

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 10 Number 05 (2021) Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



Original Research Article

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1005.065

Productivity, Quality and Economics of High Density Planted Cotton as Influenced by Varieties and Fertilizer Application

R. Veeraputhiran* and K. Thiyagu

Cotton Research Station (TNAU), Srivilliputtur, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

High Density Planted Cotton, Fertilizer Application

Article Info

Accepted: 22 April 2021 Available Online: 10 May 2021 Field experiments were carried out at Cotton Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Srivilliputtur, during winter irrigated season 2018-19 and 2019-20 (August to February) to find out suitable varieties and to standardize optimum fertilizer requirement of cotton under HDPS. The experiments were conducted in split plot design with three replications. The treatments consisted of three compact varieties / cultures viz., TCH 1705 (CO 15), TCH 1819 (CO 17) and TCH 1822 in main plots and four doses of fertilzers (100 % RDF - 80:40:40 Kg NPK / ha, 125% RDF- 100:50:50 Kg NPK /ha, 150 % RDF- 120 :60: 60 Kg NPK/ ha and STCR based fertilizer application - 55 :20: 20 Kg NPK/ ha) in sub plots. The results revealed that the pre release culture TCH 1822 registered the highest seed cotton yield of 2458 and 2427 kg/ha during 2018-19 and 2019-20 respectively which were comparable with CO 17 (2421 and 2402 kg/ha) and both these varieties were significantly higher than CO 15 (2290 and 2235 kg/ha). Though the application of 150 % RDF produced the highest seed cotton yield of 2604 and 2563 kg/ha, it was on par with 125 % RDF (2447 and 2426 kg/ha). The STCR based nutrient application recorded comparable seed cotton yield (2216 and 2201 kg/ ha) with 100 % RDF. The varieties TCH 1822 and CO 17 registered better quality parameters. Higher economic benefits like total income, net income and benefit cost ratio were also associated with the varieties TCH 1822 and CO 17 and 125 % RDF. It can be concluded from the study that the varieties TCH 1822 and CO 17 were highly suitable for HDPS with a fertilizer dose of 100:50:50 Kg NPK / ha for higher seed cotton yield with better economic returns and higher quality paramaters which will pave way for sustainable cotton production. The STCR based nutrient application was sufficient to obtain comparable yield and economics with 100 % RDF.

Introduction

Cotton, popularly known as "King of fibre" and "White gold" is the most important fibre and commercial crop of India and Tamil Nadu state as well. The contribution of India to global cotton fibre and edible oil production is 44 and 10 per cent respectively. Though India has the largest area (26 per cent) of cotton in the world, due to its lower productivity the share to the total world cotton production is only 12 per cent. In order to meet the demandand to satisfy the native mill requirement of cotton, the productivity of cotton should be increased. High Density Planting System (HDPS) is recently considered as an alternate production system having a potential for improving the productivity and profitability, increasing input use efficiency, reducing input costs and minimizing the risks associated with the current production system in India (Venugopalan et al, 2013).

The concept on high density cotton planting is popular in several countries like Brazil, China, Australia, Uzbekistan. Spain, Argentina, USA and Greece with the availability of compact genotypes (Rossi et al., 2004). In India also this new method of planting system studies were initiated and yield benefits were observed (CICR, 2013). Development of ideal varieties having better adaptation to high density planting with better quality characters and optimum fertilizer dose is paramount importance for this new method of HDPS. With this back ground, present investigation was carried out evaluate suitable varieties and to standardize optimum fertilizer requirement of cotton under HDPS.

Materials and Methods

Field experiments were carried out at Cotton Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Srivilliputtur, during winter irrigated season 2018-19 and 2019-20 (August to February) to find out suitable varieties and to standardize optimum fertilizer requirement of cotton under HDPS. The experiments were conducted in split plot design with three replications.

The treatments consisted of three compact cultures *viz.*, TCH 1705 (CO 15), TCH 1819 (CO 17) and TCH 1822 in main plots and four doses of fertilzers (100% RDF - 80:40:40 Kg NPK / ha, 125% RDF- 100:50 :50 Kg NPK /ha, 150 % RDF- 120 :60: 60 Kg NPK/ ha and STCR based fertilizer application - 55 :20: 20

Kg NPK/ ha) in sub plots. High density planting system was followed with a spacing of 100 x 10 cm and weeding was carried out by power weeder three times i.e20, 40 and 60 Days After Sowing (DAS) . The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam and available soil nutrient status was low in N (196 kg/ ha), high in P (40 Kg/ ha) and K (496 kg/ha). The 50 per cent of N and K and 100 per cent of P as basal and the remaining 50 per cent of N was applied in two equal splits on 20 and 40 DAS and the remaining of K was on 40 DAS. The seed cotton yield was recorded quality parameters were and per standard analysed as procedures. Economics were also worked out.

