International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 3 Number 2 (2014) pp. 20-27 http://www.ijcmas.com



### **Original Research Article**

# Microbiological quality assessment of bottled yogurt of different brands sold in Central Market, Kaduna Metropolis, Kaduna, Nigeria

N.De\*, T.M.Goodluck, and M.Bobai

Department of Microbiology, Kaduna State University, Kaduna, Nigeria \*Corresponding author

#### ABSTRACT

different brands of bottled plain yogurt sold in Central market, Kaduna was carried out using standard microbiological procedures. Out of 10 different brands, five were registered by NAFDAC and the other five were not registered by NAFDAC. The pH of the registered yogurt samples were in the range of 4.01-4.79 while the pH of the non-registered samples were in the range of 5.28-5.63. The total bacterial counts (TBC) of registered and nonregistered samples were in the range of 3.0 x  $10^3$ -10.5 x  $10^4$  and 8.2 x  $10^4$ -28.4 x  $10^5$  respectively. Out of registered samples, sample A21 had the highest count whereas sample A511 had the lowest count. In case of non-registered samples, sample a411 had the highest count and sample a311 had the lowest count. Statistical test (t=-2.28 and F= 9.78) revealed that there is significant difference between the colony counts of registered and non-registered samples 0.05 level. Lactobacillus at a bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus, the bacteria present in the starter culture used for manufacturing yogurt, were present in all the samples as the manufacturers claimed on their labels. Bacillus sp. were obtained from all the samples whereas Staphylococcus aureus was isolated from A41, A411, a21 and a211. Aspergillus sp. was isolated from all the samples tested. Mucor sp. was isolated from A11, A111, a11, a111, a41 and a411. Penicillium sp. was isolated from samples A21, A211, A31, A311, a21, a211, a31 and a311. Acremonium sp. was isolated from A41, A411, a11 and a111. Proper care should be taken for storage and handling of yogurt due to the fact that fungi like

Aspergillus and bacteria like S. aureus were isolated from some NAFDAC

An investigation on microbiological quality of twenty commercial samples of ten

#### Keywords

Yogurt; NAFDAC; S.aureus; Starter culture; TBC.

### Introduction

Yogurt is one of the most popular fermented dairy products widely consumed all over the world. It is obtained by lactic acid fermentation of milk by the action of a starter culture containing Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbruckii spp. bulgaricus. The role of these two genera in yogurt manufacture can be summarized as milk acidification and synthesis of aromatic

registered samples along with some non-registered samples.

compounds (Serra et al., 2009). In Nigeria, it is a popular drink due to its nutritional, probiotic and organoleptic characteristics. But yogurt can be contaminated by fungi able to modify organoleptic characteristics and be a risk of human health. Yogurt can easily subjected to microbial be contamination, as especially by fungi which grow and reproduce in acid environment with feasible oxygen. Some of the molds can produce secondary toxic resisting metabolites to common reclamation treatments. Aflatoxins are carcinogenic and toxic, which is a secondary metabolic product of some Aspergillus sp. Issazadeh et al. (2012) worked on 60 yogurt samples and out of these 60 samples, 59 samples were found to be contaminated with AFMI. Ahmad et al. (2013) worked on quality assessment of yogurt produced at industrial evaluation of yogurt products in Qena city, Egypt. They showed that out of 100 random samples purchased from various shops, dairy street vendors supermarkets located in Qena city, Egypt, S. aureus were detected in 72% and 35% of small and large scale vogurt samples. Though bottled yogurt is a popular drink in Kaduna Metropolis, Kaduna, Nigeria, little research done has microbiological

#### **Collection of vogurt samples**

Twenty samples of ten different brands of bottled plain yogurt were randomly purchased from different sellers hawking in the market. Out of 20 samples, ten were purchased with registration no. approved by NAFDAC and with manufacture date and expiration date on their labels. For registered samples, the samples were labeled as A1-A5. For brand A, two samples were collected from two different hawkers and were labeled as A11-A111.

The other ten samples were collected without any registration no. from NAFDAC and the labeling on these samples did not have any manufacturing date and expiration date. For nonregistered samples, the samples were labeled as a1-a5. For each brand, 2 samples were collected and labeled accordingly (a11-a111). After collection, the samples were put into a cooler packed with ice and then were small scale and commercially were available Faisalabad, Pakistan. They observed that the coliform count of yogurt produced at small scale was much higher than that of branded samples (produced at large scale). Montagna et al. (1998) studied on microbiological quality of 166 samples observed that 7.2% of contaminated samples were positive for fungi. El-Malt et al. (2012) worked on microbiological Nigeria. quality of yogurt sold in different markets by hawkers. An attempt was made here to investigate the microbiological quality of yogurt of different brands approved by NAFDAC and also of some yogurts not approved by NASFDAC but readily available in the market for consumption in Kaduna. NAFDAC is a governmental organization which is in charge of maintaining the quality of food products made in transported to the Microbiology Laboratory of Kaduna State University within 3h for analysis purpose.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The study period was between the months of July '10, 2013 to August '27, 2013.

