bacilli isolates resistant to imipenem were screened phenotypically for Metallo Beta Lactamase (MBL) production by EDTA Disc Synergy (EDS) method and MIC reduction test. EDTA, a chelating agent which inhibits the production of MBL and hence incorporation of EDTA to the resistant strains, results in the zone formation. In this study, Minimal Inhibitory Concentration for Imipenem was also estimated and the incorporation of EDTA to the drug dilution plates differentiated all MBL producing Gram negative Bacilli.
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Introduction

EDTA (Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic acid) is a colorless water soluble solid. It is a chelating agent. Imipenem resistance mediated by acquired MBLs has been reported in many countries. MBL producing Imipenem resistant strains may spread rapidly to other species of gram negative bacilli. Since EDTA, inhibits the production of MBL, the incorporation of EDTA to the Imipenem resistant strains resulted in susceptibility.

Minimal Inhibitory Concentration is the least concentration of an antimicrobial agent which inhibits the growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation.

The use of EDTA along with antibiotics in MIC reduction test is useful for the detection of MBL production.

EDS test using Imipenem and EDTA disc have been reported as a simple method to detect MBL producing clinical isolates. The aim of this study is to determine the use of EDTA in the detection of MBL from imipenem resistant isolates of Gram negative Bacilli.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted over a period of 3 years (Jan 2013 – Jan 2016) at Christian
Mission Hospital, Madurai. A total of 76 E.coli sp., 60 Pseudomonas sp., 9 Klebsiella sp., 3 Proteus sp., 1 Citrobacter sp., 2 Salmonella typhi sp., and 1 Shigella sp., These organisms were isolated from various samples like Urine, Pus, Blood, Stool and Sputum of both outpatients and inpatients admitted to different wards, were sent to the laboratory for diagnosis and sensitivity testing.

All these isolates were undergone antibiogram for imipenem resistance by the routine Kirby- Bauer Disc diffusion method using CLSI manual. A Total of 25 E.coli, 42 Pseudomonas, 2 Klebsiella,1 Proteus and 1 Citrobacter were resistant to Imipenem. Their MIC values were also found. The imipenem resistant strains were subjected to EDS test and MIC reduction test using EDTA.

**EDTA disk synergy (EDS) test**

EDTA disk synergy (EDS) test was done with imipenem and EDTA discs for detection of Metallo Beta Lactamases in the imipenem resistant isolates.

A 0.5 M EDTA solution was made by dissolving 1.86 g of disodium EDTA, 2H₂O (NICE CHEMICALS, Kerala India) in 10 ml of distilled water. The pH was adjusted to 8.0 by adding either HCl or NaOH and should be sterilised by autoclave.

The test isolate of 18 -24 hours culture was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and spread on the surface of a MHA plate. A 10ug imipenem disc (HI-MEDIA, Mumbai, India) was placed on the agar. A blank disk prepared from Whatmann filter paper no. 1 had incorporated with 10ul of 0.5 M EDTA was kept 10mm edge-to-edge apart from the imipenem disc. After incubating overnight at 37º C, the appearance of zone between the two discs was interpreted as positive for MBL production.

**MIC Reduction test**

MIC reduction test of imipenem was done by agar dilution method. EDTA (1 ml solution of 0.5M) was added to 1 ml of the imipenem. Mix 2 ml of EDTA and imipenem with 18 ml of molten Mueller Hinton agar and poured on plates that were allowed to set. A loopful of test inoculums was spot inoculated on these plates. The reading was taken after overnight incubation. The highest dilution of imipenem that inhibits the growth of the organism was taken as MIC. The four fold reduction from the previous MIC of these strains without EDTA confirmed that the strains were MBL producer.

**Results and Discussion**

A total of 154 isolates of 7 various gram negative Bacilli (76 E.coli sp., 60 Pseudomonas sp., 9 Klebsiella sp., 3 Proteus sp., 1 Citrobacter sp., 2 Salmonella typhi and1 Shigella sp.,) were included in the study. A total of 25 E. coli, 42 Pseudomonas, 2 Klebsiella, 1 Proteus and 1 Citrobacter were found to be Imipenem resistant and the rest of the isolates showed sensitivity by the routine antibiogram. Minimal inhibitory concentration of these strains for Imipenem is shown in Table 1.

All the 71 imipenem resistant strains (25 E.coli,42 Pseudomonas sp., 2 Klebsiella sp., 1 Proteus sp., 1 Citrobacter sp., ) were screened for MBL production by EDS test and MIC reduction test. Among the 25 imipenem resistant E.coli sp., 12 (48%) were found to produce MBL by EDS test and 15(60%) were found to produce MBL by MIC reduction test. Among the 42imipenem resistant Pseudomonas sp., 19(45.23%) were found to produce MBL by EDS test and 23(54.76%) were found to produce MBL by MIC reduction test.
Antibiotic resistance may be due to the mechanisms like lack of drug penetration, mutation, efflux mechanism and the production of enzymes. Of which the production of MBL is of great importance in imipenem resistance among gram negative bacilli.

MBL producing gram negative bacilli may transfer resistance gene to other microorganisms leading to serious medical problems in hospitals, all over the world. Hence the detection MBL producing gram negative bacilli at the very early period is important to prevent dissemination.

Table.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIC For Imipenem</th>
<th>&gt;256 mg/l</th>
<th>256 mg/l</th>
<th>128 mg/l</th>
<th>64 mg/l</th>
<th>32mg/l</th>
<th>16 mg/l</th>
<th>8 mg/l</th>
<th>4 mg/l</th>
<th>2 mg/l</th>
<th>1 mg/l</th>
<th>0.5 mg/l</th>
<th>0.25 mg/l</th>
<th>0.125 mg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli (25)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas (42)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDTA, Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid, is used in many ways like for chelation treatment, lead poisoning, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease, Scleroderma, Psoriasis, Eye infection etc. It is also used to improve stability in pharmaceutical products. It is also used in certain blood collection tubes in laboratories (WebMD). EDTA also inhibits the production of MBL and hence it is used in this study.

In EDTA Disc synergy test, EDTA inhibits MBL from Imipenem resistant strain and hence there was zone formation. 48% of E.coli and 45.23% of Pseudomonas were found to produce MBL by this method. In the study of Envuru et al., (2011), 50% of MBL production is given by E.coli. Similar results of 46% of MBL production in Pseudomonas could be detected in the study of Johann Pitout et al., (2005). Noyal et al., (2009) had reported 50% of MBL production in Pseudomonas. In contrast, MBL production in Pseudomonas in the study of Varaiya et al., (2008), Agarwal et al., (2008), Navneeth et al., (2002), Hemalatha et al., (2005), Mandiratta et al., (2005) were 20.8%, 8.05%, 12%, 14%, 8.62% respectively.

E.coli (76%) showed their MIC for Imipenem ranges from 128mg/l to 32mg/l and Pseudomonas (81%) showed their MIC for Imipenem ranges from >256mg/l to 32mg/l. Addition of EDTA to MIC dilution plates showed the difference in ranges and there was four fold reduction in the results and thus confirmed the production of MBL. 2 Klebsiella sp., 1 Proteus sp., 1 Citrobacter sp., used in this study showed imipenem resistance by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method but produced negative result for MBL production.

Though EDTA is used in various ways, this study reveals the usage of EDTA in the detection of MBL production from imipenem resistant Gram negative Bacilli. The inclusion of MBL detection test in the routine diagnosis may eliminate the dissemination of antibiotic resistance.
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