Results and Discussion

Seed cotton yield

Both varieties and nutrient levels exerted significant influence on seed cotton yield (Table 1). Among the varieties, TCH 1822 (2458 and 2427 kg/ha) and CO 17 (2421 and 2402 kg/ha) registered comparable and significantly higher seed cotton yield than CO 15 (2290 and 2235 kg/ha). The variation in seed cotton yield was due to the specific varietal characteristics of higher boll weight associated with TCH 1822 and CO 17. Similar results of higher seed cotton yield with the varieties CO 17 and TCH 1822 were observed by Kanchana *et al.*, (2019) at Coimbatore conditions.

Application of 150 % RDF produced the highest seed cotton yield of 2504 and 2463 kg/ha which were on par with 125 % RDF (2447 and 2426 kg/ha) and significantly higher than other two nutrient levels. The STCR based nutrient application recorded comparable seed cotton yield (2216 and 2201 kg/ ha) which were on par with that of 100 % RDF.

Treatment	Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)		Cost of cultivation (Rs/ ha)		(Gross Income (Rs/ ha)		Net Income (Rs/ ha)		Benefit Cost Ratio		
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	
Variety / genotype											
M ₁ - TCH 1705 (CO 15)	2290	2235	53200	54800	105340	82695	52140	27895	1.98	1.51	
M ₂₋ TCH 1819 (CO 17)	2421	2402	53200	54800	111366	88874	58166	34074	2.09	1.62	
M ₃ - TCH 1822	2458	2427	53200	54800	113068	89799	59868	34999	2.13	1.64	
SEd.	62.7	69.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CD(P=0.05)	147.1	161.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nutrient levels											
S ₁ 100 % RDF	2241	2265	53200	54800	103086	83805	49886	29005	1.94	1.53	
(80:40:40 Kg NPK /ha)											
S ₂ - 125 % RD F (100 :50 :50 Kg NPK/ ha)	2447	2426	55900	57500	112562	89762	56662	32262	2.01	1.56	
S ₃ - 150 % RD F (120 :60: 60 Kg NPK/ ha)	2604	2563	57600	59200	115184	91131	57584	31930	2.00	1.53	
S ₄ – STCR based (55 :20: 20 Kg NPK/ ha)	2216	2201	51100	52700	101936	81437	49236	28737	1.99	1.55	
SEd.	94.3	100.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CD(P=0.05)	198.6	211.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Interaction	NS	NS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table.1 Effect of varieties and fertilizer levels on yield and economics of high density planted cotton

	UHML		Fibre strength		Mic		Uniformity ratio		Elongation ratio			
Treatment	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20		
Variety / genotype												
M ₁ -TCH 1705 (CO 15)	25.20	25.50	27.83	27.40	4.50	4.81	83.50	83.03	5.53	5.55		
M ₂₋ TCH 1819 (CO 17)	27.53	27.38	30.08	29.95	4.22	4.50	84.48	83.13	5.68	5.70		
M ₃ - TCH 1822	25.43	27.35	30.50	29.23	4.15	4.49	84.20	83.48	5.73	5.68		
SEd.	0.81	0.69	0.85	0.72	0.92	0.99	-	-	-	-		
CD(P=0.05)	2.01	1.73	2.14	1.80	0.23	0.25	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Nutrient levels												
S ₁ 100 % RDF (80 : 40 : 40 Kg NPK /ha)	26.10	27.50	29.20	28.13	4.54	4.56	84.00	83.33	5.63	5.70		
S ₂ - 125 % RD F (100 :50 :50 Kg NPK/ ha)	25.63	27.70	29.57	28.17	4.33	4.67	84.27	83.33	5.63	5.63		
S ₃ - 150 % RD F (120 :60: 60 Kg NPK/ ha)	26.13	26.57	29.63	27.57	4.40	4.64	84.17	83.03	5.70	5.60		
S ₄ – STCR based (55 :20: 20 Kg NPK/ ha)	25.83	26.80	29.47	27.57	4.02	4.64	83.80	83.13	5.60	5.63		
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		

Table.2 Effect of varieties and fertilizer levels quality parameters of high density planted cotton

Similar findings of higher yield with the application of 125% RDF for compact cultures were noticed by Kanchana *et al.*, (2019). Rinehardt *et al.*, (2003) also observed that about 30 per cent more N was required for high density planted cotton compared to the conventional method of cultivation.