#### **Analysis of samples**

## Physio-chemical characteristics of yoghurt samples:

After collection of samples, the color,

organoleptic properties, consistency of each sample were recorded. Then the pH value of each sample was also determined using the 3505 pH meter. For determination of pH, 80ml of each yoghurt sample was poured into 100ml of beaker and the pH of the sample was then determined using pH meter.

## Total bacterial count of yoghurt samples

The total bacterial count was done using pour plate method as described in Benson, 2005. Serial dilutions for each sample were made upto 10<sup>-4</sup>. One ml of each dilution was introduced onto sterile petridish containing plate count agar (PCA) and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The total bacterial count was expressed as cfu/ml.

# Isolation of bacteria associated with purchased yoghurt samples

For lactic acid bacteria, MRS Agar was used. Serial dilutions upto 10<sup>-4</sup> were made and 1 ml of each suspension was introduced onto agar medium using pour plate method. The plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C, 45°C and 50°C for 48 to 72 h to obtain lactic acid bacteria colonies. Discrete colonies were isolated and reinoculated onto appropriate medium in order to obtain pure isolates. These pure isolates were then kept at 4°C for identification purpose (Michaylova, 2007)

For isolation of bacteria other than lactic bacteria, Nutrient agar (NA) and MacConkey agar (MCA) were used. Serial dilutions upto 10<sup>-4</sup> were made and 1 ml of each suspension was introduced onto NA agar medium using pour plate method. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. Discrete colonies were isolated and

reinoculated onto appropriate medium in order to obtain pure isolates. These pure isolates were then kept at 4°C for identification purpose (Benson, 2005).

### **Results and Discussion**

## Physiochemical characteristics of samples

All the twenty samples of yoghurt purchased from Central Market were white in color. Both All and All1 were solid in nature, A21- A511 were semisolid in nature whereas all- a511 were watery when the samples were purchased from the market. The pH values of samples were in the range of 4.01- 5.63. The results are expressed in Table 1.

# Identification of Isolates obtained from MRS agar and NA agar

For isolates obtained from MRS agar and NA agar, gram staining was done according to the procedure as described in Benson, 2005. Biochemical tests such as catalase test, coagulase test, indole test, urease test, Methyl red and other biochemical tests were also done as described in Benson, 2005 in order to identify the isolates.

### Isolation and identification of fungi associated with the collected samples

The isolation and identification of the fungi was done using Potato dextrose agar (PDA). Wet preparation was done using lactophenol cotton blue as mountant and viewed under the low power objective lens and then using ×40 high power objectives (Benson, 2005). The values of total bacterial count of registered samples were in the range of 3.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>-10.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> whereas the values for non registered

samples were in the range of  $8.2 \times 10^4$ - $28.4 \times 10^5$ . The results are expressed in Table 1.

### Isolation of bacterial isolates from purchased yoghurt samples

The cultural morphological and characteristics of isolates obtained from nutrient agar medium were listed in Table 2. The isolates were labeled as NS1-NS24. Biochemical characteristics revealed that NS1-NS20 was Bacillus sp. and NS21-Staphylococcus NS24 was Bacillus sp. was isolated from all the samples whereas Staphylococcus aureus was isolated from A41, A411, a21 and a211.

Two types of colonies were isolated from all the samples in MRS agar medium. The colonies were labeled as MS1-MS20 and ms1 - ms20. All the colonies were subjected to gram reaction and the size and shape of the cells were observed. The results are expressed in Table 3. The results of catalase activity, sugar utilization tests are also listed in Table 3.

### Isolation and identification of fungi from samples

Aspergillus sp. was isolated from all the samples tested. *Mucor* sp. was isolated from A11, A111, a11, a111, a41 and a411. *Penicillium* sp. was isolated from samples A21, A211, A31, A311, a21, a211, a31 and a311. *Acremonium spp.* was isolated from A41, A411, a11 and a111. The results are shown in Table 4.