Quality parameters

The effect of varieties and nutrient management on quality characters of cotton is presented in the Table 2. The results showed that the uniformity and elongation ratio were similar for all the varieties during both the years of study. But significant variation was observed for other quality parameters by the varieties. All the varieties taken in the study were coming under the category of long staple length. However, among these varieties CO 17 and TCH 1822 were found to have significantly higher staple length, fibre length and also uniformity. The quality attributes of cotton are inherent and decided by the individual characteristics of the particular variety or genotype and hence variation was noticed in the present investigation. Similar significant difference among cotton varieties on quality parameters was reported by PradapKumar et al., (2017). During both the years of study, the quality parameters did not differ significantly due to various level of nutrient application. Similar non significant effect on quality of cotton by the levels of fertilizers as reported by Ganvir et al., (2014) and Bharathi et al., (2016) were in accordance with the present study.

Economics

The economic analysis (Table 2) revealed that the variety TCH 1822 recorded the highest net income of Rs59868 ha⁻¹ and Rs 34999 ha⁻¹ and B - C ratio of 2.13 and 1.64 followed by CO 17 which recorded net income of Rs 58166 ha⁻¹ and Rs 34074 ha⁻¹ and B - C ratio of 2.09 and 1.62 respectively during the first and second year of experimentation and both these were substantially higher than that CO 15. The higher economic benefits of these two varieties were due to the reflection of higher seed cotton yield.

Regarding nutrient application, application highest dose of nutrients at 125 % RDF recorded higher gross income, net income and also benefit cost ratio which was closely followed by that of 150% RDF. In addition it is also observed that STCR based nutrient application registered comparable net income and higher B- C ratio than 100 % RDF. Jagvir Singh et al., (2012) and Ganvir et al., (2014) also found that higher economic benefits with 125 % and 150 % RDF respectively under high density planted cotton. Veeraputhiran and Gunasekaran (2018) observed higher economic benefits with higher doses of fertilizer levels in cotton hybrids also in conformity with the present investigation.

It can be concluded from the study that the varieties TCH 1822 and CO 17 were highly suitable for HDPS with a fertilizer dose of 100:50:50 Kg NPK / ha for higher seed cotton yield and economic benefits with better quality which will pave way for sustainable cotton production. The STCR based nutrient application was sufficient to obtain comparable yield and economics with 100 % RDF.

References

- Bharathi, S., RatnaKumari, S., Vamsi Krishna, A. N. and Chenga Reddy. 2016. Effect of nitrogen levels, split application of nitrogen on yield and fibre quality of Bt cotton in vertisols. J. *Cotton Res. Dev.*30 (2):201-2
- CICR News Letter, 2013. High density cotton planting for improving rainfed cotton productivity in vidarbha.28 (2) :17-19

- Ganvir, S.S. Mangala Ghanbahadur and Khargkharate., V.K. 2014. Effect of high density planting, nutrient management and land configuration on economics and nutrient uptake of hirsutum cotton. *Green Farming*. 5 (1): 92-94
- Jagvir Singh, Shilpa Babar, Shalu Abraham,. Venugopalan, M.V. and Majumdar.,G. 2012. Fertilization of high density, rainfed cotton grown on vertisols of India. *Better crops.* 96 (2) : 26-28.
- KanchanaT., Sakthivel, N., Thavaprakaash, N. and Balamurugan, J.2019. Performance of compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes to varied nutrient levels under high density planting system in winter irrigated condition. J. *Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 8(3): 3084-3088
- Pradap Kumar, Karle, A.S., Deshraj Singh1 and LalitaVerma2017.Effect of High Density Planting System (HDPS) and Varieties on Yield, Economics and Quality of Desi Cotton. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.6*(3): 233-238

- Rinehardt, J.M.Edmisten. K..L., Wells. R., and Faircloth,J.C..2003. Response of ultra narrow and conventional spaced cotton to variable nitrogen rates.*J. Plant Nutr.* 27: 741-753.
- Rossi, J., Novick, G., Murray, J., Landivar, J., Zhang, S., Baxevanos, D., Mateos, A., Kerby, T., Hake,K.and Krieg,D. 2004. Ultra Narrow Row Cotton: Global perspective and reduce pesticide use. Proceedings of the Technical seminar of the 3rd plenary Meeting of the ICAC : How to improve yields.Mumbai, India.November 2004 pp. 7-11
- Veeraputhiran, R. and Gunasekaran, M. 2018. Optimization of fertilizer requirement for Bt and non Bt cotton hybrids under two geometry levels. J. Cotton Res.Dev.32 (2): 236-239
- Venugopalan, M.V., Kranthi, K. R., Blaise.,
 D., ShubhangiLakde and
 Sankaranarayanan, K.. 2013.High
 density planting systems in cotton The
 Brazil Experience and Indian
 Initiative.*Cotton Res. J.* 5 (2): 172 -185

How to cite this article:

Veeraputhiran, R. and Thiyagu, K. 2021. Productivity, Quality and Economics of High Density Planted Cotton as Influenced by Varieties and Fertilizer Application. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 10(05): 581-586. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1005.065</u>