The pH values of yogurt samples registered by NAFDAC were in the range of 4.01-4.79 but the values for non-registered samples were in the range of 5.28-5.63. The high pH and low acidity may be due to the fact that there is no

proper system of culture dosage in unbranded yogurt, which largely affects the acidity of the final yogurt (Abrar et al., 2009). The total bacterial counts of the registered and non-registered samples were in the range of  $3.0 \times 10^{3} - 9.0 \times 10^{4b}$ and  $8.2 \times 10^{4}$   $28.4 \times 10^{5}$  respectively. There is significant difference in TBC among the registered and nonregistered samples (t= - 2.28 and F= 9.78 at  $\alpha 0.05$ level). Ifeanyi et al. (2013) carried out a research on microbiological assessment of four brands of vogurt samples collected from vendors in Onitsha market and found the values of these four brands were in the range of  $1.9 \times 10^5$ -  $6.1 \times 10^5$ . Obande and Azua (2013) worked on yogurt sold in Makurdi, Benue state, Nigeria and observed the mean value for yogurt samples was  $1.4 \times 10^7 \text{cfu/ml}$ . The results obtained in this study for yogurt samples agree with the range obtained for yogurt by other investigators. The high count for non-registered samples especially for samples a41 and a411 is indicative of poor handling during processing and packaging of the product.

Bacillus sp. was isolated from all the samples studied. The presence of Bacillus sp. in all the yogurt samples implies post-pasteurization contamination (Huck et al., 2008). S. aureus was isolated from samples A41, A411, a21 and a211 samples. El-Malt et al. (2012) also detected S. aureus in 72% of the 100 yogurt samples they analyzed in Qena City, Egypt. Presence of S. aureus usually indicates contamination from food handlers (Abdel Hameed et al., 2009).

Aspergillus sp. was isolated from all the samples analyzed for this research purpose. *Mucor* sp. was isolated from A11, A111, a11, a111, a41 and a411.

**Table.1** Total bacterial count (cfu/ml) and pH of different yogurt samples purchased from different hawkers in Central Market, Kaduna.

S/N	Sample code	pН	TBC
1	A11	4.79	$4.5 \times 10^4$
2	A111	4.63	$4.9 \times 10^4$
3	A21	4.23	$9.0 \times 10^4$
4	A211	4.30	$8.9 \times 10^4$
5	A31	4.52	$6.4 \times 10^4$
6	A311	4.50	$6.6 \times 10^4$
7	A41	4.62	10.4 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
8	A411	4.57	10.5 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
9	A51	4.01	$3.3 \times 10^3$
10	A511	4.06	$3.0 \times 10^3$
11	a11	5.31	22.1 x10 <sup>4</sup>
12	a111	5.28	22.6 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
13	a21	5.45	21.2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
14	a211	5.42	21.0 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
15	a31	5.58	$8.6 \times 10^4$
16	a311	5.60	$8.2 \times 10^4$
17	a41	5.45	$28.4 \times 10^5$
18	a411	5.48	$28.2 \times 10^5$
19	a51	5.63	20.5 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
20	a511	5.59	20.5 x 10 <sup>4</sup>

Key: A11-A511are registered yogurt samples; a11-a511 are non-registered samples

Table.2 Cultural and Biochemical Characteristics of Isolates on NA Medium

S/N	IN	MC	GR	CS	CA	CO	ID	UR	CI	MR	VP	MO	OR
1	NS1-	Cream, circular,	+	Rod	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	Bacillus
	NS20	smooth, opaque											sp.
		and mucoid											
2	NS21	Yellowish,	+	Coc	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	Staphylo-
	-	circular, opaque		ci									coccus
	NS24	and mucoid											aureus

IN- Isolate number; GR- Gram reaction; CS- cell shape; CA-catalase test; Co- coagulase test; ID-indole test; UR-urease test; CI- citrate utilization; MR- methyl red test; VP- Voges-Proskauer test; MO- motility test, OR- isolated organism.

Table.3 Cultural and Biochemical Characteristics of Isolates on MRS agar

Isolate Number	Shape and color	Glucose utilization	GR	CS	CA	Organism
MS1-MS20	Yellowish, mucoid rounded colonies	+ No gas Production	+	Growth at 45°C Rod	-	L. bulgaricus
ms1-ms20	"	2 2	"	Growth at 50°C Cocci	-	S. thermophiles

**Keys:** 

GR= gram reaction; CS= colony size; CA- catalase activity; += Positive; -= negative

Table.4 Cultural and morphological characteristics of fungi isolated from yogurt samples

Isolate number	Colony morphology	Microscopic morphology	Organism
PS1- PS20	Growth begins as yellow colonies that soon develop a black, dotted surface as conidia are produce within 2-6 days the colony becomes jet black and powdery and the reverse remains cream color.	conidiophores' that support spherical	Aspergillus niger
PS21- PS26	Colonies characteristically produce a fluffy white growth that diffusely covers the surface of the agar within 24-48 hours.	The hyphae appear to be coarse and fills the entire culture dish rapidly with hyphae dotted with brown or black sporangia.sporangiosphores are branch and have at their tip a sporangium filled with sporangiophores, no rhizoids or stolons.	Mucorsp
PS27- PS34	Green colonies, surface of colonies becomes powdery due to presence of conidia.	Hyphae are septate and produce brush like conidiophores , conidiophores produce metulae from which phalides producing chains of conidia arise.	Penicilluimsp
PS35- PS38	White colonies.	Septate hyphae that produce single unbranched tube like phalides, phalides give rise to cluster of conidia at the tip of the phalide.	Acremoniumsp

*Penicillium* sp. was isolated from samples A21, A211, A31, A311, a21, a211, a31 and a311. Acremonium spp. was isolated fromA41, A411, a11 and a111. Montagna et al. (1998) has examined some different yogurt to verify fungal contamination and potentially toxigenic moulds commercially available yogurt samples. 7.2% of the samples was positive for fungi such as Penicillium and Cladosporium sp. samples Fungi in yogurt generally correspond to poor cleaning practices and the use of unhygienic techniques or inadequate storage conditions. Thus the fungal contamination might to occur transportation process during and/or packaging, storage, transport and sales; besides it can survive in food by its ability to adapt at differential conditions.

Though all the yogurt samples have *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*, the organisms present in starter culture, the samples were contaminated with bacteria like *Bacillus* sp. and *S. aureus*. NAFDAC registered samples are commonly products of high standard but in this case these products are not safe for people to consume. Also the presence of fungi especially those capable of producing aflatoxins is a great concern. So there needs to be a HACCP program for transportation /packaging and storing of yogurt in Nigeria.

#### References

- Abdel Hameed, K.G. and El-Malt , L.M. 2009. Public health hazard of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw milk and ice cream in Qena governorate. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 55(121): 191-200
- Abrar, M., Anjum, F.R., Zahoor, T., Hussain, S. and Ahmad, S. 2009. Chemical and sensory characteristics of yogurt produced by locally isolated

- and commercially imported starter *Milchwissensch* 64(4): 392-395
- Ahmad, I., Gulzar, M., Shahzad, F., Yaqub, M. and Zhoor, T. 2013. Quality assessment of yogurt produced at large (industrial) and small scale. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*,
- Benson H. 2005. Microbiological Applications 9<sup>th</sup> ed. McGraw Hill Higher Education New York pp. 239-266 and 299-304
- El-Malt, L.M., Abdel Hameed, K.G. and Mohammed , A.S 2013. Microbiological evaluation of yogurt Products in Wena City, Egypt. *Vet World* 6(7): 400-404
- Huck, J.R., Sonnen, M. and Boor, K.J. 2008. Tracking heat resistant, cold thriving fluid milk spoilage Bacteria from farm to packaged product *Journal of Daily Science* 91: 1218:1228
- Ifeanyi, V.O., Ihesiaba, O. M. and Ikenga, C. 2013. Assessment of Microbiological quality of yogurt sold by street vendors in Onisha Metropolis, Anambra State, Nigeria. *British Microbiology Research* 3(2):198-205
- Issazadeh, K., Darsanaki, R.K. and Pahlaviani, K. 2012. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 levels in local yogurt samples in Gilan Province,Iran *Annals of Biological Research* 3(8):3853-3855
- Montagana, M.T., Erroi, R., Sanapo, S., Caggiano, G., Bagordo A. and Donno A. De 1998. Food products and fungal contamination Note 1. Preliminary investigation in commercial yogurt *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene* 39: 68-70
- Michaylova, M., Minkova, S., Kimura, K., Sasaki, T. and Isawa, K. 2007. Isolation and characterization of *Lactobacillus delbruckii ssp.*

- bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus from plants in Bulgaria FEMS Microbiology Letters269(1): 160-169
- Obande, A. G. and Azua, E. T. 2013. Extent of microbial contamination of nono, fresh cow milk and yogurt sold in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research 3(3):6-14
- Serra, M., Trujillo,J.A., Guamis, B. and Ferragut, V. 2009. Flavor profiles and survival of starter cultures of yogurt produced from high pressure homogenized milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 19:106-109.